

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Africa</b>										
*Algeria, Batna	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*Benin, Cotonou	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	2	2
*France, La Réunion	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	10	10
*Kenya, Eldoret	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	10	11
*Kenya, Nairobi	<i>0.3</i>	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	47	58
Mauritius	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	3	3
*Morocco, Casablanca	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	24	30
*Seychelles	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	<i>0.1</i>	–	2.2	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	25	25
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	1.1	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	41	52
*Uganda, Gulu	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>1.2</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	12	17
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	<i>0.2</i>	–	4.8	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	63	64
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	<i>0.3</i>	–	3.0	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	93	93
<b>America, Central and South, and Caribbean</b>										
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	3	4
*Argentina, Mendoza	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	17	20
Brazil, Aracaju	–	–	<i>0.5</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	7	7
*Brazil, Barretos	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	7	7
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	45	46
*Brazil, Campinas	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	13	14
*Brazil, Curitiba	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	20	22
Brazil, Goiânia	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	17	17
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	6	6
Brazil, Recife	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	3
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
Chile, Valdivia	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	8	8
Colombia, Bucaramanga	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	20	24
Colombia, Cali	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.4</i>	61	84
Colombia, Manizales	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	7	8
Colombia, Pasto	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	5	6
Costa Rica	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	45	70
Ecuador, Guayaquil	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	35	36
Ecuador, Manabí	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	12	15
Ecuador, Quito	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	29	32
*France, Guadeloupe	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	4
*France, Martinique	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*Peru, Lima	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	89	106
*Trinidad and Tobago	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	6
USA, Puerto Rico	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	89	102
*Uruguay	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	39	46
<b>America, North</b>										
Canada, Alberta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	43	111
Canada, British Columbia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	63	122
*Canada, Manitoba	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	15	23
*Canada, New Brunswick	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	7	11
*Canada, Newfoundland	–	<i>0.5</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	14	17
Canada, Ontario	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	248	449
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
Canada, Quebec	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	114	242
Canada, Saskatchewan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	12	18
*Canada, Yukon	<i>2.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
USA	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	5155	8237
USA : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	4652	7541
USA : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	218	296
USA, NPCR	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	4964	7952
USA, NPCR : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	4484	7283
USA, NPCR : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	213	291
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	105	146
USA, NPCR : American Indian	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	14	23
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	1483	2220
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	1302	2007
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	1157	1806
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	145	201
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	59	70
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	72	86
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	505	798
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	432	713
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	30	35
USA, Alabama	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	83	143
USA, Alabama : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	77	130

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>America, North (contd)</b>										
USA, Alabama : Black	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	6	12
USA, Alaska	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	8
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	89	136
USA, Arizona : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	87	134
USA, Arizona : Black	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	1	1
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : American Indian	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	1
USA, Arkansas	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	40	69
USA, Arkansas : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	37	65
USA, Arkansas : Black	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
USA, California	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	671	960
USA, California : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	586	861
USA, California : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	12	14
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	48	56
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	130	172
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	109	146
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	78	106
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	31	40
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	<i>0.6</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	7	7
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	13	16
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	81	121
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	67	105
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	59	93
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	8	12
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	3
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	12	13
USA, Colorado	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	101	158
USA, Colorado : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	98	148
*USA, Colorado : Black	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	4
USA, Connecticut	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	59	87
USA, Connecticut : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	55	82
USA, Connecticut : Black	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
USA, District of Columbia	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
USA, District of Columbia : White	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
USA, District of Columbia : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
USA, Florida	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	405	557
USA, Florida : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	366	506
USA, Florida : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	26	31
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	2	3
USA, Georgia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	134	220
USA, Georgia : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	111	192
USA, Georgia : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	18	22
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	4	5
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	46	66
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	31	48
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	12	14
USA, Idaho	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	38	54
USA, Indiana	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	118	179
USA, Indiana : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	113	171
USA, Indiana : Black	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	3	5
*USA, Iowa	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	66	122
USA, Kentucky	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	139	179
USA, Louisiana	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	67	96
USA, Louisiana : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	59	87
USA, Louisiana : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	7	8
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	7	11
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	3	7
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	3	3
USA, Maine	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	23	61
USA, Maryland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	58	107
USA, Maryland : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	48	92
USA, Maryland : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	13
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
USA, Massachusetts	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	75	188
USA, Massachusetts : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	66	173
USA, Massachusetts : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>America, North (contd)</b>										
USA, Michigan	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	189	268
USA, Michigan : White	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	178	253
USA, Michigan : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	9	11
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	—	69	98
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	<i>0.0</i>	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	—	59	86
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	9	11
USA, Minnesota	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	91	129
USA, Minnesota : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	86	123
USA, Minnesota : Black	<i>0.1</i>	—	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	—	2	2
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	—	—	—	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	1	2
USA, Mississippi	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	0.0	47	86
USA, Missouri	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.1	—	0.0	0.0	—	—	132	183
USA, Missouri : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.1	—	0.0	0.0	—	—	123	171
USA, Missouri : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	—	7	10
USA, Montana	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.0	—	—	0.1	—	—	19	33
*USA, Montana : American Indian	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
USA, Nebraska	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	0.1	—	—	37	61
USA, Nebraska : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	0.1	—	—	34	57
*USA, Nebraska : Black	<i>0.8</i>	—	<i>0.3</i>	—	—	—	—	—	3	3
USA, Nevada	<i>0.2</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	0.0	—	59	77
USA, Nevada : White	<i>0.2</i>	0.4	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	0.0	—	57	72
USA, Nevada : Black	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	—	—	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	—	1	2
USA, New Jersey	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	111	172
USA, New Jersey : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	98	155
USA, New Jersey : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	5	6
USA, New Mexico	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	<i>0.1</i>	—	0.0	—	—	0.0	35	42
USA, New Mexico : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	<i>0.1</i>	—	0.0	—	—	0.0	30	35
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.3</i>	0.4	<i>0.1</i>	—	0.0	—	—	—	22	26
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	0.0	8	9
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	—	—	—	—	—	0.2	3	5
USA, New York State	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	301	483
USA, New York State : White	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	0.0	—	258	427
USA, New York State : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	20	27
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	—	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	—	0.0	—	16	21
USA, North Carolina	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	0.0	—	150	240
USA, North Carolina : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	133	214
USA, North Carolina : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	0.0	—	11	19
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.2</i>	—	—	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	2	2
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	<i>0.3</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1
USA, North Dakota	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	—	—	—	10	14
USA, Ohio	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	234	372
USA, Ohio : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	0.0	225	362
USA, Ohio : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	0.0	—	8	8
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1
USA, Oklahoma	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	60	93
USA, Oklahoma : White	<i>0.0</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	53	82
USA, Oklahoma : Black	—	—	—	—	—	0.1	—	—	1	3
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	—	<i>0.3</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	5
USA, Oregon	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	78	164
USA, Oregon : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	—	—	72	156
*USA, Oregon : Black	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.2</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	3
USA, Rhode Island	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	13	23
USA, Rhode Island : White	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	13	23
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
USA, South Carolina	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	—	0.0	0.0	—	57	103
USA, South Carolina : White	<i>0.0</i>	0.2	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	0.0	0.0	—	48	90
USA, South Carolina : Black	<i>0.2</i>	—	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	—	7	11
USA, South Dakota	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	—	—	—	0.1	—	—	16	27
USA, Tennessee	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	103	205
USA, Tennessee : White	0.2	0.3	0.1	—	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	94	192
USA, Tennessee : Black	<i>0.3</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	9
USA, Texas	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	375	550
USA, Texas : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	354	522
USA, Texas : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	11	15
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	—	—	—	—	—	4	5
USA, Utah	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	—	—	0.0	—	39	72
USA, Vermont	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	—	—	—	—	—	6	17
USA, Washington State	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	109	231
*USA, Washington, Seattle	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	79	156

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>America, North (contd)</b>										
USA, West Virginia	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	56	77
USA, Wyoming	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	8	21
<b>Asia</b>										
Bahrain : Bahrainis	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
Brunei Darussalam	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Anfu County	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Anguo City	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Anshan City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	5
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Arun District	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Baoding City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	5
*China, Beijing City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	33	40
*China, Binhai County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	5	7
*China, Cangzhou City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Changfeng County	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
China, Changzhou City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	4	6
China, Ci Xian County	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	3	3
China, Cixi City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	3	3
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
China, Dalian City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	5	9
*China, Dancheng County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	5
China, Dangtu County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Danyang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	1	3
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Dehui City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Donggang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*China, Dongguan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	5	5
China, Donghai County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
*China, Dongtai City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	3	3
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	<i>0.8</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	5
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Faku County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
*China, Fangcheng County	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Feicheng County	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	4	5
China, Feixi County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
China, Fuqing City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Gaocheng City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	3	3
China, Gaomi City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Gong'an County	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	6
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Guanghan City	<i>0.7</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Guangzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	49	61
*China, Guanyun County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Guilin City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Hai'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Haimen City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	5	5
China, Haining City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Hangzhou City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	17	19
China, Hebi City	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	3	9
China, Hefei City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	8	9
China, Hengdong	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Hengfeng County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Hepu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
China, Honghu City	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiange County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Jiangmen City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Jiangyin City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiashan County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
China, Jiaxing City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Jiayu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jinan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	9	14
*China, Jingtai County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
*China, Jingxian	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Asia (contd)</b>										
*China, Jiyuan City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	3
China, Kaihua County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Kunshan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	1	3
China, Langzhong City	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	1	1
*China, Liangshan County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*China, Lianshui County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	3	4
China, Lianyungang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Linqu County	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Linzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	3	9
China, Liuzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Liyang City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Longquan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Luoding City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Luohe City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	3	6
*China, Luoyang City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	4	8
*China, Luquan City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Ma'anshan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Macheng City	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
China, Meihekou City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Mengjin County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	1	3
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	5
*China, Nantong City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Nanxiong City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Neixiang County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Qianxi County	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Qidong County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	3	4
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	5
China, Qingzhou City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*China, Rudong County	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Rugao City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	37	48
China, Ruyang County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
*China, Shan County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
China, Shanghai City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	23	29
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	5
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
China, She Xian County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Sheyang County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
*China, Shijiazhuang City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	3	4
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	3	4
*China, Song County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	3
China, Suzhou City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	9	12
*China, Tengzhou City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Wangdu County	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Wanzai County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Wu'an City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	4	4
China, Wuhan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	9	10
*China, Wuhu City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	4	6
China, Wuxi City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	10	13
China, Wuzhou City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	7	7
China, Xianju County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Xin'an County	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Xining City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	5	5
*China, Xinji City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Xiping County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Xuyi County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
*China, Ya'an City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	5	11
*China, Yakeshi City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Yancheng	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	5
*China, Yangquan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yangzhong City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yanji City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Yanshi City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
China, Yanting County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Asia (contd)</b>										
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	5
*China, Yiyuan County	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Yong'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	3
China, Yongkang City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	2	4
*China, Yucheng County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Yunmeng County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyao City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	2
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Zhanhuang County	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	4	4
*China, Zhangjiagang City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Zhaoyuan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhengding County	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Zhongshan City	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	8	8
China, Zhongxiang City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	6
*China, Zhuanghe City	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	5	5
*China, Zhuhai City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	5
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	12	13
India, Aurangabad	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	4	4
India, Bangalore	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	13	14
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*India, Bhopal	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	6
India, Chandigarh	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
*India, Chennai	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	36	36
India, Dibrugarh	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	3	3
*India, Dindigul, Ambalikkai	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	2	2
India, Kamrup Urban District	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	3
India, Kollam	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	6	6
*India, Manipur	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	12	12
India, Meghalaya	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	9	10
India, Mizoram	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
India, Mumbai	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	27	28
*India, New Delhi	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	103	110
India, Poona	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	5
*India, Sangrur district	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	1	3
India, SAS Nagar	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	7
*India, Tamil Nadu	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	187	205
*India, Tripura	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	5	5
India, Trivandrum	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	11	11
India, Wardha	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	6	7
India, West Arunachal	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	3	4
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	3	4
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	7	9
Israel	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	91	96
*Israel : Jewish	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	75	79
*Israel : Arabs	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	13	13
Japan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	349	434
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	19	23
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	3
Japan, Aomori	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	8	10
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	14	17
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	11	12
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	15	28
Kuwait	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	4	5
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	2
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	3	3
*Philippines, Manila	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	33	39
*Qatar : Qatari	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	20	26
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	9	14
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	7	14
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	6	9
Republic of Korea, Incheon	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	9	13
Republic of Korea, Jeju	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
Republic of Korea, Seoul	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	28	42
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	7	9
Republic of Korea	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	218	331
Singapore	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	25	27
Singapore : Chinese	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	20	21
Singapore : Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Asia (contd)</b>										
Singapore : Malay	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	3	4
Thailand, Bangkok	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	13	15
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	19	20
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	7	7
Thailand, Lampang	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	7
Thailand, Lopburi Province	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	6	10
*Thailand, Songkhla	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	8	9
Türkiye, Antalya	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	22	28
Türkiye, Bursa	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	16	17
*Türkiye, Edirne	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
*Türkiye, Erzurum	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	4	4
Türkiye, Eskişehir	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
Türkiye, Gaziantep	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	13	14
*Türkiye, Izmir	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	31	37
Türkiye, Malatya	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	7	7
Türkiye, Samsun	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	8	8
Türkiye, Trabzon	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	5
<b>Europe</b>										
Austria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	188	205
Austria, Carinthia	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	10
Austria, Tyrol	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	10	18
Austria, Vorarlberg	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.5</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Belarus	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	185	283
*Belgium	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	238	367
Croatia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	77	106
Cyprus	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	13	14
*Czech Republic	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	171	220
Denmark	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.6</i>	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	165	215
Estonia	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	30	42
Finland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	101	174
*France, Bas-Rhin	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	13	19
*France, Calvados	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	15
*France, Doubs	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	15	26
*France, Gironde	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	35	49
*France, Haut-Rhin	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	11	15
*France, Hérault	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	14
*France, Isère	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	15	32
*France, Lille-Métropole	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	9	18
*France, Limousin	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
*France, Loire-Atlantique	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	28	46
*France, Manche	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	16
*France, Poitou-Charentes	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	45	72
*France, Somme	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	7	12
*France, Tarn	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	6
*France, Territoire de Belfort	<i>1.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	4
*France, Vendée	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	17	26
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	77	156
Germany, Bavaria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	270	364
Germany, Bremen	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	14	18
Germany, Hamburg	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	34	47
Germany, Lower Saxony	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	167	212
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	253	427
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	79	111
Germany, Saarland	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	16	22
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	82	134
Iceland	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Ireland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	80	177
*Italy, Aosta Valley	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*Italy, Avellino	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	6
Italy, Basilicata	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	7	12
*Italy, Benevento	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	3	6
Italy, Bergamo	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	15
*Italy, Brescia	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	8	17
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	9
Italy, Calabria	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	4	9
*Italy, Caserta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	17
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	19	25
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	44	82
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	27	32
Italy, Genova	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	11	26
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	15
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	10	14

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Europe (contd)</b>										
Italy, Marche	–	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	16	20
Italy, Milan	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	0.1	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	34	54
Italy, Molise	–	0.2	–	0.2	–	–	–	–	4	9
*Italy, Naples Centre	–	0.2	–	–	0.0	–	–	–	5	16
*Italy, Naples North	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	–	–	–	0.1	–	–	9	14
*Italy, Naples South	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	0.1	–	–	–	0.0	–	11	24
*Italy, Nuoro	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*Italy, Palermo	0.2	0.1	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	–	10	24
Italy, Puglia	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	62	96
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
*Italy, Salerno	–	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.1	–	–	7	16
Italy, Sassari	–	0.1	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	3	5
*Italy, Sondrio	–	0.0	0.1	–	0.2	0.4	–	–	4	4
Italy, South Tyrol	–	0.1	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	8	14
*Italy, Syracuse	0.3	0.0	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	4	7
Italy, Trento	–	0.5	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	14	21
Italy, Turin	–	0.1	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	12	12
*Italy, Tuscany	–	0.2	0.1	0.0	–	0.1	–	0.0	29	54
Italy, Umbria	0.3	0.1	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	–	8	13
Italy, Varese	–	0.1	0.0	0.1	–	–	–	–	4	7
Italy, Veneto	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	62	133
Latvia	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	–	0.0	0.0	–	–	0.1	18	29
*Liechtenstein	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.4	–	1	2
*Lithuania	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	47	75
Malta	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	–	0.0	–	–	10	11
*The Netherlands	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	317	592
Norway	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	0.0	129	201
Poland, Kielce	0.2	0.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	22	31
*Portugal, Azores	–	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	24	29
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	–	0.6	–	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	19	20
Russian Federation, Karelia	–	0.3	–	0.0	0.1	–	–	–	8	13
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	–	–	–	–	0.0	0.1	15	18
Russian Federation, Murmansk	–	0.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	7
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	33	37
Russian Federation, Pskov	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.0	–	–	–	0.1	0.1	14	15
*Russian Federation, Samara	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	67	67
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	–	–	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	30	30
Slovenia	<i>0.1</i>	0.4	0.1	–	0.0	–	–	–	48	50
Spain, Asturias	–	0.1	0.2	–	–	–	0.0	–	11	12
Spain, Basque Country	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.1	–	–	0.0	–	–	38	43
Spain, Canary Islands	–	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	–	–	12	12
Spain, Castellón	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	8	8
Spain, Girona	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	9	12
Spain, Granada	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	–	0.1	–	–	11	13
Spain, La Rioja	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
Spain, Murcia	–	0.1	0.1	–	–	0.1	–	–	11	20
Spain, Navarra	0.2	0.4	–	0.0	–	–	–	–	10	15
Spain, Salamanca	–	0.2	0.1	0.0	–	–	–	–	7	10
Spain, Tarragona	–	0.3	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	–	14	19
*Sweden	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	182	368
Switzerland, Aargau	–	0.2	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	7	9
Switzerland, Basel	–	0.3	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	10	11
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	–	0.2	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	17	19
Switzerland, East	–	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	14	18
*Switzerland, Fribourg	–	0.3	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	4	5
Switzerland, Geneva	–	0.2	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	6	7
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	–	0.4	–	0.1	–	–	–	–	5	6
*Switzerland, Lucerne	–	0.3	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	8	11
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	–	0.1	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	4	5
Switzerland, Ticino	0.3	0.3	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	7	7
Switzerland, Valais	0.3	0.3	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	7	7
Switzerland, Vaud	–	0.1	0.2	0.0	–	–	–	–	12	16
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	24	32
Ukraine	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	523	591
UK, England	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1062	1854
UK, Northern Ireland	–	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	22	42
UK, Scotland	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.1	0.0	–	76	172
UK, Wales	<i>0.1</i>	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	81	132
<b>Oceania</b>										
*Australia, NSW/ACT	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	240	289
*Australia, Northern Territory	–	0.2	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	3	3

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.



Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type

Eye (C69) - Male (Contd)

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Oceania (contd)</b>										
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Queensland	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.4	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	172	250
Australia, South Australia	<i>0.2</i>	0.5	0.2	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	59	82
Australia, Tasmania	–	0.5	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	14	15
*Australia, Victoria	<i>0.0</i>	0.4	0.2	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	179	201
*Australia, Western Australia	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.3	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	63	83
*New Caledonia	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
New Zealand	0.2	0.5	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	124	158
*New Zealand : Maori	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	10	10
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*New Zealand : Other	<i>0.1</i>	0.5	0.2	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	110	144
USA, Hawaii	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.2	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	31	34
USA, Hawaii : White	–	0.5	0.6	–	–	–	–	–	21	23
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	4	5
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Africa</b>										
*Algeria, Batna	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	7
*Benin, Cotonou	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	7	10
*France, La Réunion	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	4
*Kenya, Eldoret	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	10	10
*Kenya, Nairobi	<i>0.3</i>	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	34	36
Mauritius	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	7	8
*Morocco, Casablanca	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	16	22
*Seychelles	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	<i>0.1</i>	–	1.3	–	–	–	–	–	22	24
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	1.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	57	66
*Uganda, Gulu	–	–	<i>0.6</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	4	9
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	3.2	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	57	58
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	2.4	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	78	82
<b>America, Central and South, and Caribbean</b>										
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	4
*Argentina, Mendoza	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	12	14
Brazil, Aracaju	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	4
*Brazil, Barretos	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	29	31
*Brazil, Campinas	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	6	6
*Brazil, Curitiba	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	17	18
Brazil, Goiânia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	10	10
*Brazil, Jaú	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
Brazil, João Pessoa	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
Brazil, Recife	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	4	6
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
Chile, Valdivia	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	3	3
Colombia, Bucaramanga	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	13	17
Colombia, Cali	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	0.3	58	76
Colombia, Manizales	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
Colombia, Pasto	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	7	7
Costa Rica	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	25	45
Ecuador, Guayaquil	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	27	27
Ecuador, Manabí	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	16
Ecuador, Quito	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	29	29
*France, Guadeloupe	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	4
*France, Martinique	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*Peru, Lima	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	76	98
*Trinidad and Tobago	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	7
USA, Puerto Rico	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	42	49
*Uruguay	<i>0.0</i>	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	26	35
<b>America, North</b>										
Canada, Alberta	<i>0.0</i>	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	21	116
Canada, British Columbia	<i>0.1</i>	0.1	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	44	100
*Canada, Manitoba	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	11	23
*Canada, New Brunswick	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	7	9
*Canada, Newfoundland	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	4	10
Canada, Ontario	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	179	369
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	5
Canada, Quebec	0.2	0.2	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	116	218
Canada, Saskatchewan	–	0.2	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	14	25
*Canada, Yukon	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4181	7085
USA : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3757	6491
USA : Black	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	206	269
USA, NPCR	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4038	6826
USA, NPCR : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3624	6246
USA, NPCR : Black	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	202	265
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	81	127
USA, NPCR : American Indian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	20	29
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	1127	1888
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	1000	1709
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	862	1494
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	–	<i>0.0</i>	138	215
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	0.1	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	58	79
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	45	67
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	353	675
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	0.1	0.2	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	313	618
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	19	27
USA, Alabama	<i>0.0</i>	0.2	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	57	103
USA, Alabama : White	<i>0.1</i>	0.2	0.0	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	56	94

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>America, North (contd)</b>										
USA, Alabama : Black	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	1	5
USA, Alaska	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	16	22
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	–	3	3
USA, Arizona	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	83	142
USA, Arizona : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	80	138
USA, Arizona : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
USA, Arizona : American Indian	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
USA, Arkansas	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	27	54
USA, Arkansas : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	26	53
USA, Arkansas : Black	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
USA, California	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	520	808
USA, California : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	471	736
USA, California : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	14	21
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	29	42
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	126	193
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	105	169
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	73	116
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	32	53
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	6	8
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	3	3
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	2	2
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	11	12
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	71	115
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	62	103
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	53	91
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	9	12
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	8
USA, Colorado	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	69	137
USA, Colorado : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	66	132
*USA, Colorado : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
USA, Connecticut	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	58	98
USA, Connecticut : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	53	93
USA, Connecticut : Black	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	4
USA, District of Columbia	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	7
USA, District of Columbia : White	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	6
USA, District of Columbia : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
USA, Florida	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	344	468
USA, Florida : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	306	423
USA, Florida : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	24	30
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
USA, Georgia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	115	205
USA, Georgia : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	99	180
USA, Georgia : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	15	22
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	22	52
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	17	42
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	4	9
USA, Idaho	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	19	29
USA, Indiana	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	95	148
USA, Indiana : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	95	145
USA, Indiana : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*USA, Iowa	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	48	106
USA, Kentucky	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	88	121
USA, Louisiana	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	49	75
USA, Louisiana : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	41	65
USA, Louisiana : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	8	9
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	11
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	10
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Maine	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	15	41
USA, Maryland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	55	88
USA, Maryland : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	48	76
USA, Maryland : Black	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	3	6
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	6
USA, Massachusetts	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	66	159
USA, Massachusetts : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	59	148
USA, Massachusetts : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>America, North (contd)</b>										
USA, Michigan	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	145	204
USA, Michigan : White	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	135	190
USA, Michigan : Black	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	8	9
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	49	69
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	40	59
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	0.2	0.1	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	8	9
USA, Minnesota	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	90	127
USA, Minnesota : White	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	85	120
USA, Minnesota : Black	0.2	–	0.1	0.2	–	–	–	–	4	4
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
USA, Mississippi	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	36	78
USA, Missouri	0.1	0.3	–	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	76	124
USA, Missouri : White	0.0	0.3	–	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	68	115
USA, Missouri : Black	0.2	0.0	–	0.1	–	0.1	–	–	6	6
USA, Montana	–	0.3	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	17	33
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	0.2	0.1	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	–	20	47
USA, Nebraska : White	0.2	0.2	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	–	19	46
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada	0.2	0.3	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	–	40	47
USA, Nevada : White	0.2	0.3	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	–	36	43
USA, Nevada : Black	0.4	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
USA, New Jersey	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	97	173
USA, New Jersey : White	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	81	150
USA, New Jersey : Black	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	0.0	–	7	11
USA, New Mexico	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	0.0	25	36
USA, New Mexico : White	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	0.0	24	34
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	–	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	0.0	18	23
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.1	0.1	–	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	6	11
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
USA, New York State	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	301	474
USA, New York State : White	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	264	427
USA, New York State : Black	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	23	26
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	0.1	–	0.0	–	0.0	–	0.0	11	17
USA, North Carolina	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	153	254
USA, North Carolina : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	133	230
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	14	17
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	0.1	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	–	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
USA, North Dakota	0.1	0.1	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	7	10
USA, Ohio	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	213	321
USA, Ohio : White	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	–	198	303
USA, Ohio : Black	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	13	14
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
USA, Oklahoma	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	36	68
USA, Oklahoma : White	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	34	65
USA, Oklahoma : Black	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	–	–	0.1	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
USA, Oregon	0.1	0.2	0.0	–	–	–	0.0	–	54	131
USA, Oregon : White	0.1	0.3	0.0	–	–	–	0.0	–	53	128
*USA, Oregon : Black	–	–	0.5	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
USA, Rhode Island	–	0.1	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	8	18
USA, Rhode Island : White	–	0.1	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	8	18
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	57	100
USA, South Carolina : White	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	49	91
USA, South Carolina : Black	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	6	7
USA, South Dakota	0.1	0.3	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	11	19
USA, Tennessee	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	91	190
USA, Tennessee : White	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	86	183
USA, Tennessee : Black	0.2	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	–	–	5	7
USA, Texas	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	316	487
USA, Texas : White	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	290	454
USA, Texas : Black	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	14	19
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	–	–	–	–	9	10
USA, Utah	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	–	0.1	–	–	24	64
USA, Vermont	–	0.0	–	0.0	0.0	0.1	–	–	5	15
USA, Washington State	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	64	173
*USA, Washington, Seattle	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	–	0.0	–	–	44	116

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>America, North (contd)</b>										
USA, West Virginia	<i>0.1</i>	0.3	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	38	55
USA, Wyoming	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	9	11
<b>Asia</b>										
Bahrain : Bahrainis	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
Brunei Darussalam	<i>0.3</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	4	6
*China, Anfu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Anguo City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Anshan City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	2	3
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Arun District	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Baoding City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Beijing City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	39	45
*China, Binhai County	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	2	2
*China, Cangzhou City	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Changfeng County	<i>0.6</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
China, Changzhou City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	5	7
China, Ci Xian County	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Cixi City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	<i>0.5</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	5	6
China, Dalian City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	4	6
*China, Dancheng County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
China, Dangtu County	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Danyang City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	3	6
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Dehui City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
*China, Donggang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	1	1
*China, Dongguan City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Donghai County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*China, Dongtai City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	1	1
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Faku County	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Fangcheng County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	2	3
*China, Feicheng County	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
China, Feixi County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
China, Fuqing City	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Gaocheng City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Gaomi City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Gong'an County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	6
China, Guanghan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Guangzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	36	50
*China, Guanyun County	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
China, Guilin City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	2	2
*China, Hai'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Haimen City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	4	5
China, Haining City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Hangzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	16	22
China, Hebi City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Hefei City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	6	11
China, Hengdong	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Hengfeng County	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Hefu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Honghu City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiange County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Jiangmen City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Jiangyin City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	3
*China, Jiashan County	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Jiaxing City	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	6
China, Jiayu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jinan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	8	10
*China, Jingtai County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	1	1
*China, Jingxian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	4

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Asia (contd)</b>										
*China, Jiyuan City	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	1	5
China, Kaihua County	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	1	1
China, Kunshan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Langzhong City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*China, Liangshan County	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
*China, Lianshui County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	3	3
China, Lianyungang City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Linqu County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Linzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	5	8
China, Liuzhou City	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	5	5
China, Liyang City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Longquan City	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
China, Luoding City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
*China, Luohe City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	4	6
*China, Luoyang City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	5	7
*China, Luquan City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	3
China, Ma'anshan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Macheng City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
China, Meihekou City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Mengjin County	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	2	2
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Nantong City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	2	3
*China, Nanxiong City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	3	3
China, Neixiang County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Qianxi County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Qidong County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
China, Qingzhou City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Rudong County	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Rugao City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	8
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	32	45
China, Ruyang County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Shan County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
China, Shanghai City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	21	25
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	1	1
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, She Xian County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Sheyang County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	4
*China, Shijiazhuang City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	7	9
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	4
*China, Song County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	3
China, Suzhou City	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	10	13
*China, Tengzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
*China, Wangdu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.7</i>	3	3
*China, Wanzai County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Wu'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Wuhan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	7	10
*China, Wuhu City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
China, Wuxi City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	7	10
China, Wuzhou City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	6
China, Xianju County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	1	1
*China, Xin'an County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	1	2
*China, Xining City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	6	6
*China, Xinji City	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	1	2
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	2	2
China, Xiping County	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Xuyi County	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Ya'an City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	9	11
*China, Yakeshi City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Yancheng	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	2	6
*China, Yangquan City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
*China, Yangzhong City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yanji City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	1	3
*China, Yanshi City	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	1	3
China, Yanting County	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	3	4
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Asia (contd)</b>										
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	5
*China, Yiyuan County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Yong'an City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
China, Yongkang City	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	3	6
*China, Yucheng County	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	1	2
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Yunmeng County	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	6
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyao City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*China, Zhanhuang County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1
*China, Zhangjiagang City	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	2	2
*China, Zhaoyuan City	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	1	3
*China, Zhengding County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhongshan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
China, Zhongxiang City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	10	15
*China, Zhuanghe City	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3
*China, Zhuhai City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	8	12
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	9	11
India, Aurangabad	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
India, Bangalore	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	19	21
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*India, Bhopal	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	2	2
India, Chandigarh	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	4
*India, Chennai	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	15	19
India, Dibrugarh	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikikai	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	4	5
India, Kamrup Urban District	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
India, Kollam	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	4	7
*India, Manipur	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	6	7
India, Meghalaya	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
India, Mizoram	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
India, Mumbai	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	25	27
*India, New Delhi	<i>0.3</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	65	67
India, Poona	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	5	5
*India, Sangrur district	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
India, SAS Nagar	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2
*India, Tamil Nadu	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	153	174
*India, Tripura	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	4	5
India, Trivandrum	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	4	5
India, Wardha	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
India, West Arunachal	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	6
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	4
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	10	11
Israel	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	76	82
*Israel : Jewish	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	65	69
*Israel : Arabs	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	7	8
Japan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	284	396
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	19	28
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	3	5
Japan, Aomori	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	8	9
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	16	19
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	9	11
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	12	19
Kuwait	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	5	6
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	4	4
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
*Philippines, Manila	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	31	38
*Qatar : Qatari	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	12	16
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	7	11
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	8	12
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	6	11
Republic of Korea, Incheon	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	11
Republic of Korea, Jeju	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
Republic of Korea, Seoul	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	24	50
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	5	6
Republic of Korea	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	171	281
Singapore	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	20	25
Singapore : Chinese	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	16	20
Singapore : Indian	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Asia (contd)</b>										
Singapore : Malay	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	2	3
Thailand, Bangkok	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	17	18
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	9
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	13	15
Thailand, Lampang	<i>0.7</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	7	7
Thailand, Lopburi Province	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	2	11
*Thailand, Songkhla	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Türkiye, Antalya	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	11	16
Türkiye, Bursa	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	15	15
*Türkiye, Edirne	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
*Türkiye, Erzurum	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
Türkiye, Eskişehir	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	10	10
Türkiye, Gaziantep	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	7	7
*Türkiye, Izmir	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	29	37
Türkiye, Malatya	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
Türkiye, Samsun	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	10	11
Türkiye, Trabzon	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	4	4
<b>Europe</b>										
Austria	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	186	203
Austria, Carinthia	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	7	7
Austria, Tyrol	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	17
Austria, Vorarlberg	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
Belarus	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	218	355
*Belgium	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	207	313
Croatia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	64	89
Cyprus	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	8
*Czech Republic	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	173	221
Denmark	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.6</i>	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	185	240
Estonia	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	28	59
Finland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	74	133
*France, Bas-Rhin	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	11	24
*France, Calvados	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	17
*France, Doubs	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	10
*France, Gironde	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	23	30
*France, Haut-Rhin	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	12	22
*France, Hérault	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	16	28
*France, Isère	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	12	33
*France, Lille-Métropole	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	21
*France, Limousin	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	5
*France, Loire-Atlantique	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	16	38
*France, Manche	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	15	31
*France, Poitou-Charentes	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	28	58
*France, Somme	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	10
*France, Tarn	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	7	11
*France, Territoire de Belfort	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3
*France, Vendée	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	13	23
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	66	148
Germany, Bavaria	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	201	299
Germany, Bremen	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	10	12
Germany, Hamburg	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	28	51
Germany, Lower Saxony	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	152	191
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	219	359
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	76	103
Germany, Saarland	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	18	27
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	80	132
Iceland	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.5</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Ireland	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	36	135
*Italy, Aosta Valley	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	1	3
*Italy, Avellino	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	6
Italy, Basilicata	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	4	15
*Italy, Benevento	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
Italy, Bergamo	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	13	18
*Italy, Brescia	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	9	24
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	4	9
Italy, Calabria	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	7
*Italy, Caserta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	10	23
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	7	21
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	29	62
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	23	25
Italy, Genova	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	15	32
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	6	15
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	12	13

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.



**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type**

**Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)**

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Europe (contd)</b>										
Italy, Marche	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	12	20
Italy, Milan	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	31	67
Italy, Molise	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	2	5
*Italy, Naples Centre	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	4	21
*Italy, Naples North	–	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	16	23
*Italy, Naples South	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	7	31
*Italy, Nuoro	<i>1.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*Italy, Palermo	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	8	18
Italy, Puglia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	40	76
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	8	9
*Italy, Salerno	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	13
Italy, Sassari	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
*Italy, Sondrio	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2
Italy, South Tyrol	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	9
*Italy, Syracuse	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	8
Italy, Trento	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	9
Italy, Turin	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	6	6
*Italy, Tuscany	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	23	52
Italy, Umbria	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	13	15
Italy, Varese	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	4	4
Italy, Veneto	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	52	113
Latvia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	20	38
*Liechtenstein	–	<i>1.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*Lithuania	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	43	88
Malta	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	8
*The Netherlands	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	289	561
Norway	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	117	197
Poland, Kielce	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	38	50
*Portugal, Azores	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	19	32
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	21	25
Russian Federation, Karelia	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	12	12
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	17	19
Russian Federation, Murmansk	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	20	22
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	34	40
Russian Federation, Pskov	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	18	19
*Russian Federation, Samara	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	94	94
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	21	21
Slovenia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	59	62
Spain, Asturias	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	9	9
Spain, Basque Country	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	21	27
Spain, Canary Islands	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	13	17
Spain, Castellón	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	6
Spain, Girona	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	5	8
Spain, Granada	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	6	10
Spain, La Rioja	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spain, Murcia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	12	31
Spain, Navarra	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	5	6
Spain, Salamanca	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	3	4
Spain, Tarragona	–	<i>0.3</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	12
*Sweden	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	148	308
Switzerland, Aargau	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	4	9
Switzerland, Basel	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	7	11
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	13	16
Switzerland, East	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	10	11
*Switzerland, Fribourg	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	4
Switzerland, Geneva	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	10	15
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2
*Switzerland, Lucerne	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	4	6
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	3	3
Switzerland, Ticino	–	<i>0.2</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	5	5
Switzerland, Valais	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	7	8
Switzerland, Vaud	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	9	10
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	–	22	28
Ukraine	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	605	685
UK, England	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	892	1594
UK, Northern Ireland	–	<i>0.5</i>	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	40	62
UK, Scotland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	69	181
UK, Wales	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	67	117
<b>Oceania</b>										
*Australia, NSW/ACT	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	160	212
*Australia, Northern Territory	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.6</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	5

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
of microscopically verified cases by histological type

Eye (C69) - Female (Contd)

	Retinoblastoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma			Sarcoma	Other	Unspec.	Number of cases	
			Squamous	Other	Unspec.				MV	Total
<b>Oceania (contd)</b>										
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.7</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	5	5
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Queensland	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	114	172
Australia, South Australia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	–	–	51	85
Australia, Tasmania	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	14	18
*Australia, Victoria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	149	177
*Australia, Western Australia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	57	82
*New Caledonia	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	5	5
New Zealand	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	99	139
*New Zealand : Maori	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	–	–	6	7
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
*New Zealand : Other	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	–	88	126
USA, Hawaii	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	12	19
USA, Hawaii : White	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.2</i>	–	–	–	–	–	8	12
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	2

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.