

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Africa								
*Algeria, Batna	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(10.9)</i>	3.5	(89.1)	–	–	–	–
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	0.7	(9.9)	6.8	(88.3)	–	–	0.2	(1.8)
*Benin, Cotonou	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	2.1	(91.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, La Réunion	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	4.8	(98.9)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Eldoret	–	–	1.0	(93.8)	–	–	0.1	(6.2)
*Kenya, Nairobi	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	2.5	(96.0)	–	–	0.1	(1.0)
Mauritius	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	2.6	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*Morocco, Casablanca	0.1	(5.4)	2.1	(93.8)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
*Seychelles	–	–	2.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(10.2)</i>	1.4	(89.8)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Gulu	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	–	–	1.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	0.8	(16.3)	2.8	(83.7)	–	–	–	–
America, Central and South, and Caribbean								
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(5.9)</i>	4.3	(91.1)	–	–	0.1	(3.0)
*Argentina, Mendoza	0.6	(9.2)	6.3	(89.6)	0.1	(0.6)	0.0	(0.6)
Brazil, Aracaju	–	–	3.9	(97.6)	–	–	0.1	(2.4)
*Brazil, Barretos	–	–	4.9	(93.5)	–	–	0.3	(6.5)
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	0.1	(2.5)	5.3	(85.0)	–	–	0.7	(12.6)
*Brazil, Campinas	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	6.3	(94.8)	0.1	(1.3)	0.3	(3.2)
*Brazil, Curitiba	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	5.6	(88.5)	0.1	(0.8)	0.5	(7.4)
Brazil, Goiânia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	6.6	(98.3)	–	–	0.1	(1.1)
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	4.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	–	–	4.0	(97.7)	–	–	0.1	(2.3)
Brazil, Recife	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	5.7	(97.1)	–	–	0.2	(2.2)
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.5)</i>	3.0	(90.3)	–	–	0.1	(3.2)
Chile, Valdivia	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(11.6)</i>	3.5	(88.4)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Bucaramanga	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(5.5)</i>	4.7	(93.8)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
Colombia, Cali	0.3	(4.1)	5.2	(94.9)	–	–	0.1	(0.9)
Colombia, Manizales	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	4.3	(94.1)	–	–	0.3	(3.9)
Colombia, Pasto	–	–	3.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Costa Rica	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	2.6	(95.4)	–	–	0.1	(1.9)
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.2	(4.0)	4.5	(95.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
Ecuador, Manabí	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	3.1	(95.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
Ecuador, Quito	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	5.8	(97.3)	–	–	–	–
*France, Guadeloupe	–	–	2.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Martinique	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	2.4	(90.5)	–	–	0.1	(2.4)
*Peru, Lima	0.2	(3.4)	4.9	(96.2)	0.0	(0.4)	–	–
*Trinidad and Tobago	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.4)</i>	3.0	(94.1)	–	–	0.1	(1.5)
USA, Puerto Rico	0.1	(3.2)	4.0	(96.3)	0.0	(0.5)	–	–
*Uruguay	0.1	(1.6)	5.7	(97.7)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(0.3)
America, North								
Canada, Alberta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	5.7	(97.7)	0.2	(1.4)	–	–
Canada, British Columbia	0.1	(1.1)	6.1	(98.5)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(0.1)
*Canada, Manitoba	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	5.5	(98.7)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, New Brunswick	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	6.0	(98.4)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Newfoundland	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	5.4	(99.1)	–	–	–	–
Canada, Ontario	0.1	(1.9)	6.0	(96.1)	0.2	(1.6)	0.0	(0.4)
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(5.9)</i>	6.0	(94.1)	–	–	–	–
Canada, Quebec	0.1	(2.0)	6.4	(96.4)	0.1	(1.4)	0.0	(0.2)
Canada, Saskatchewan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	5.6	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Yukon	–	–	2.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA	0.1	(1.5)	5.8	(94.5)	0.3	(3.8)	0.0	(0.2)
USA : White	0.1	(1.3)	6.3	(94.9)	0.4	(3.6)	0.0	(0.2)
USA : Black	0.1	(2.6)	3.6	(92.5)	0.2	(4.6)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, NPCR	0.1	(1.5)	5.8	(94.5)	0.3	(3.8)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, NPCR : White	0.1	(1.4)	6.3	(94.9)	0.4	(3.6)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, NPCR : Black	0.1	(2.6)	3.7	(92.5)	0.2	(4.6)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(2.6)	3.5	(94.4)	0.2	(2.7)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, NPCR : American Indian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	2.6	(96.2)	0.1	(1.7)	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.1	(1.5)	5.6	(94.4)	0.3	(3.9)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.1	(1.3)	6.1	(94.8)	0.4	(3.7)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(1.2)	6.7	(95.1)	0.4	(3.4)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.1	(1.5)	4.5	(94.6)	0.2	(3.6)	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	0.1	(3.3)	3.5	(93.7)	0.1	(2.7)	0.0	(0.4)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(2.9)	3.4	(94.7)	0.1	(2.0)	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.1	(1.4)	5.7	(94.9)	0.3	(3.4)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	0.1	(1.2)	6.3	(95.5)	0.3	(3.1)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	0.1	(3.5)	3.5	(93.6)	0.1	(2.7)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Alabama	0.1	(1.1)	5.6	(98.1)	0.1	(0.7)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Alabama : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	6.0	(98.4)	0.1	(0.8)	0.0	(0.1)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)								
USA, Alabama : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	4.2	(97.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Alaska	–	–	5.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	–	–	5.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona	0.1	(1.7)	5.3	(96.5)	0.2	(1.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Arizona : White	0.1	(1.6)	5.6	(97.0)	0.1	(1.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Arizona : Black	–	–	3.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : American Indian	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(9.5)</i>	2.1	(90.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas	0.1	(2.5)	6.2	(95.6)	0.3	(1.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Arkansas : White	0.2	(2.8)	6.8	(96.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	–	–
USA, Arkansas : Black	–	–	4.0	(98.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>
USA, California	0.1	(1.9)	5.3	(95.0)	0.2	(3.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, California : White	0.1	(1.6)	5.9	(95.6)	0.2	(2.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, California : Black	0.1	(3.3)	3.7	(93.8)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(3.7)	3.3	(94.2)	0.1	(1.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.1	(1.9)	4.7	(96.6)	0.1	(1.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	0.1	(1.7)	5.3	(96.7)	0.1	(1.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(1.5)	6.6	(98.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	0.1	(2.1)	4.1	(96.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	3.7	(99.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	2.0	(94.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.9)</i>	2.7	(93.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	–	–	1.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>	2.7	(95.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	0.1	(2.1)	5.4	(96.4)	0.1	(1.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	0.1	(1.8)	6.3	(97.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(2.0)	7.2	(97.8)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	4.5	(97.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	3.5	(93.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	3.8	(96.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Colorado	0.1	(2.0)	5.6	(95.7)	0.2	(2.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Colorado : White	0.1	(2.0)	5.8	(95.8)	0.2	(2.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*USA, Colorado : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	3.1	(95.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	4.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut	0.1	(1.3)	6.1	(98.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Connecticut : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	6.3	(98.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Connecticut : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	4.1	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	4.7	(97.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : White	–	–	6.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	3.7	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Florida	0.1	(1.9)	5.9	(95.1)	0.3	(2.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Florida : White	0.1	(1.9)	6.4	(95.5)	0.3	(2.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Florida : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	3.7	(94.4)	0.1	(2.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	2.0	(97.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia	0.1	(1.4)	5.4	(96.4)	0.2	(2.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Georgia : White	0.1	(1.0)	6.3	(97.2)	0.2	(1.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Georgia : Black	0.1	(3.3)	3.4	(94.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	3.4	(97.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	0.1	(2.0)	5.3	(96.2)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	6.4	(97.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	3.8	(93.2)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
USA, Idaho	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	6.5	(96.8)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Indiana	0.1	(1.4)	6.0	(97.4)	0.1	(1.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Indiana : White	0.1	(1.4)	6.2	(97.6)	0.1	(0.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Indiana : Black	–	–	3.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Iowa	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	6.1	(95.6)	0.4	(3.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Kentucky	0.1	(1.4)	6.7	(95.7)	0.4	(2.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Louisiana	0.1	(1.7)	5.4	(96.6)	0.1	(1.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
USA, Louisiana : White	0.1	(1.7)	6.3	(96.7)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
USA, Louisiana : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	3.5	(97.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	–	–	5.1	(99.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	–	–	5.1	(98.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	–	–	4.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maine	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	7.2	(97.7)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Maryland	0.1	(1.4)	5.4	(97.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
USA, Maryland : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	6.3	(98.6)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
USA, Maryland : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	3.6	(96.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	–	–
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.1)</i>	4.8	(94.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts	0.1	(1.3)	6.2	(98.2)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : White	0.1	(1.2)	6.6	(98.3)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	2.3	(97.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	4.1	(97.7)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)								
USA, Michigan	0.1	(1.7)	5.8	(95.5)	0.3	(2.7)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Michigan : White	0.1	(1.6)	6.3	(95.9)	0.3	(2.3)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Michigan : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	3.3	(95.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	–	–
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.1	(2.1)	5.4	(96.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.1	(1.8)	6.1	(97.5)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.6)</i>	3.1	(93.1)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	–	–
USA, Minnesota	0.1	(1.1)	6.4	(97.9)	0.1	(0.8)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Minnesota : White	0.1	(1.1)	6.6	(97.8)	0.2	(0.9)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Minnesota : Black	–	–	4.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	3.8	(96.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Mississippi	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	5.2	(98.1)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Missouri	0.1	(1.3)	6.1	(97.1)	0.2	(1.5)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Missouri : White	0.1	(1.4)	6.5	(97.0)	0.2	(1.4)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Missouri : Black	–	–	4.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Montana	0.0	(0.8)	6.1	(99.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	4.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	6.1	(95.3)	0.3	(2.7)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Nebraska : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	6.3	(95.1)	0.4	(2.8)	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	2.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	5.8	(98.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	–	–
USA, Nevada : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	6.3	(98.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	–	–
USA, Nevada : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	4.6	(97.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Jersey	0.1	(1.1)	6.1	(96.2)	0.3	(2.6)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, New Jersey : White	0.0	(0.8)	6.6	(96.6)	0.3	(2.5)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, New Jersey : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	3.9	(94.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	–	–
USA, New Mexico	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	4.5	(99.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	4.7	(99.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	–	–	5.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.0	(1.0)	3.7	(99.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	–	–	2.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New York State	0.1	(1.7)	6.0	(93.9)	0.4	(4.3)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, New York State : White	0.1	(1.6)	6.8	(94.8)	0.4	(3.5)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, New York State : Black	0.1	(2.8)	3.7	(95.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	0.0	(0.3)
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	4.0	(95.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	–	–
USA, North Carolina	0.1	(1.3)	5.5	(96.4)	0.2	(2.2)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, North Carolina : White	0.1	(1.2)	6.0	(96.7)	0.2	(2.0)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, North Carolina : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	3.8	(95.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	0.0	(0.4)
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	4.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	–	–	1.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, North Dakota	0.0	(0.7)	6.0	(99.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Ohio	0.1	(1.1)	6.4	(96.2)	0.4	(2.5)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Ohio : White	0.1	(1.0)	6.7	(96.4)	0.4	(2.5)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Ohio : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	4.5	(95.3)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	–	–
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>	2.7	(95.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	6.0	(98.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Oklahoma : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	6.4	(98.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Oklahoma : Black	–	–	3.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	–	–	5.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oregon	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	6.8	(98.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Oregon : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	6.9	(99.2)	–	–	0.0	(0.1)
*USA, Oregon : Black	–	–	5.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	5.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	5.3	(99.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	5.6	(98.9)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	–	–	3.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	5.7	(97.8)	0.1	(1.2)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, South Carolina : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	6.5	(98.7)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	–	–
USA, South Carolina : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	3.5	(96.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
USA, South Dakota	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	6.2	(97.8)	0.2	(1.1)	0.0	(0.5)
USA, Tennessee	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	5.8	(96.5)	0.3	(2.8)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Tennessee : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	6.3	(97.1)	0.3	(2.4)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Tennessee : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	3.8	(94.8)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	0.0	(0.9)
USA, Texas	0.1	(1.6)	5.4	(94.0)	0.3	(4.0)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Texas : White	0.1	(1.5)	5.8	(94.3)	0.4	(3.8)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Texas : Black	0.1	(3.3)	3.5	(91.6)	0.2	(4.8)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	3.4	(96.8)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
USA, Utah	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.2	(97.3)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Vermont	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	6.1	(99.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Washington State	0.1	(1.3)	6.6	(95.5)	0.3	(3.1)	0.0	(0.1)
*USA, Washington, Seattle	0.1	(1.3)	6.7	(95.7)	0.4	(3.0)	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)								
USA, West Virginia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	6.5	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Wyoming	–	–	5.2	(97.2)	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	–	–
Asia								
Bahrain : Bahrainis	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	3.3	(97.9)	–	–	–	–
Brunei Darussalam	–	–	2.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Anfu County	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	4.0	(83.7)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>
*China, Anguo City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	4.7	(85.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
*China, Anshan City	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(10.9)</i>	5.3	(88.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	–	–
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	3.4	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Arun District	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	3.2	(96.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Baoding City	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	3.9	(83.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>
*China, Beijing City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	3.1	(92.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>
*China, Binhai County	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(10.2)</i>	3.5	(89.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Cangzhou City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(27.9)</i>	2.0	(72.1)	–	–	–	–
China, Changfeng County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	3.8	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Changzhou City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.1)</i>	3.6	(89.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.9)</i>
China, Ci Xian County	<i>1.0</i>	<i>(30.5)</i>	2.4	(69.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Cixi City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(19.3)</i>	2.9	(79.3)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	<i>1.1</i>	<i>(20.8)</i>	4.4	(79.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Dalian City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	3.4	(90.3)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
*China, Dancheng County	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(9.0)</i>	4.6	(88.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>
China, Dangtu County	–	–	3.1	(91.9)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(8.1)</i>	–	–
*China, Danyang City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	4.0	(89.0)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.9)</i>
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(14.8)</i>	2.9	(85.2)	–	–	–	–
*China, Dehui City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	4.7	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Donggang City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(10.3)</i>	5.0	(89.0)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*China, Dongguan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	3.1	(89.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>
China, Donghai County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	2.9	(94.7)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>
*China, Dongtai City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	4.2	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(9.8)</i>	3.9	(90.2)	–	–	–	–
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	3.7	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Faku County	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>	7.1	(92.9)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>
*China, Fangcheng County	<i>1.9</i>	<i>(35.7)</i>	3.3	(60.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>
*China, Feicheng County	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(12.9)</i>	3.7	(85.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>
China, Feixi County	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(8.5)</i>	4.0	(86.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>
China, Fuqing City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(7.3)</i>	5.1	(84.1)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(5.3)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>	3.3	(94.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	<i>0.8</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	7.9	(87.5)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
*China, Gaocheng City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(30.0)</i>	1.5	(68.0)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>
China, Gaomi City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	2.5	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Gong'an County	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(9.8)</i>	5.2	(86.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(8.7)</i>	4.5	(90.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>
China, Guanghan City	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(13.0)</i>	4.7	(84.1)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>
China, Guangzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.4)</i>	2.8	(89.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
*China, Guanyun County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	4.1	(96.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Guilin City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(14.9)</i>	4.3	(84.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*China, Hai'an City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	3.2	(94.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(23.7)</i>	2.9	(76.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Haimen City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	4.2	(96.6)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
China, Haining City	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(13.8)</i>	3.4	(86.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Hangzhou City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(19.6)</i>	3.1	(72.6)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>
China, Hebi City	<i>1.2</i>	<i>(20.3)</i>	4.3	(78.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
China, Hefei City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(12.9)</i>	4.4	(82.0)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>
China, Hengdong	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(18.2)</i>	2.6	(81.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hengfeng County	–	–	5.1	(93.1)	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(6.9)</i>
*China, Hefu County	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.4)</i>	3.1	(94.6)	–	–	–	–
China, Honghu City	<i>2.0</i>	<i>(43.2)</i>	2.7	(56.1)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(15.9)</i>	2.8	(84.1)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(13.2)</i>	4.3	(82.4)	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(4.4)</i>
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.7)</i>	3.0	(94.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	<i>1.3</i>	<i>(32.4)</i>	3.2	(64.9)	–	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>
*China, Jiange County	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>	2.7	(78.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>
China, Jiangmen City	–	–	2.8	(98.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>
*China, Jiangyin City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.5)</i>	2.6	(90.8)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*China, Jiashan County	<i>1.1</i>	<i>(27.4)</i>	3.8	(71.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
China, Jiaxing City	<i>1.4</i>	<i>(25.4)</i>	4.1	(68.3)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>
China, Jiayu County	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	4.2	(90.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jinan City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(19.4)</i>	3.4	(77.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>
*China, Jingtai County	<i>1.2</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	9.4	(87.5)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jingxian	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(6.8)</i>	6.2	(89.0)	–	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.8)</i>	2.5	(91.3)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	<i>1.0</i>	<i>(22.3)</i>	3.5	(69.8)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)								
*China, Jiyuan City	0.7	(12.3)	5.3	(87.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Kaihua County	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(14.5)</i>	4.2	(83.6)	–	–	0.1	(1.8)
China, Kunshan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	2.9	(91.1)	–	–	0.1	(3.3)
China, Langzhong City	0.5	(14.1)	3.3	(85.9)	–	–	–	–
*China, Liangshan County	0.8	(15.9)	4.7	(83.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
*China, Lianshui County	0.3	(8.0)	3.8	(92.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Lianyungang City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	3.1	(96.4)	–	–	–	–
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	0.9	(25.5)	3.1	(74.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Linqu County	0.5	(14.0)	2.8	(85.1)	–	–	0.1	(0.9)
*China, Linzhou City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	6.3	(96.8)	0.1	(1.4)	–	–
China, Liuzhou City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(11.8)</i>	1.8	(81.6)	0.1	(2.6)	0.1	(3.9)
China, Liyang City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.9)</i>	3.5	(93.3)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
*China, Longquan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(9.4)</i>	3.0	(90.6)	–	–	–	–
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.4)</i>	2.7	(91.5)	–	–	0.1	(2.1)
China, Luoding City	–	–	1.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Luohe City	0.5	(10.7)	4.5	(88.2)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
*China, Luoyang City	0.6	(16.3)	3.3	(78.9)	–	–	0.2	(4.8)
*China, Luquan City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(9.4)</i>	2.6	(87.5)	–	–	0.1	(3.1)
China, Ma'an Shan City	0.8	(22.1)	2.9	(77.9)	–	–	–	–
*China, Macheng City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(7.8)</i>	4.9	(91.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
China, Meihekou City	0.6	(22.4)	2.5	(77.6)	–	–	–	–
*China, Mengjin County	1.2	(16.7)	5.5	(83.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	0.4	(11.9)	2.3	(88.1)	–	–	–	–
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.0)</i>	3.1	(87.4)	–	–	0.2	(4.6)
*China, Nantong City	0.3	(6.9)	3.8	(88.6)	0.1	(3.5)	0.0	(1.0)
*China, Nanxiong City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	3.7	(98.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Neixiang County	0.8	(21.9)	2.5	(76.7)	–	–	0.1	(1.4)
*China, Qianxi County	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	5.7	(95.9)	–	–	0.1	(1.4)
China, Qidong County	0.2	(4.9)	4.9	(94.0)	–	–	0.1	(1.1)
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	4.1	(95.1)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
China, Qingzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	3.7	(95.9)	–	–	–	–
*China, Rudong County	0.4	(6.2)	5.0	(93.1)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
*China, Rugao City	–	–	3.5	(97.5)	0.1	(2.5)	–	–
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	0.5	(14.0)	3.8	(79.0)	0.2	(6.0)	0.1	(1.0)
China, Ruyang County	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(11.6)</i>	5.1	(87.0)	–	–	0.1	(1.4)
*China, Shan County	1.1	(25.0)	3.3	(74.0)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
China, Shanghai City	0.6	(16.8)	3.4	(72.6)	0.5	(9.1)	0.1	(1.5)
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	0.5	(11.8)	3.4	(84.9)	0.1	(1.7)	0.2	(1.7)
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.4)</i>	2.7	(93.6)	–	–	–	–
China, She Xian County	2.7	(43.4)	3.7	(56.6)	–	–	–	–
China, Sheyang County	0.4	(8.2)	3.8	(89.9)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(0.6)
*China, Shijiazhuang City	0.6	(13.6)	3.7	(81.4)	–	–	0.2	(5.0)
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.4)</i>	3.3	(92.9)	–	–	0.1	(1.8)
*China, Song County	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	3.5	(88.3)	–	–	0.1	(1.7)
China, Suzhou City	0.2	(5.2)	3.1	(91.6)	0.1	(1.8)	0.1	(1.4)
*China, Tengzhou City	0.2	(5.2)	4.0	(94.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Wangdu County	–	–	4.8	(78.4)	–	–	1.1	(21.6)
*China, Wanzai County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	4.5	(96.1)	–	–	0.1	(2.0)
*China, Wu'an City	–	–	3.0	(97.1)	–	–	0.1	(2.9)
China, Wuhan City	0.7	(15.5)	4.1	(79.8)	0.2	(4.4)	0.0	(0.3)
*China, Wuhu City	0.9	(25.9)	2.7	(71.2)	0.1	(1.8)	0.0	(1.2)
China, Wuxi City	0.4	(13.3)	3.0	(77.7)	0.1	(3.4)	0.2	(5.6)
China, Wuzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	3.7	(94.4)	–	–	0.2	(2.8)
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	0.6	(15.6)	2.7	(79.7)	0.1	(3.1)	0.0	(1.6)
China, Xianju County	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(15.0)</i>	2.7	(85.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Xin'an County	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(9.1)</i>	4.8	(89.1)	–	–	0.1	(1.8)
*China, Xining City	1.0	(14.4)	5.8	(83.6)	–	–	0.2	(2.1)
*China, Xinji City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(11.7)</i>	3.0	(88.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(10.5)</i>	3.2	(84.2)	–	–	0.2	(5.3)
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.7)</i>	3.6	(86.8)	0.2	(5.7)	0.1	(1.9)
China, Xiping County	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.1)</i>	3.9	(89.9)	0.1	(2.0)	0.1	(3.0)
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(20.5)</i>	2.9	(70.5)	–	–	0.5	(9.1)
*China, Xuyi County	0.5	(14.6)	3.0	(82.9)	–	–	0.1	(2.4)
*China, Ya'an City	0.9	(15.8)	4.9	(80.9)	0.1	(2.3)	0.1	(1.0)
*China, Yakeshi City	1.6	(20.5)	4.9	(79.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Yancheng	0.8	(15.5)	4.4	(83.4)	0.1	(1.1)	–	–
*China, Yangquan City	0.6	(14.9)	3.8	(81.9)	–	–	0.1	(3.2)
*China, Yangzhong City	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(28.0)</i>	1.3	(72.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yanji City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(9.1)</i>	1.8	(88.6)	–	–	0.0	(2.3)
*China, Yanshi City	0.8	(15.3)	5.1	(83.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
China, Yanting County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	5.3	(97.0)	–	–	0.1	(1.0)
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	3.8	(97.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)								
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(7.8)</i>	5.4	(92.2)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yiyuan County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.7)</i>	2.6	(94.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Yong'an City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(10.6)</i>	3.4	(87.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(7.3)</i>	3.4	(92.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Yongkang City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(22.2)</i>	2.6	(77.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yucheng County	<i>0.8</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	4.1	(81.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	–	–	2.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Yunmeng County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	4.4	(95.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	<i>1.7</i>	<i>(47.2)</i>	1.8	(52.8)	–	–	–	–
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.8)</i>	2.7	(89.5)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>
*China, Zanhuan County	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	3.5	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhangjiagang City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.4)</i>	4.0	(94.9)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*China, Zhaoyuan City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(15.0)</i>	5.4	(85.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhengding County	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(14.8)</i>	1.7	(81.5)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>
*China, Zhongshan City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	2.3	(99.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Zhongxiang City	<i>0.8</i>	<i>(15.8)</i>	4.8	(84.2)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhuanghe City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	4.1	(96.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhuhai City	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(16.1)</i>	3.8	(82.5)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	1.8	(99.1)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
India, Aurangabad	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	1.7	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
India, Bangalore	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.7)</i>	3.8	(93.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	–	–	2.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Bhopal	–	–	2.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Chandigarh	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	2.2	(96.9)	–	–	–	–
*India, Chennai	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	2.9	(98.1)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
India, Dibrugarh	–	–	1.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Dindigul, Ambalikkai	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	1.8	(94.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	–	–
India, Kamrup Urban District	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(10.5)</i>	2.9	(89.5)	–	–	–	–
India, Kollam	–	–	3.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Manipur	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.7)</i>	0.8	(95.3)	–	–	–	–
India, Meghalaya	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Mizoram	–	–	1.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Mumbai	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	3.5	(97.9)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*India, New Delhi	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	4.1	(96.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>
India, Poona	–	–	3.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Sangrur district	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	1.2	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
India, SAS Nagar	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	3.0	(95.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
*India, Tamil Nadu	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	1.6	(94.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*India, Tripura	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.4)</i>	1.2	(91.6)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>
India, Trivandrum	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	2.8	(99.0)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
India, Wardha	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.5)</i>	3.1	(97.5)	–	–	–	–
India, West Arunachal	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(4.7)</i>	5.9	(87.4)	–	–	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(7.9)</i>
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	5.9	(93.5)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>
Israel	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	5.9	(95.2)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
*Israel : Jewish	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	6.1	(94.7)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*Israel : Arabs	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	4.4	(99.4)	–	–	–	–
Japan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	2.9	(92.2)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.8)</i>	2.6	(93.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	–	–
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.9)</i>	3.2	(87.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>
Japan, Aomori	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	3.3	(96.6)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	3.1	(97.2)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	3.4	(95.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	2.9	(96.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	–	–
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	2.8	(95.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
Kuwait	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	1.9	(98.5)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	2.9	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	1.9	(98.5)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
*Philippines, Manila	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	2.2	(95.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>
*Qatar : Qatari	<i>1.1</i>	<i>(12.1)</i>	5.8	(87.9)	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	2.9	(95.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	3.2	(97.9)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	3.1	(96.7)	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.3)</i>	2.7	(94.7)	–	–	–	–
Republic of Korea, Incheon	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	2.7	(98.0)	–	–	–	–
Republic of Korea, Jeju	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	3.9	(97.2)	–	–	–	–
Republic of Korea, Seoul	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.8)</i>	2.9	(92.1)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.0)</i>	2.8	(92.0)	–	–	–	–
Republic of Korea	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	3.0	(91.9)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Singapore	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	2.9	(94.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	–	–
Singapore : Chinese	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	2.8	(94.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	–	–
Singapore : Indian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	3.1	(96.9)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)								
Singapore : Malay	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	2.7	(92.3)	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Bangkok	0.1	(4.2)	1.8	(93.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	2.4	(96.5)	–	–	–	–
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	–	–	1.7	(97.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>
Thailand, Lampang	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.1)</i>	2.3	(91.9)	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Lopburi Province	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(8.5)</i>	2.9	(88.7)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>
*Thailand, Songkhla	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	2.9	(99.0)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Antalya	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	5.5	(97.9)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Türkiye, Bursa	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	5.9	(96.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*Türkiye, Edirne	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	6.0	(96.5)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Erzurum	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(10.2)</i>	5.6	(89.8)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Eskişehir	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.5)</i>	5.0	(94.5)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Gaziantep	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	5.6	(95.5)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Izmir	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	6.1	(94.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
Türkiye, Malatya	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	4.4	(98.0)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Samsun	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	5.5	(97.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
Türkiye, Trabzon	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	5.9	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
Europe								
Austria	0.1	(2.5)	6.2	(95.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	0.1	(1.3)
Austria, Carinthia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	6.1	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Tyrol	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	5.9	(99.4)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Vorarlberg	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	4.8	(97.4)	–	–	–	–
Belarus	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	5.2	(94.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
*Belgium	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	6.4	(96.9)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
Croatia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	6.8	(97.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
Cyprus	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	5.4	(97.3)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>
*Czech Republic	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	5.7	(96.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
Denmark	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	6.4	(99.7)	–	–	–	–
Estonia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.6	(97.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>
Finland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	5.6	(98.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*France, Bas-Rhin	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	5.3	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
*France, Calvados	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	4.9	(97.1)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	–	–
*France, Doubs	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	6.6	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
*France, Gironde	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	6.8	(99.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Haut-Rhin	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	6.2	(98.8)	–	–	–	–
*France, Hérault	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	5.6	(97.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
*France, Isère	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	6.0	(98.9)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
*France, Lille-Métropole	–	–	5.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Limousin	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	5.9	(94.8)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*France, Loire-Atlantique	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	6.8	(99.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Manche	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	5.4	(99.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Poitou-Charentes	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	5.7	(98.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
*France, Somme	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	5.6	(96.3)	–	–	–	–
*France, Tarn	–	–	5.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Territoire de Belfort	–	–	6.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Vendée	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	7.3	(99.5)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	5.1	(97.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Germany, Bavaria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	5.4	(96.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
Germany, Bremen	–	–	4.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Hamburg	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	5.7	(98.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	–	–
Germany, Lower Saxony	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	6.0	(96.1)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	6.4	(96.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	5.9	(97.3)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
Germany, Saarland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.5)</i>	6.1	(96.4)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	6.1	(97.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	–	–
Iceland	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	5.6	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
Ireland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	6.9	(97.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
*Italy, Aosta Valley	–	–	6.2	(96.4)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>
*Italy, Avellino	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	6.6	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Basilicata	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	6.3	(98.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>
*Italy, Benevento	–	–	5.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Bergamo	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	6.0	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Brescia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	5.0	(96.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	7.1	(98.0)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
Italy, Calabria	–	–	5.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Caserta	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	6.9	(99.4)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	5.9	(96.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.7	(97.9)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	6.2	(90.3)	–	–	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(8.7)</i>
Italy, Genova	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	6.3	(97.0)	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	6.6	(98.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	7.0	(98.4)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)								
Italy, Marche	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	6.1	(98.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Italy, Milan	0.1	(1.9)	6.5	(96.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	0.1	(1.4)
Italy, Molise	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	5.9	(97.8)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Naples Centre	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	5.7	(95.5)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Naples North	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.2	(96.7)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>
*Italy, Naples South	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	5.5	(96.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>
*Italy, Nuoro	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	6.1	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Palermo	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	5.9	(96.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
Italy, Puglia	0.1	(2.0)	6.3	(96.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>	0.1	(1.1)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	6.5	(97.2)	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*Italy, Salerno	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	4.6	(92.3)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(4.7)</i>
Italy, Sassari	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	7.6	(98.1)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Sondrio	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	6.4	(96.6)	–	–	–	–
Italy, South Tyrol	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	6.9	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Syracuse	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	8.0	(99.2)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Trento	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	6.2	(97.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
Italy, Turin	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.5	(90.7)	–	–	0.7	(8.2)
*Italy, Tuscany	0.1	(1.6)	7.6	(98.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
Italy, Umbria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	6.4	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Varese	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	6.3	(97.8)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Veneto	0.1	(1.4)	6.8	(97.7)	–	–	0.1	(0.9)
Latvia	0.7	(8.4)	7.1	(88.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
*Liechtenstein	–	–	6.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Lithuania	0.4	(6.5)	7.6	(92.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	0.2	(1.3)
Malta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	5.4	(97.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*The Netherlands	0.0	(0.8)	6.0	(98.8)	0.0	(0.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.0)</i>
Norway	0.4	(5.9)	7.1	(84.6)	0.7	(8.4)	0.1	(1.0)
Poland, Kielce	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	6.3	(95.4)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
*Portugal, Azores	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	4.1	(96.2)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.4	(7.4)	5.6	(92.6)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(9.3)</i>	3.3	(90.7)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Karelia	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	5.3	(94.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(5.0)</i>	5.4	(94.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
Russian Federation, Murmansk	0.9	(14.3)	4.1	(85.7)	–	–	–	–
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.2	(4.6)	5.0	(95.4)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Pskov	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(6.4)</i>	4.9	(92.7)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.2	(4.8)	4.8	(95.0)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	5.2	(97.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
Slovenia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	6.2	(97.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
Spain, Asturias	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	5.3	(96.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
Spain, Basque Country	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	6.9	(99.2)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Canary Islands	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	5.9	(99.6)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Castellón	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	4.5	(94.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>
Spain, Girona	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.1	(98.9)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Granada	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	5.1	(94.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	–	–
Spain, La Rioja	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	5.2	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Murcia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	5.2	(98.9)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
Spain, Navarra	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	7.1	(97.3)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Salamanca	–	–	6.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Tarragona	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	5.5	(94.2)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
*Sweden	0.0	(0.6)	5.8	(97.4)	0.2	(1.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Switzerland, Aargau	–	–	6.5	(99.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
Switzerland, Basel	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	6.1	(97.5)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	5.9	(97.9)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, East	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	6.0	(99.5)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Fribourg	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	5.5	(96.7)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Geneva	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	6.0	(97.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	5.8	(98.0)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Lucerne	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	6.2	(96.6)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.5)</i>
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(8.0)</i>	4.6	(92.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Ticino	–	–	6.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Valais	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	5.6	(98.7)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Vaud	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	5.3	(99.4)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	5.6	(98.5)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
Ukraine	0.2	(4.0)	4.7	(94.5)	0.1	(1.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
UK, England	0.1	(1.1)	6.3	(96.2)	0.2	(2.7)	0.0	(0.1)
UK, Northern Ireland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	6.1	(98.7)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
UK, Scotland	0.1	(1.2)	6.0	(98.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
UK, Wales	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	6.4	(98.9)	–	–	–	–
Oceania								
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.1	(2.0)	6.3	(97.1)	0.1	(0.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
*Australia, Northern Territory	–	–	6.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Male (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Oceania (contd)								
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	–	–	6.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	–	–	4.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Queensland	0.1	(1.0)	6.0	(98.1)	0.1	(0.9)	–	–
Australia, South Australia	0.1	(2.0)	6.4	(97.8)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
Australia, Tasmania	0.2	(2.7)	7.3	(95.3)	–	–	0.2	(2.0)
*Australia, Victoria	0.1	(1.2)	6.7	(98.3)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(0.2)
*Australia, Western Australia	0.1	(1.7)	6.6	(97.8)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(0.2)
*New Caledonia	–	–	3.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
New Zealand	0.0	(0.5)	6.1	(98.8)	0.1	(0.6)	–	–
*New Zealand : Maori	–	–	5.8	(98.0)	0.1	(2.0)	–	–
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	0.1	(2.7)	5.1	(97.3)	–	–	–	–
*New Zealand : Other	0.0	(0.5)	6.5	(99.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Hawaii	0.1	(1.6)	3.7	(96.7)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(0.5)
USA, Hawaii : White	–	–	6.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	–	–	1.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	–	–	3.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	0.1	(4.0)	2.7	(96.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	0.1	(3.8)	3.1	(96.2)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics
*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Africa								
*Algeria, Batna	2.4	(38.4)	3.1	(61.6)	–	–	–	–
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	0.8	(16.7)	4.0	(82.1)	–	–	0.1	(1.2)
*Benin, Cotonou	–	–	0.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, La Réunion	0.6	(16.0)	2.9	(84.0)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Eldoret	0.1	(9.1)	0.6	(90.9)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Nairobi	0.7	(15.8)	3.4	(84.2)	–	–	–	–
Mauritius	0.2	(11.4)	1.9	(87.5)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
*Morocco, Casablanca	0.3	(14.8)	1.6	(84.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.5)
*Seychelles	–	–	3.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	0.1	(33.3)	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	0.3	(20.4)	1.3	(79.6)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Gulu	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	–	–	0.6	(90.9)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	2.1	(32.1)	3.4	(67.2)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
America, Central and South, and Caribbean								
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	0.4	(9.9)	3.6	(86.1)	–	–	0.1	(4.0)
*Argentina, Mendoza	1.0	(20.1)	4.4	(78.9)	–	–	0.1	(1.0)
Brazil, Aracaju	0.0	(1.6)	4.3	(98.4)	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Barretos	0.1	(2.0)	3.5	(88.2)	–	–	0.2	(9.8)
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	0.2	(4.6)	3.5	(86.3)	–	–	0.3	(9.1)
*Brazil, Campinas	0.1	(2.2)	4.7	(94.2)	–	–	0.2	(3.6)
*Brazil, Curitiba	0.4	(9.8)	3.7	(83.4)	–	–	0.2	(6.8)
Brazil, Goiânia	0.2	(2.7)	4.5	(96.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	2.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	0.2	(4.8)	4.2	(93.5)	–	–	0.1	(1.6)
Brazil, Recife	0.1	(1.6)	3.5	(92.8)	–	–	0.3	(5.6)
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	0.3	(14.3)	2.0	(85.7)	–	–	–	–
Chile, Valdivia	0.1	(2.6)	3.1	(97.4)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Bucaramanga	0.1	(4.3)	3.4	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Cali	0.6	(14.4)	3.5	(84.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
Colombia, Manizales	0.4	(13.8)	3.3	(84.5)	–	–	0.2	(1.7)
Colombia, Pasto	–	–	3.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Costa Rica	0.1	(5.4)	1.9	(87.3)	–	–	0.2	(7.4)
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.2	(6.2)	3.3	(92.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
Ecuador, Manabí	0.2	(6.7)	2.3	(93.3)	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Quito	0.2	(3.6)	5.0	(96.4)	–	–	–	–
*France, Guadeloupe	0.1	(2.9)	2.4	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Martinique	0.1	(3.4)	1.9	(96.6)	–	–	–	–
*Peru, Lima	0.2	(7.1)	3.3	(91.8)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(0.2)
*Trinidad and Tobago	0.1	(6.9)	2.1	(93.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Puerto Rico	0.1	(4.7)	2.9	(95.3)	–	–	–	–
*Uruguay	0.1	(3.1)	3.7	(95.4)	0.1	(1.1)	0.0	(0.4)
America, North								
Canada, Alberta	0.0	(0.9)	4.1	(97.1)	0.1	(2.0)	–	–
Canada, British Columbia	0.1	(1.8)	4.0	(97.0)	0.1	(1.2)	–	–
*Canada, Manitoba	0.1	(1.6)	4.2	(97.3)	0.1	(1.1)	–	–
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.1	(2.3)	4.7	(97.7)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Newfoundland	0.2	(3.9)	4.6	(96.1)	–	–	–	–
Canada, Ontario	0.1	(2.6)	4.4	(95.5)	0.1	(1.4)	0.0	(0.4)
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	–	–	3.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Canada, Quebec	0.1	(2.9)	4.4	(94.6)	0.2	(2.1)	0.0	(0.4)
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.0	(1.9)	3.8	(98.1)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Yukon	–	–	6.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA	0.1	(2.4)	4.2	(92.8)	0.3	(4.6)	0.0	(0.2)
USA : White	0.1	(2.1)	4.6	(93.3)	0.4	(4.4)	0.0	(0.2)
USA : Black	0.1	(4.4)	2.7	(89.6)	0.2	(5.6)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, NPCR	0.1	(2.4)	4.2	(92.8)	0.3	(4.6)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, NPCR : White	0.1	(2.1)	4.6	(93.3)	0.4	(4.4)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, NPCR : Black	0.1	(4.5)	2.7	(89.6)	0.2	(5.6)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(3.2)	2.5	(91.7)	0.2	(5.0)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, NPCR : American Indian	0.0	(1.9)	1.8	(98.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.1	(2.1)	4.1	(93.1)	0.3	(4.5)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.1	(1.8)	4.5	(94.0)	0.3	(4.0)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(1.8)	4.9	(94.2)	0.4	(3.9)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.1	(2.2)	3.6	(94.8)	0.1	(2.8)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	0.1	(3.2)	2.6	(90.1)	0.2	(6.3)	0.0	(0.4)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(4.8)	2.3	(92.6)	0.1	(2.2)	0.0	(0.4)
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.1	(1.9)	4.1	(93.5)	0.3	(4.3)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	0.1	(1.5)	4.7	(94.7)	0.3	(3.6)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	0.1	(2.9)	2.6	(92.3)	0.1	(4.2)	0.0	(0.6)
USA, Alabama	0.2	(4.3)	4.1	(93.6)	0.2	(2.0)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Alabama : White	0.1	(3.2)	4.6	(94.4)	0.2	(2.2)	0.0	(0.2)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)								
USA, Alabama : Black	0.2	(9.4)	2.9	(90.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Alaska	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	4.4	(96.9)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	2.6	(90.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona	0.1	(2.4)	3.6	(94.5)	0.2	(3.0)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Arizona : White	0.1	(2.3)	3.9	(94.3)	0.3	(3.2)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Arizona : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.8)</i>	2.0	(95.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : American Indian	–	–	1.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas	0.1	(3.0)	4.1	(95.5)	0.1	(1.3)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Arkansas : White	0.1	(2.6)	4.5	(95.8)	0.1	(1.4)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Arkansas : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.7)</i>	2.3	(94.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, California	0.1	(2.6)	3.8	(93.9)	0.2	(3.3)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, California : White	0.1	(2.2)	4.4	(94.8)	0.2	(2.9)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, California : Black	0.1	(4.9)	2.3	(93.6)	0.1	(1.0)	0.0	(0.5)
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(5.3)	2.3	(92.4)	0.1	(2.0)	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.1	(2.8)	3.6	(95.6)	0.1	(1.2)	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	0.1	(2.3)	4.1	(96.3)	0.1	(1.0)	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	5.3	(98.0)	0.1	(0.7)	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	0.1	(3.7)	3.4	(94.4)	0.1	(1.2)	0.0	(0.7)
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	2.2	(94.9)	–	–	0.1	(1.3)
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.4)</i>	1.0	(92.6)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.5)</i>	2.5	(89.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	–	–	1.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.5)</i>	2.1	(93.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	0.0	(1.8)	3.6	(97.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.5)
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	4.5	(98.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	4.9	(98.2)	–	–	0.1	(0.3)
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	3.4	(97.5)	–	–	0.0	(1.2)
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	–	–	2.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	2.1	(95.2)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
USA, Colorado	0.1	(1.8)	4.2	(93.8)	0.4	(4.4)	–	–
USA, Colorado : White	0.1	(1.7)	4.4	(94.0)	0.4	(4.3)	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	2.1	(93.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	3.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	4.4	(96.5)	0.2	(2.0)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Connecticut : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	4.5	(97.5)	0.1	(1.1)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Connecticut : Black	–	–	2.3	(94.3)	0.2	(5.7)	–	–
USA, District of Columbia	0.2	(6.5)	2.6	(90.3)	0.2	(3.2)	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	2.9	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : Black	0.2	(8.8)	2.4	(85.3)	0.4	(5.9)	–	–
USA, Florida	0.1	(3.3)	4.2	(93.2)	0.3	(3.2)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Florida : White	0.1	(3.2)	4.5	(93.5)	0.3	(3.0)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Florida : Black	0.1	(4.6)	2.8	(94.1)	0.1	(1.3)	–	–
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	2.2	(97.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia	0.1	(1.6)	4.1	(95.2)	0.2	(3.0)	0.0	(0.1)
USA, Georgia : White	0.1	(1.6)	4.8	(97.7)	0.0	(0.7)	–	–
USA, Georgia : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	2.9	(91.8)	0.2	(5.8)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.8	(96.9)	–	–	0.1	(3.1)
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	3.8	(96.7)	0.1	(1.2)	0.0	(0.5)
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	5.0	(98.0)	0.0	(0.7)	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	2.4	(94.3)	0.1	(1.9)	0.0	(1.0)
USA, Idaho	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.8)</i>	4.5	(96.0)	0.1	(1.2)	–	–
USA, Indiana	0.1	(1.2)	4.2	(96.5)	0.2	(1.9)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Indiana : White	0.1	(1.2)	4.4	(96.7)	0.2	(1.7)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Indiana : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	3.1	(98.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Iowa	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	4.7	(96.5)	0.2	(1.7)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Kentucky	0.1	(1.4)	4.8	(96.2)	0.1	(1.9)	0.0	(0.5)
USA, Louisiana	0.1	(1.6)	3.7	(97.1)	0.1	(1.1)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Louisiana : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	4.6	(98.0)	0.1	(1.4)	–	–
USA, Louisiana : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	2.1	(93.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	3.7	(98.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	–	–	5.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	2.0	(92.6)	–	–	0.1	(3.7)
USA, Maine	0.2	(4.1)	5.2	(94.8)	0.2	(1.1)	–	–
USA, Maryland	0.1	(3.1)	3.9	(94.3)	0.2	(2.3)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Maryland : White	0.1	(2.6)	4.6	(95.2)	0.2	(2.1)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Maryland : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.9)</i>	2.5	(95.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(10.8)	2.5	(89.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts	0.1	(1.5)	4.6	(95.2)	0.3	(3.2)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Massachusetts : White	0.1	(1.6)	4.8	(95.7)	0.2	(2.6)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Massachusetts : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	3.2	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	3.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)								
USA, Michigan	0.1	(3.2)	4.1	(94.2)	0.2	(2.3)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Michigan : White	0.1	(3.1)	4.3	(94.8)	0.2	(1.9)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Michigan : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.8)</i>	2.8	(92.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	3.1	(96.6)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.1	(3.6)	4.0	(94.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.1	(3.3)	4.3	(95.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.9)</i>	3.3	(92.2)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
USA, Minnesota	0.1	(1.9)	4.7	(95.1)	0.3	(2.7)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Minnesota : White	0.1	(1.9)	4.8	(95.2)	0.3	(2.6)	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Minnesota : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	2.9	(92.6)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	3.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Mississippi	0.1	(2.3)	3.9	(96.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	–	–
USA, Missouri	0.1	(3.4)	4.6	(95.0)	0.2	(1.6)	–	–
USA, Missouri : White	0.1	(3.1)	4.9	(95.3)	0.2	(1.5)	–	–
USA, Missouri : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(7.6)</i>	2.8	(92.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, Montana	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	5.0	(97.9)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	–	–
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	<i>3.6</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	4.7	(96.7)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	–	–
USA, Nebraska : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	4.9	(97.9)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	–	–
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	<i>3.4</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	4.3	(96.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, Nevada : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	4.8	(97.3)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Nevada : Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	3.4	(93.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	2.2	(94.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Jersey	0.1	(2.0)	4.5	(96.6)	0.1	(1.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, New Jersey : White	0.1	(2.1)	5.0	(96.7)	0.2	(1.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, New Jersey : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	3.1	(97.8)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	3.4	(95.9)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	–	–
USA, New Mexico : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	3.6	(97.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	4.1	(96.8)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	–	–	3.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(11.8)</i>	2.1	(88.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, New York State	0.1	(2.6)	4.3	(93.1)	0.4	(4.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, New York State : White	0.1	(2.3)	4.9	(93.8)	0.4	(3.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, New York State : Black	0.1	(5.7)	2.6	(91.1)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	3.0	(97.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, North Carolina	0.1	(2.2)	4.1	(94.4)	0.3	(3.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, North Carolina : White	0.1	(1.8)	4.6	(95.2)	0.3	(2.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.2)</i>
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.1	(4.9)	2.9	(93.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	–	–	<i>1.8</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
USA, North Dakota	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	3.9	(95.0)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
USA, Ohio	0.1	(1.9)	4.6	(94.6)	0.4	(3.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Ohio : White	0.1	(1.6)	4.8	(95.1)	0.4	(3.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>
USA, Ohio : Black	0.2	(6.4)	3.2	(93.6)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	1.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma	0.1	(1.6)	4.5	(98.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	5.0	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : Black	–	–	2.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	2.9	(94.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oregon	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	4.7	(96.2)	0.3	(2.5)	–	–
USA, Oregon : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	4.9	(96.5)	0.3	(2.4)	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Black	<i>0.9</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	2.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	4.5	(95.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
USA, Rhode Island : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	4.8	(95.7)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	–	–	<i>3.3</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina	0.0	(1.4)	4.1	(97.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>
USA, South Carolina : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	4.7	(97.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, South Carolina : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	2.8	(96.9)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
USA, South Dakota	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	4.1	(98.4)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
USA, Tennessee	0.1	(1.6)	4.2	(96.0)	0.2	(2.4)	–	–
USA, Tennessee : White	0.1	(1.3)	4.6	(96.3)	0.3	(2.4)	–	–
USA, Tennessee : Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	2.5	(96.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Texas	0.1	(3.3)	4.2	(90.7)	0.4	(5.6)	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Texas : White	0.1	(3.0)	4.5	(92.3)	0.4	(4.4)	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Texas : Black	0.2	(7.3)	2.9	(89.3)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	2.7	(95.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>
USA, Utah	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	4.4	(97.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	–	–
USA, Vermont	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	5.3	(98.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Washington State	0.1	(1.2)	4.7	(95.5)	0.3	(3.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
*USA, Washington, Seattle	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	4.8	(94.8)	0.4	(4.1)	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)**

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)								
USA, West Virginia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	4.7	(96.3)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
USA, Wyoming	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	4.5	(96.8)	–	–	–	–
Asia								
Bahrain : Bahrainis	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	1.8	(92.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>
Brunei Darussalam	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	1.5	(92.9)	–	–	–	–
*China, Anfu County	1.2	(39.4)	2.3	(60.6)	–	–	–	–
*China, Anguo City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(6.9)</i>	4.2	(93.1)	–	–	–	–
*China, Anshan City	1.4	(31.7)	3.8	(68.0)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	0.6	(11.4)	4.5	(86.4)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>
*China, Arun District	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(7.5)</i>	4.5	(92.5)	–	–	–	–
*China, Baoding City	0.5	(9.8)	3.9	(87.8)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>
*China, Beijing City	0.1	(4.9)	2.6	(89.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>
*China, Binhai County	0.6	(17.3)	2.8	(82.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Cangzhou City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(18.2)</i>	1.7	(78.8)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>
China, Changfeng County	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(11.9)</i>	4.4	(88.1)	–	–	–	–
China, Changzhou City	0.3	(13.0)	2.5	(83.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>
China, Ci Xian County	1.8	(40.7)	2.6	(59.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Cixi City	0.9	(35.6)	2.3	(63.6)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	0.9	(22.7)	4.3	(77.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Dalian City	0.6	(23.0)	2.6	(75.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>
*China, Dancheng County	0.5	(11.3)	4.4	(87.5)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
China, Dangtu County	1.2	(28.9)	3.4	(68.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>
*China, Danyang City	0.3	(10.4)	2.9	(86.1)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(30.4)</i>	1.9	(69.6)	–	–	–	–
*China, Dehui City	0.4	(6.4)	6.4	(93.6)	–	–	–	–
*China, Donggang City	0.7	(15.1)	4.5	(84.2)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
*China, Dongguan City	0.3	(16.3)	2.0	(80.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	–	–
China, Donghai County	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	3.1	(99.1)	–	–	–	–
*China, Dongtai City	0.5	(17.8)	2.4	(82.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	0.9	(35.3)	2.0	(64.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	6.0	(65.5)	2.6	(34.5)	–	–	–	–
*China, Faku County	0.5	(9.8)	4.5	(89.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*China, Fangcheng County	2.2	(38.1)	4.0	(61.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
*China, Feicheng County	0.7	(23.0)	2.9	(76.4)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
China, Feixi County	0.7	(16.2)	3.5	(78.8)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>
China, Fuqing City	1.0	(17.4)	5.3	(77.2)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	0.3	(9.8)	2.5	(88.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	1.6	(22.0)	4.7	(78.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Gaocheng City	0.9	(52.3)	1.0	(47.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Gaomi City	–	–	2.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Gong'an County	1.5	(24.8)	4.8	(71.4)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(14.6)</i>	1.8	(85.4)	–	–	–	–
China, Guanghan City	0.7	(25.5)	2.1	(72.5)	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>
China, Guangzhou City	0.2	(10.7)	2.2	(85.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
*China, Guanyun County	0.5	(11.6)	3.9	(88.4)	–	–	–	–
China, Guilin City	1.6	(36.1)	3.2	(63.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>
*China, Hai'an City	0.2	(10.7)	3.3	(87.9)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	1.2	(45.7)	1.7	(54.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Haimen City	0.4	(12.6)	3.6	(87.4)	–	–	–	–
China, Haining City	0.4	(16.2)	2.6	(83.8)	–	–	–	–
China, Hangzhou City	1.3	(37.0)	2.5	(56.1)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>
China, Hebi City	0.8	(16.7)	4.3	(81.7)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>
China, Hefei City	1.6	(28.5)	3.8	(66.9)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>
China, Hengdong	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(22.0)</i>	2.3	(78.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hengfeng County	<i>1.0</i>	<i>(21.4)</i>	4.1	(78.6)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hepu County	0.3	(20.3)	1.8	(79.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Honghu City	1.9	(50.5)	2.0	(47.7)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	–	–
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(7.5)</i>	2.8	(92.5)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	2.1	(39.0)	3.2	(54.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.9)</i>
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	0.5	(13.0)	2.7	(87.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	3.2	(62.0)	2.3	(38.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiange County	0.7	(17.1)	3.4	(80.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>
China, Jiangmen City	–	–	2.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiangyin City	0.2	(13.0)	2.3	(86.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
*China, Jiashan County	2.8	(48.4)	3.8	(47.6)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>
China, Jiaxing City	3.1	(45.0)	3.4	(46.2)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(4.7)</i>
China, Jiayu County	1.5	(47.8)	1.5	(47.8)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>
*China, Jinan City	1.0	(26.6)	3.1	(71.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>
*China, Jingtai County	<i>1.3</i>	<i>(18.0)</i>	5.6	(82.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jingxian	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(9.0)</i>	6.4	(91.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	3.1	(91.4)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	1.4	(39.7)	2.1	(54.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.5)</i>

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)								
*China, Jiyuan City	1.1	(19.2)	4.7	(79.8)	–	–	0.1	(1.0)
China, Kaihua County	1.8	(34.4)	4.1	(65.6)	–	–	–	–
China, Kunshan City	0.3	(12.0)	2.3	(86.7)	–	–	0.0	(1.2)
China, Langzhong City	0.8	(26.7)	2.6	(73.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Liangshan County	1.5	(27.7)	4.0	(69.7)	0.1	(2.6)	–	–
*China, Lianshui County	0.6	(16.0)	3.0	(84.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Lianyungang City	0.2	(9.6)	2.4	(89.4)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	0.2	(13.8)	2.0	(86.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Linqu County	0.7	(25.0)	2.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Linzhou City	0.4	(6.0)	6.3	(93.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
China, Liuzhou City	0.4	(19.0)	2.0	(81.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Liyang City	0.3	(10.6)	2.6	(89.4)	–	–	–	–
*China, Longquan City	1.3	(31.0)	2.4	(69.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	0.5	(20.9)	1.9	(76.7)	–	–	0.1	(2.3)
China, Luoding City	–	–	1.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Luohe City	0.5	(16.3)	2.7	(82.2)	–	–	0.1	(1.6)
*China, Luoyang City	1.3	(30.9)	2.7	(63.0)	0.1	(2.2)	0.2	(3.9)
*China, Luquan City	0.3	(13.8)	2.5	(86.2)	–	–	–	–
China, Ma'an Shan City	1.5	(44.7)	2.1	(53.9)	–	–	0.0	(1.3)
*China, Macheng City	0.9	(20.6)	4.0	(78.4)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
China, Meihekou City	0.9	(32.0)	1.6	(66.0)	–	–	0.2	(2.0)
*China, Mengjin County	1.8	(33.3)	3.6	(63.5)	0.2	(3.2)	–	–
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	0.4	(21.7)	1.3	(76.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.4)
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	0.3	(14.3)	2.8	(82.4)	0.1	(2.2)	0.1	(1.1)
*China, Nantong City	0.6	(18.8)	2.6	(76.4)	0.1	(3.6)	0.0	(1.2)
*China, Nanxiong City	0.1	(5.1)	2.3	(87.2)	–	–	0.3	(7.7)
China, Neixiang County	1.0	(30.3)	1.8	(66.7)	0.1	(3.0)	–	–
*China, Qianxi County	0.2	(4.1)	5.4	(95.9)	–	–	–	–
China, Qidong County	1.0	(19.4)	3.7	(80.6)	–	–	–	–
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	0.5	(14.2)	3.6	(84.4)	–	–	0.0	(1.4)
China, Qingzhou City	0.2	(5.3)	2.8	(94.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Rudong County	0.2	(4.3)	3.5	(94.7)	0.0	(1.1)	–	–
*China, Rugao City	0.1	(2.9)	2.8	(95.4)	0.0	(1.7)	–	–
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	1.3	(34.7)	2.7	(58.3)	0.3	(5.7)	0.1	(1.3)
China, Ruyang County	2.4	(37.2)	4.4	(61.5)	–	–	0.1	(1.3)
*China, Shan County	1.5	(38.5)	2.3	(60.4)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
China, Shanghai City	1.3	(37.5)	2.6	(54.2)	0.3	(7.0)	0.1	(1.2)
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	0.8	(24.1)	3.5	(75.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	0.3	(11.9)	2.9	(88.1)	–	–	–	–
China, She Xian County	0.2	(6.5)	3.4	(93.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Sheyang County	0.4	(9.3)	4.4	(90.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Shijiazhuang City	0.8	(21.0)	2.9	(73.9)	0.0	(1.1)	0.1	(4.0)
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	0.3	(12.0)	2.5	(86.0)	–	–	0.1	(2.0)
*China, Song County	0.5	(17.0)	2.7	(80.9)	–	–	0.1	(2.1)
China, Suzhou City	0.3	(10.8)	2.3	(86.6)	0.0	(1.3)	0.1	(1.3)
*China, Tengzhou City	0.4	(15.0)	2.4	(83.1)	–	–	0.1	(1.9)
*China, Wangdu County	0.7	(16.7)	4.2	(76.7)	–	–	0.3	(6.7)
*China, Wanzai County	0.4	(10.5)	2.7	(86.8)	–	–	0.1	(2.6)
*China, Wu'an City	0.2	(12.0)	1.9	(86.0)	–	–	0.0	(2.0)
China, Wuhan City	1.8	(33.8)	3.5	(61.4)	0.2	(4.5)	0.0	(0.3)
*China, Wuhu City	1.4	(42.3)	2.3	(56.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(0.6)
China, Wuxi City	1.0	(33.3)	2.0	(56.5)	0.1	(3.0)	0.3	(7.2)
China, Wuzhou City	0.4	(15.6)	2.1	(84.4)	–	–	–	–
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	0.6	(17.5)	2.7	(82.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Xianju County	0.6	(27.9)	1.5	(67.4)	–	–	0.1	(4.7)
*China, Xin'an County	0.3	(6.2)	4.3	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Xining City	2.5	(44.6)	2.9	(53.2)	–	–	0.1	(2.2)
*China, Xinji City	0.3	(10.9)	2.3	(89.1)	–	–	–	–
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	0.4	(16.7)	2.5	(70.0)	–	–	0.3	(13.3)
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	1.3	(30.6)	2.9	(58.1)	0.3	(8.1)	0.1	(3.2)
China, Xiping County	0.6	(18.1)	3.0	(80.9)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	1.5	(35.2)	3.6	(57.7)	0.3	(4.2)	0.2	(2.8)
*China, Xuyi County	0.6	(16.0)	3.5	(83.0)	–	–	0.1	(1.0)
*China, Ya'an City	2.0	(30.5)	4.7	(66.3)	0.1	(1.5)	0.1	(1.8)
*China, Yakeshi City	2.2	(31.5)	5.3	(66.7)	–	–	0.2	(1.9)
China, Yancheng	1.1	(22.9)	3.4	(75.8)	–	–	0.1	(1.2)
*China, Yangquan City	0.4	(15.9)	2.4	(77.8)	–	–	0.1	(6.3)
*China, Yangzhong City	0.2	(20.0)	1.0	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yanji City	0.4	(15.8)	1.6	(78.9)	–	–	0.4	(5.3)
*China, Yanshi City	2.0	(32.9)	4.7	(66.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
China, Yanting County	–	–	2.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	0.4	(25.0)	1.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)								
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	1.7	(26.4)	4.8	(72.1)	0.1	(1.6)	–	–
*China, Yiyuan County	0.2	(7.4)	3.3	(92.6)	–	–	–	–
China, Yong'an City	0.7	(25.7)	1.7	(74.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	0.4	(11.3)	3.8	(88.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Yongkang City	0.8	(31.2)	2.5	(68.8)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yucheng County	0.9	(23.0)	3.6	(77.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	0.4	(6.9)	2.9	(93.1)	–	–	–	–
China, Yunmeng County	–	–	3.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	1.2	(40.0)	1.7	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	0.2	(10.9)	2.1	(85.5)	–	–	0.4	(3.6)
*China, Zhanhuang County	0.6	(15.0)	4.0	(80.0)	–	–	0.3	(5.0)
*China, Zhangjiagang City	0.3	(9.8)	3.3	(89.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
*China, Zhaoyuan City	1.8	(29.3)	4.6	(70.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhengding County	0.8	(31.4)	1.8	(68.6)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhongshan City	0.1	(6.5)	1.6	(93.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Zhongxiang City	1.5	(24.0)	5.5	(76.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhuanghe City	0.2	(7.7)	2.9	(91.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
*China, Zhuhai City	1.2	(31.2)	3.1	(68.1)	–	–	0.1	(0.7)
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	0.0	(0.7)	1.2	(99.3)	–	–	–	–
India, Aurangabad	–	–	1.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Bangalore	0.3	(10.7)	2.6	(88.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	–	–	1.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Bhopal	0.1	(3.3)	1.0	(93.3)	–	–	0.0	(3.3)
India, Chandigarh	0.1	(6.8)	1.7	(93.2)	–	–	–	–
*India, Chennai	0.1	(4.2)	2.1	(95.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
India, Dibrugarh	0.1	(19.0)	0.5	(81.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Dindigul, Ambalikkai	0.0	(4.5)	1.0	(92.5)	–	–	0.1	(3.0)
India, Kamrup Urban District	0.6	(22.7)	2.1	(77.3)	–	–	–	–
India, Kollam	0.0	(0.8)	1.9	(99.2)	–	–	–	–
*India, Manipur	0.0	(8.8)	0.6	(91.2)	–	–	–	–
India, Meghalaya	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Mizoram	0.1	(2.6)	2.2	(97.4)	–	–	–	–
India, Mumbai	0.1	(3.2)	2.5	(96.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
*India, New Delhi	0.1	(4.6)	2.6	(93.7)	–	–	0.1	(1.7)
India, Poona	0.0	(1.2)	2.1	(98.8)	–	–	–	–
*India, Sangrur district	0.1	(7.3)	1.6	(92.7)	–	–	–	–
India, SAS Nagar	0.0	(3.1)	1.2	(96.9)	–	–	–	–
*India, Tamil Nadu	0.1	(7.3)	1.1	(90.6)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(1.1)
*India, Tripura	0.1	(9.2)	1.0	(90.8)	–	–	–	–
India, Trivandrum	0.0	(1.8)	2.1	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
India, Wardha	0.0	(1.8)	1.7	(98.2)	–	–	–	–
India, West Arunachal	–	–	0.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	–	–	3.6	(90.8)	–	–	0.4	(9.2)
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.4	(8.8)	4.6	(87.5)	–	–	0.2	(3.7)
Israel	0.2	(5.6)	4.2	(92.6)	0.1	(1.5)	0.0	(0.3)
*Israel : Jewish	0.2	(6.0)	4.2	(92.7)	0.1	(1.0)	0.0	(0.3)
*Israel : Arabs	0.2	(4.7)	3.8	(94.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
Japan	0.1	(7.5)	2.1	(88.3)	0.1	(3.9)	0.0	(0.3)
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	0.1	(6.3)	2.1	(92.6)	0.0	(1.1)	–	–
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	0.6	(21.0)	2.0	(79.0)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Aomori	0.2	(10.5)	2.5	(88.8)	–	–	0.1	(0.7)
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	0.1	(5.0)	2.4	(95.0)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	0.1	(5.7)	2.2	(92.6)	0.1	(1.6)	–	–
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	0.1	(3.2)	2.4	(94.5)	0.1	(2.3)	–	–
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.1	(6.8)	1.9	(92.5)	0.0	(0.7)	–	–
Kuwait	0.1	(4.2)	1.6	(93.7)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	0.1	(3.8)	1.9	(94.3)	–	–	0.0	(1.9)
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	0.1	(4.8)	1.4	(92.9)	–	–	0.0	(2.4)
*Philippines, Manila	0.0	(1.2)	1.6	(95.7)	–	–	0.1	(3.1)
*Qatar : Qatari	1.2	(33.3)	1.8	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	0.2	(8.2)	2.8	(89.2)	0.1	(2.1)	0.0	(0.5)
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.0	(0.5)	2.4	(98.4)	0.0	(1.1)	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.1	(4.3)	2.9	(93.5)	0.1	(1.4)	0.1	(0.7)
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	0.1	(3.9)	2.0	(93.1)	0.1	(2.9)	–	–
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.1	(4.3)	2.4	(94.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
Republic of Korea, Jeju	0.1	(5.6)	2.5	(94.4)	–	–	–	–
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.1	(7.4)	2.3	(91.0)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(0.2)
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.2	(5.4)	2.5	(91.3)	0.1	(3.3)	–	–
Republic of Korea	0.1	(5.5)	2.4	(89.5)	0.1	(4.8)	0.0	(0.2)
Singapore	0.2	(13.0)	2.1	(85.5)	0.0	(1.4)	–	–
Singapore : Chinese	0.2	(13.7)	2.0	(86.3)	–	–	–	–
Singapore : Indian	0.1	(4.5)	2.9	(95.5)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)								
Singapore : Malay	0.3	(18.2)	2.0	(81.8)	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Bangkok	0.1	(9.5)	1.2	(87.9)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(1.7)
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.1	(7.9)	1.9	(90.1)	–	–	0.1	(2.0)
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	–	–	1.4	(96.7)	–	–	0.1	(3.3)
Thailand, Lampang	0.3	(16.1)	1.8	(80.6)	–	–	0.1	(3.2)
Thailand, Lopburi Province	0.7	(18.3)	3.1	(80.6)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
*Thailand, Songkhla	0.0	(1.5)	1.5	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Antalya	0.2	(4.8)	4.5	(94.5)	0.0	(0.7)	–	–
Türkiye, Bursa	0.2	(5.0)	3.8	(94.4)	0.0	(0.6)	–	–
*Türkiye, Edirne	0.3	(6.1)	4.2	(93.9)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Erzurum	2.1	(36.4)	3.2	(62.7)	–	–	0.1	(0.9)
Türkiye, Eskişehir	0.5	(15.9)	2.9	(84.1)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Gaziantep	1.1	(18.6)	4.5	(81.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
*Türkiye, Izmir	0.4	(8.1)	4.3	(90.8)	0.1	(1.0)	0.0	(0.2)
Türkiye, Malatya	0.1	(3.1)	2.8	(96.9)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Samsun	0.4	(8.9)	3.7	(91.1)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Trabzon	0.1	(3.5)	4.5	(94.8)	–	–	0.1	(1.7)
Europe								
Austria	0.2	(4.8)	4.4	(93.3)	0.0	(0.4)	0.1	(1.5)
Austria, Carinthia	0.0	(0.9)	3.9	(99.1)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Tyrol	0.2	(3.1)	4.9	(96.9)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Vorarlberg	0.1	(1.5)	4.5	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
Belarus	0.3	(8.2)	3.9	(88.4)	0.1	(2.9)	0.0	(0.5)
*Belgium	0.1	(2.8)	3.9	(94.3)	0.1	(2.9)	–	–
Croatia	0.2	(4.6)	4.9	(94.0)	0.0	(0.9)	0.1	(0.5)
Cyprus	0.0	(0.8)	3.7	(99.2)	–	–	–	–
*Czech Republic	0.1	(4.4)	4.1	(94.6)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.5)
Denmark	0.0	(0.5)	4.6	(99.5)	–	–	–	–
Estonia	0.1	(4.0)	5.0	(95.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
Finland	0.1	(1.5)	3.9	(97.7)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(0.1)
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.0	(1.5)	3.4	(98.5)	–	–	–	–
*France, Calvados	0.0	(0.9)	4.1	(99.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Doubs	0.1	(3.3)	3.5	(96.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Gironde	0.0	(1.0)	4.7	(98.4)	0.1	(0.6)	–	–
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.1	(1.4)	3.8	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
*France, Hérault	0.2	(4.7)	4.4	(94.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
*France, Isère	0.1	(1.9)	3.8	(96.2)	0.3	(1.9)	–	–
*France, Lille-Métropole	0.0	(0.9)	4.0	(99.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Limousin	0.2	(8.6)	3.6	(91.4)	–	–	–	–
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.1	(1.4)	3.9	(98.6)	–	–	–	–
*France, Manche	–	–	4.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Poitou-Charentes	0.1	(1.4)	4.2	(98.1)	–	–	0.0	(0.6)
*France, Somme	0.1	(3.9)	3.3	(96.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Tarn	–	–	4.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Territoire de Belfort	–	–	5.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Vendée	0.1	(3.2)	3.4	(96.8)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	0.1	(1.9)	3.7	(97.3)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.6)
Germany, Bavaria	0.1	(3.4)	3.8	(95.5)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.7)
Germany, Bremen	0.2	(4.7)	4.8	(95.3)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Hamburg	0.1	(2.6)	4.8	(97.1)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.2	(4.8)	4.4	(94.0)	0.2	(0.9)	0.0	(0.3)
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.1	(3.1)	4.5	(95.8)	0.1	(0.8)	0.0	(0.3)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.1	(1.8)	4.0	(97.0)	0.1	(1.1)	0.0	(0.1)
Germany, Saarland	0.1	(2.9)	4.4	(97.1)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	0.2	(4.7)	4.8	(95.1)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
Iceland	–	–	5.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Ireland	0.2	(3.8)	4.6	(92.6)	0.2	(2.8)	0.1	(0.7)
*Italy, Aosta Valley	–	–	3.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Avellino	–	–	4.2	(96.0)	–	–	0.3	(4.0)
Italy, Basilicata	0.0	(1.8)	3.8	(96.3)	–	–	0.1	(1.8)
*Italy, Benevento	0.2	(4.1)	4.5	(95.9)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Bergamo	0.0	(0.5)	4.0	(98.5)	–	–	0.1	(1.0)
*Italy, Brescia	0.1	(2.1)	3.1	(96.3)	–	–	0.1	(1.6)
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	0.1	(1.3)	4.3	(98.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
Italy, Calabria	0.1	(3.8)	5.0	(93.3)	–	–	0.3	(2.9)
*Italy, Caserta	–	–	3.7	(98.2)	–	–	0.1	(1.8)
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	0.1	(5.2)	4.0	(93.7)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	0.1	(2.1)	4.1	(97.1)	0.0	(0.2)	0.1	(0.5)
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.0	(0.7)	3.8	(90.6)	–	–	0.4	(8.6)
Italy, Genova	0.1	(1.7)	4.7	(98.3)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.2	(4.3)	4.7	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	0.0	(0.7)	4.7	(97.2)	0.1	(1.4)	0.2	(0.7)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)								
Italy, Marche	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	4.2	(97.8)	–	–	0.1	(0.7)
Italy, Milan	0.1	(3.9)	4.3	(93.9)	–	–	0.1	(2.2)
Italy, Molise	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	6.8	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Naples Centre	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.5)</i>	3.8	(91.6)	–	–	0.2	(1.9)
*Italy, Naples North	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	3.1	(90.1)	–	–	0.2	(5.4)
*Italy, Naples South	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	3.4	(94.6)	0.2	(1.2)	0.1	(2.4)
*Italy, Nuoro	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	2.1	(93.3)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Palermo	0.2	(5.3)	4.2	(93.9)	–	–	0.1	(0.9)
Italy, Puglia	0.1	(3.5)	4.1	(95.0)	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(0.9)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	3.6	(97.4)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Salerno	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	4.7	(94.1)	–	–	0.1	(2.2)
Italy, Sassari	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(10.5)</i>	2.6	(89.5)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Sondrio	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.8)</i>	4.8	(94.2)	–	–	0.1	(1.9)
Italy, South Tyrol	–	–	3.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Syracuse	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	5.0	(95.5)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
Italy, Trento	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	3.8	(95.5)	–	–	0.1	(1.1)
Italy, Turin	0.1	(2.8)	4.3	(88.2)	–	–	0.5	(9.0)
*Italy, Tuscany	0.1	(2.1)	5.5	(97.5)	–	–	0.1	(0.4)
Italy, Umbria	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	3.8	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Varese	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	3.8	(99.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Veneto	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	4.6	(97.7)	–	–	0.1	(1.6)
Latvia	0.9	(15.6)	5.3	(81.7)	0.2	(2.2)	0.0	(0.5)
*Liechtenstein	–	–	7.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Lithuania	0.7	(18.3)	5.3	(79.4)	0.0	(0.7)	0.2	(1.6)
Malta	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	4.2	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*The Netherlands	0.1	(2.6)	3.9	(97.3)	0.0	(0.1)	–	–
Norway	1.1	(20.0)	5.0	(72.6)	0.5	(6.5)	0.1	(1.0)
Poland, Kielce	0.4	(8.1)	3.9	(89.2)	–	–	0.1	(2.7)
*Portugal, Azores	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.5)</i>	2.7	(95.5)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.5	(8.0)	4.6	(91.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	0.4	(16.0)	2.3	(84.0)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Karelia	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(7.3)</i>	2.5	(92.7)	–	–	–	–
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.4)</i>	3.7	(92.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
Russian Federation, Murmansk	0.5	(17.8)	2.2	(82.2)	–	–	–	–
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.2	(4.5)	3.9	(95.1)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
Russian Federation, Pskov	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.0)</i>	3.9	(94.0)	–	–	–	–
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.3	(7.2)	4.0	(92.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	0.2	(5.2)	4.0	(94.8)	–	–	–	–
Slovenia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	4.0	(98.0)	0.0	(0.6)	–	–
Spain, Asturias	0.5	(9.4)	4.8	(90.6)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Basque Country	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	4.8	(99.0)	0.1	(0.5)	–	–
Spain, Canary Islands	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	4.4	(96.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
Spain, Castellón	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	3.9	(93.3)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Girona	–	–	4.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Granada	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.8)</i>	3.9	(94.2)	–	–	–	–
Spain, La Rioja	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	3.8	(98.1)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Murcia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	4.3	(98.2)	0.1	(0.9)	–	–
Spain, Navarra	–	–	4.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Salamanca	–	–	4.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Tarragona	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	3.7	(98.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
*Sweden	0.0	(1.0)	4.0	(97.0)	0.1	(1.9)	0.0	(0.1)
Switzerland, Aargau	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	4.1	(97.9)	–	–	0.1	(1.1)
Switzerland, Basel	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	4.4	(93.3)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	3.8	(98.3)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, East	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	4.2	(96.9)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Fribourg	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(12.2)</i>	3.9	(83.7)	–	–	0.2	(4.1)
Switzerland, Geneva	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	2.9	(96.7)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	–	–	3.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Lucerne	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(6.9)</i>	4.0	(85.1)	–	–	0.6	(8.0)
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(13.5)</i>	3.4	(86.5)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Ticino	–	–	4.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Valais	–	–	3.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Vaud	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	3.8	(98.3)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	0.1	(3.9)	3.6	(94.5)	0.1	(1.6)	–	–
Ukraine	0.2	(6.6)	3.5	(91.4)	0.1	(1.9)	0.0	(0.2)
UK, England	0.1	(2.3)	4.3	(94.5)	0.2	(3.0)	0.0	(0.1)
UK, Northern Ireland	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	4.0	(98.3)	0.1	(0.7)	–	–
UK, Scotland	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	4.2	(98.3)	0.1	(0.7)	0.0	(0.3)
UK, Wales	0.1	(2.5)	4.4	(96.4)	0.1	(1.2)	–	–
Oceania								
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.2	(5.4)	4.0	(93.7)	0.1	(0.9)	–	–
*Australia, Northern Territory	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	2.4	(93.8)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Brain, nervous system (C70-72) - Female (Contd)

	C70		C71		C72.0-5		C72.8-9	
	Meninges		Brain		Cranial nerves		Other & unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Oceania (contd)								
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	–	–	2.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Queensland	0.1	(2.7)	4.3	(96.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	–	–
Australia, South Australia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	4.2	(97.7)	–	–	–	–
Australia, Tasmania	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(7.6)</i>	3.9	(91.3)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>
*Australia, Victoria	0.1	(2.9)	4.3	(96.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>
*Australia, Western Australia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	4.0	(96.0)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	–	–
*New Caledonia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	2.2	(94.4)	–	–	–	–
New Zealand	0.1	(2.3)	4.1	(96.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	–	–
*New Zealand : Maori	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	4.4	(95.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	–	–
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(9.7)</i>	3.9	(90.3)	–	–	–	–
*New Zealand : Other	0.1	(2.0)	4.4	(97.3)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	–	–
USA, Hawaii	0.2	(7.4)	2.7	(89.9)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>
USA, Hawaii : White	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(5.3)</i>	4.7	(94.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	2.0	(95.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(9.4)</i>	2.9	(84.4)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	1.2	(81.2)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.