

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Other female genital organs (C57)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Africa</b>								
*Algeria, Batna	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)
*Benin, Cotonou	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, La Réunion	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*Kenya, Eldoret	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*Kenya, Nairobi	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Mauritius	0.0	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(33.3)
*Morocco, Casablanca	0.0	(57.1)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(42.9)
*Seychelles	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*Uganda, Gulu	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(75.0)
<b>America, Central and South, and Caribbean</b>								
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	0.0	(12.5)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(62.5)
*Argentina, Mendoza	0.1	(27.8)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(55.6)
Brazil, Aracaju	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*Brazil, Barretos	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	0.1	(47.8)	0.0	(13.0)	–	–	0.1	(39.1)
*Brazil, Campinas	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)
*Brazil, Curitiba	–	–	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	0.1	(75.0)
Brazil, Goiânia	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Recife	0.0	(8.3)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(58.3)
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)
Chile, Valdivia	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(75.0)
Colombia, Bucaramanga	0.1	(33.3)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
Colombia, Cali	0.1	(10.8)	0.3	(59.5)	0.0	(2.7)	0.1	(27.0)
Colombia, Manizales	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)
Colombia, Pasto	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Costa Rica	0.0	(22.2)	0.0	(22.2)	–	–	0.0	(55.6)
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.1	(62.5)
Ecuador, Manabí	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Quito	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)
*France, Guadeloupe	0.1	(15.4)	0.1	(7.7)	–	–	0.4	(76.9)
*France, Martinique	0.1	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*Peru, Lima	0.1	(27.9)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(72.1)
*Trinidad and Tobago	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(75.0)
USA, Puerto Rico	0.1	(24.2)	0.0	(12.1)	0.0	(1.5)	0.2	(62.1)
*Uruguay	0.1	(15.7)	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(1.2)	0.3	(78.3)
<b>America, North</b>								
Canada, Alberta	0.8	(63.5)	0.0	(3.0)	–	–	0.3	(33.5)
Canada, British Columbia	0.9	(61.4)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.4	(34.3)
*Canada, Manitoba	0.7	(46.8)	0.1	(6.5)	–	–	0.5	(46.8)
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.2	(38.1)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.2	(47.6)
*Canada, Newfoundland	0.2	(15.6)	–	–	–	–	1.0	(84.4)
Canada, Ontario	0.3	(44.6)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(2.8)	0.3	(48.1)
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	0.7	(50.0)	0.2	(10.0)	–	–	0.4	(40.0)
Canada, Quebec	0.8	(49.5)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(0.1)	0.7	(48.3)
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.6	(57.7)	0.0	(1.9)	–	–	0.3	(40.4)
*Canada, Yukon	0.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA	0.7	(70.9)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(1.4)	0.2	(25.1)
USA : White	0.8	(72.6)	0.0	(2.2)	0.0	(1.2)	0.2	(23.9)
USA : Black	0.5	(55.5)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(2.2)	0.3	(38.3)
USA, NPCR	0.7	(71.0)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(1.4)	0.2	(25.0)
USA, NPCR : White	0.8	(72.8)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(1.3)	0.2	(23.6)
USA, NPCR : Black	0.5	(55.3)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(2.3)	0.3	(38.5)
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.5	(71.7)	0.0	(4.2)	0.0	(2.7)	0.1	(21.3)
USA, NPCR : American Indian	0.4	(70.6)	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(2.9)	0.1	(20.6)
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.8	(69.5)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.2	(26.1)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.8	(71.0)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(1.4)	0.2	(25.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.8	(71.7)	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(1.3)	0.2	(24.9)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.6	(66.9)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(2.3)	0.2	(27.0)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	0.5	(56.5)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(2.3)	0.3	(36.9)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.6	(70.1)	0.0	(4.1)	0.0	(2.8)	0.2	(23.0)
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.9	(69.4)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(1.2)	0.3	(26.8)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	1.0	(70.1)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(0.9)	0.3	(26.9)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	0.5	(56.0)	0.1	(7.2)	0.0	(2.4)	0.3	(34.4)
USA, Alabama	0.3	(76.5)	0.0	(9.9)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(11.1)
USA, Alabama : White	0.3	(81.0)	0.0	(6.3)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(11.1)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>								
USA, Alabama : Black	0.2	(62.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(18.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>
USA, Alaska	1.2	(86.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(5.4)</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.1)</i>
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	<i>1.6</i>	<i>(83.3)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>
USA, Arizona	0.6	(76.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(20.6)</i>
USA, Arizona : White	0.7	(78.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(19.5)</i>
USA, Arizona : Black	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(42.9)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(57.1)</i>
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(80.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>
USA, Arizona : American Indian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>
USA, Arkansas	0.4	(74.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(17.8)</i>
USA, Arkansas : White	0.4	(74.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.5)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(17.7)</i>
USA, Arkansas : Black	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(77.8)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>
USA, California	0.7	(68.9)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(3.2)	0.2	(24.9)
USA, California : White	0.7	(70.4)	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(2.6)	0.2	(24.2)
USA, California : Black	0.4	(51.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.0)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(35.6)</i>
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.5	(69.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.9)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(22.2)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.6	(61.8)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(4.2)	0.2	(31.0)
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	0.7	(66.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.9)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(26.8)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	0.8	(69.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	0.5	(60.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(29.6)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	0.3	(40.0)	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(70.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(30.0)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(53.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.4)</i>	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(42.2)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	0.9	(71.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	0.0	(4.4)	0.2	(22.3)
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	1.0	(72.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(23.8)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	1.0	(72.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(23.0)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	0.7	(70.8)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(29.2)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	0.6	(48.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(12.0)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(36.0)</i>
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.8	(78.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(11.5)</i>
USA, Colorado	0.9	(81.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(18.3)</i>
USA, Colorado : White	1.0	(81.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(17.4)</i>
*USA, Colorado : Black	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut	0.9	(70.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(26.3)</i>
USA, Connecticut : White	0.9	(71.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(26.3)</i>
USA, Connecticut : Black	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(69.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(23.1)</i>
USA, District of Columbia	0.6	(69.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(26.1)</i>
USA, District of Columbia : White	0.7	(75.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>
USA, District of Columbia : Black	0.6	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
USA, Florida	0.6	(71.3)	0.0	(4.7)	0.0	(1.4)	0.1	(22.7)
USA, Florida : White	0.6	(72.6)	0.0	(4.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(22.0)</i>
USA, Florida : Black	0.5	(60.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(32.6)</i>
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(69.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(15.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>
USA, Georgia	0.5	(66.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(30.0)</i>
USA, Georgia : White	0.5	(71.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(25.5)</i>
USA, Georgia : Black	0.4	(53.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(43.4)</i>
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(80.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	0.5	(64.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(30.7)</i>
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	0.6	(72.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(24.6)</i>
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	0.3	(48.6)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.1)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(43.2)</i>
USA, Idaho	0.4	(63.8)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(36.2)</i>
USA, Indiana	0.6	(62.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.4)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(34.5)</i>
USA, Indiana : White	0.7	(63.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.1)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(33.1)</i>
USA, Indiana : Black	0.5	(45.8)	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>
*USA, Iowa	0.9	(61.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(35.7)</i>
USA, Kentucky	1.0	(80.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.5)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(17.4)</i>
USA, Louisiana	0.6	(69.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(28.5)</i>
USA, Louisiana : White	0.6	(76.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(22.3)</i>
USA, Louisiana : Black	0.4	(52.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(45.5)</i>
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	0.7	(76.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(20.6)</i>
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	0.9	(86.4)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(13.6)</i>
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(58.3)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
USA, Maine	1.9	(83.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(16.2)</i>
USA, Maryland	0.8	(70.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.0)</i>	0.1	(5.5)	0.2	(23.1)
USA, Maryland : White	1.0	(74.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(20.7)</i>
USA, Maryland : Black	0.5	(56.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.1)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(33.9)</i>
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(61.5)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(15.4)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(15.4)</i>
USA, Massachusetts	0.9	(64.8)	0.0	(2.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(32.6)</i>
USA, Massachusetts : White	1.0	(66.2)	0.0	(2.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.5)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(31.0)</i>
USA, Massachusetts : Black	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(87.5)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>								
USA, Michigan	0.7	(70.1)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(1.5)	0.2	(24.5)
USA, Michigan : White	0.8	(72.1)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.2	(23.6)
USA, Michigan : Black	0.5	(54.3)	0.1	(10.9)	–	–	0.3	(34.8)
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.4	(40.0)	0.3	(30.0)	–	–	0.3	(30.0)
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.8	(65.7)	0.1	(6.6)	0.0	(0.9)	0.2	(26.8)
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.8	(68.9)	0.1	(4.8)	0.0	(1.2)	0.2	(25.1)
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	0.6	(55.3)	0.1	(10.5)	–	–	0.3	(34.2)
USA, Minnesota	1.7	(78.1)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(0.2)	0.3	(20.9)
USA, Minnesota : White	1.7	(79.7)	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(0.2)	0.3	(19.5)
USA, Minnesota : Black	1.0	(44.4)	0.1	(5.6)	–	–	1.2	(50.0)
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	1.4	(81.8)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(18.2)
USA, Mississippi	0.4	(59.0)	–	–	0.0	(1.3)	0.2	(39.7)
USA, Missouri	0.8	(69.1)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(1.3)	0.2	(26.7)
USA, Missouri : White	0.8	(70.6)	0.0	(2.4)	0.0	(1.0)	0.2	(25.9)
USA, Missouri : Black	0.4	(50.0)	0.1	(10.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.2	(35.0)
USA, Montana	0.7	(84.6)	0.0	(2.6)	–	–	0.1	(12.8)
*USA, Montana : American Indian	1.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	0.7	(63.9)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(2.4)	0.2	(32.5)
USA, Nebraska : White	0.6	(63.3)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(2.5)	0.2	(32.9)
*USA, Nebraska : Black	0.9	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.4	(33.3)
USA, Nevada	0.4	(76.1)	–	–	0.0	(1.4)	0.1	(22.5)
USA, Nevada : White	0.5	(78.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(22.0)
USA, Nevada : Black	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	0.2	(50.0)
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
USA, New Jersey	0.9	(68.6)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(1.2)	0.3	(27.3)
USA, New Jersey : White	1.0	(70.6)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(1.2)	0.3	(25.6)
USA, New Jersey : Black	0.7	(58.7)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(1.6)	0.4	(36.5)
USA, New Mexico	0.8	(69.1)	0.0	(2.7)	–	–	0.3	(28.2)
USA, New Mexico : White	0.8	(69.3)	0.0	(2.0)	–	–	0.3	(28.7)
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	0.8	(74.2)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(25.8)
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.8	(61.5)	0.1	(5.1)	–	–	0.3	(33.3)
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	0.9	(75.0)	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	0.1	(12.5)
USA, New York State	0.9	(67.7)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(2.2)	0.3	(28.4)
USA, New York State : White	1.0	(69.7)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(2.0)	0.3	(27.1)
USA, New York State : Black	0.5	(51.4)	0.0	(5.4)	0.0	(4.1)	0.3	(39.2)
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.7	(77.8)	0.0	(1.9)	–	–	0.2	(20.4)
USA, North Carolina	0.9	(78.1)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(0.6)	0.2	(20.3)
USA, North Carolina : White	1.0	(81.5)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.7)	0.2	(17.4)
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.6	(59.3)	0.0	(4.7)	–	–	0.3	(36.0)
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.5	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, North Dakota	0.8	(65.5)	0.0	(3.4)	–	–	0.2	(31.0)
USA, Ohio	0.6	(77.3)	0.0	(2.2)	0.0	(1.1)	0.1	(19.3)
USA, Ohio : White	0.6	(76.8)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.1	(20.0)
USA, Ohio : Black	0.5	(80.5)	0.0	(4.9)	–	–	0.1	(14.6)
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma	0.3	(46.8)	0.0	(6.4)	0.0	(1.1)	0.2	(45.7)
USA, Oklahoma : White	0.3	(46.4)	0.0	(6.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.2	(46.4)
USA, Oklahoma : Black	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	0.2	(50.0)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)
USA, Oregon	1.0	(83.0)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(0.9)	0.1	(14.7)
USA, Oregon : White	1.0	(82.4)	0.0	(1.0)	0.0	(1.0)	0.1	(15.6)
*USA, Oregon : Black	0.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island	1.9	(91.8)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(8.2)
USA, Rhode Island : White	1.9	(92.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(7.7)
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	2.0	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	0.5	(16.7)
USA, South Carolina	0.6	(75.4)	0.0	(2.7)	–	–	0.2	(21.9)
USA, South Carolina : White	0.7	(75.5)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	0.2	(21.3)
USA, South Carolina : Black	0.4	(75.9)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(24.1)
USA, South Dakota	0.6	(77.8)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(22.2)
USA, Tennessee	0.7	(75.8)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(1.5)	0.1	(20.4)
USA, Tennessee : White	0.7	(76.9)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(1.8)	0.1	(19.5)
USA, Tennessee : Black	0.6	(65.7)	0.0	(5.7)	–	–	0.2	(28.6)
USA, Texas	0.4	(57.4)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(2.1)	0.2	(34.4)
USA, Texas : White	0.4	(58.9)	0.0	(6.6)	0.0	(1.8)	0.2	(32.7)
USA, Texas : Black	0.3	(40.8)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(3.9)	0.3	(52.6)
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.4	(70.8)	0.0	(4.2)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
USA, Utah	0.7	(70.7)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(2.2)	0.2	(22.8)
USA, Vermont	1.3	(70.2)	0.0	(3.5)	–	–	0.4	(26.3)
USA, Washington State	1.2	(71.5)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(0.8)	0.4	(26.3)
*USA, Washington, Seattle	1.3	(71.4)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(0.3)	0.4	(27.3)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>								
USA, West Virginia	0.7	(90.8)	0.0	(3.1)	–	–	0.0	(6.2)
USA, Wyoming	0.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Asia</b>								
Bahrain : Bahrainis	0.3	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(50.0)
Brunei Darussalam	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Anfu County	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*China, Anguo City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Anshan City	0.3	(80.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.1	(10.0)
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Arun District	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Baoding City	0.3	(52.4)	0.1	(19.0)	0.1	(9.5)	0.1	(19.0)
*China, Beijing City	0.4	(62.9)	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	0.2	(27.1)
*China, Binhai County	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
*China, Cangzhou City	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Changfeng County	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Changzhou City	0.4	(65.5)	0.1	(23.6)	–	–	0.1	(10.9)
China, Ci Xian County	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
China, Cixi City	0.2	(45.5)	0.1	(36.4)	0.0	(9.1)	0.0	(9.1)
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	0.1	(40.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.0	(20.0)
China, Dalian City	0.6	(86.8)	0.0	(7.4)	–	–	0.0	(5.9)
*China, Dancheng County	0.0	(4.7)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(2.3)	1.2	(90.7)
China, Dangtu County	0.3	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Danyang City	0.1	(37.5)	0.1	(37.5)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Dehui City	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Donggang City	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*China, Dongguan City	0.2	(70.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
China, Donghai County	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Dongtai City	0.1	(87.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	0.5	(62.5)	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	0.2	(25.0)
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Faku County	0.0	(16.7)	0.1	(16.7)	0.1	(16.7)	0.2	(50.0)
*China, Fangcheng County	0.3	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
*China, Feicheng County	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Feixi County	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Fuqing City	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.3	(62.5)
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	0.0	(40.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.0	(20.0)
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(33.3)
*China, Gaocheng City	0.1	(60.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(40.0)
China, Gaomi City	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)
China, Gong'an County	0.2	(33.3)	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.2	(44.4)
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
China, Guanghan City	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Guangzhou City	0.2	(51.1)	0.0	(10.7)	0.0	(0.8)	0.2	(37.4)
*China, Guanyun County	0.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
China, Guilin City	0.1	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.1	(42.9)
*China, Hai'an City	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(66.7)
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Haimen City	0.4	(65.5)	0.1	(17.2)	–	–	0.1	(17.2)
China, Haining City	0.4	(80.0)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	0.2	(13.3)
China, Hangzhou City	0.3	(68.9)	0.1	(15.2)	0.0	(0.8)	0.1	(15.2)
China, Hebi City	0.2	(22.2)	–	–	0.1	(22.2)	0.3	(55.6)
China, Hefei City	0.4	(85.7)	0.0	(8.6)	–	–	0.0	(5.7)
China, Hengdong	0.3	(57.1)	0.2	(42.9)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hengfeng County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Hepu County	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Honghu City	0.2	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	0.4	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	0.1	(37.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiange County	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)
China, Jiangmen City	0.2	(55.6)	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.1	(22.2)
*China, Jiangyin City	0.2	(76.5)	0.0	(17.6)	–	–	0.0	(5.9)
*China, Jiashan County	0.5	(50.0)	0.3	(43.8)	–	–	0.0	(6.2)
China, Jiaxing City	0.5	(80.0)	0.1	(13.3)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–
China, Jiayu County	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jinan City	0.2	(54.1)	0.2	(35.1)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(8.1)
*China, Jingtai County	0.3	(50.0)	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(25.0)
*China, Jingxian	0.3	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(50.0)
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	0.2	(31.2)	0.4	(56.2)	–	–	0.1	(12.5)
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	0.1	(45.5)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.2	(45.5)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>								
*China, Jiyuan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(70.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>
China, Kaihua County	0.3	(60.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
China, Kunshan City	0.4	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
China, Langzhong City	0.2	(50.0)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*China, Liangshan County	0.3	(62.5)	0.1	(18.8)	–	–	0.1	(18.8)
*China, Lianshui County	0.1	(60.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Lianyungang City	0.1	(57.1)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.0	(28.6)
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	0.1	(40.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
China, Linqu County	0.2	(58.3)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)
*China, Linzhou City	0.2	(50.0)	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.1	(27.8)
China, Liuzhou City	0.4	(82.4)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(17.6)
China, Liyang City	0.1	(46.2)	0.1	(30.8)	–	–	0.0	(23.1)
*China, Longquan City	0.3	(27.3)	0.5	(45.5)	–	–	0.3	(27.3)
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	0.2	(57.1)	0.2	(42.9)	–	–	–	–
China, Luoding City	0.1	(28.6)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.2	(57.1)
*China, Luohe City	0.2	(58.3)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*China, Luoyang City	0.2	(80.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
*China, Luquan City	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Ma'an Shan City	0.5	(71.4)	0.1	(21.4)	–	–	0.0	(7.1)
*China, Macheng City	0.2	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Meihekou City	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Mengjin County	0.4	(57.1)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(42.9)
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	0.1	(41.7)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(58.3)
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(62.5)	–	–	0.0	(12.5)
*China, Nantong City	0.3	(75.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.0	(5.0)
*China, Nanxiong City	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)
China, Neixiang County	0.2	(44.4)	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*China, Qianxi County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Qidong County	0.2	(86.7)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	0.0	(6.7)
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	0.4	(56.0)	0.3	(40.0)	–	–	0.0	(4.0)
China, Qingzhou City	0.2	(88.9)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	–	–
*China, Rudong County	0.5	(40.5)	0.7	(57.1)	–	–	0.0	(2.4)
*China, Rugao City	0.1	(36.7)	0.1	(23.3)	–	–	0.2	(40.0)
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	0.3	(65.7)	0.1	(13.9)	–	–	0.1	(20.4)
China, Ruyang County	0.1	(10.0)	0.2	(20.0)	0.2	(20.0)	0.4	(50.0)
*China, Shan County	0.4	(50.0)	0.1	(18.8)	–	–	0.2	(31.2)
China, Shanghai City	0.4	(69.4)	0.1	(17.1)	0.0	(0.5)	0.1	(13.0)
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	0.4	(65.0)	0.1	(15.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	0.2	(60.0)	0.1	(30.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
China, She Xian County	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
China, Sheyang County	0.3	(68.8)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
*China, Shijiazhuang City	0.2	(55.6)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	0.2	(46.7)	0.0	(13.3)	–	–	0.2	(40.0)
*China, Song County	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Suzhou City	0.3	(68.5)	0.1	(21.9)	–	–	0.1	(9.6)
*China, Tengzhou City	0.2	(68.8)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.1	(18.8)
*China, Wangdu County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Wanzai County	0.2	(40.0)	0.2	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Wu'an City	0.1	(7.4)	0.1	(7.4)	–	–	1.0	(85.2)
China, Wuhan City	0.3	(54.5)	0.1	(17.8)	0.0	(2.0)	0.1	(25.7)
*China, Wuhu City	0.2	(81.8)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)
China, Wuxi City	0.3	(66.1)	0.1	(16.1)	–	–	0.1	(17.9)
China, Wuzhou City	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	0.2	(35.7)	0.5	(64.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Xianju County	0.1	(16.7)	0.3	(50.0)	0.1	(8.3)	0.2	(25.0)
*China, Xin'an County	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Xining City	0.3	(58.3)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*China, Xinji City	0.1	(60.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(40.0)
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	0.4	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
China, Xiping County	0.2	(50.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.1	(40.0)
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)
*China, Xuyi County	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Ya'an City	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(40.0)	0.0	(13.3)	0.0	(13.3)
*China, Yakeshi City	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
China, Yancheng	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.1	(30.0)
*China, Yangquan City	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yangzhong City	0.1	(28.6)	0.2	(57.1)	–	–	0.1	(14.3)
*China, Yanji City	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yanshi City	0.1	(23.1)	0.1	(15.4)	–	–	0.3	(61.5)
China, Yanting County	–	–	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.3	(77.8)
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>								
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(75.0)
*China, Yiyuan County	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Yong'an City	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
China, Yongkang City	0.2	(44.4)	0.1	(22.2)	0.0	(11.1)	0.2	(22.2)
*China, Yucheng County	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Yunmeng County	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.0	(11.1)
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)
*China, Zhanhuang County	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhangjiagang City	0.4	(78.3)	0.1	(17.4)	–	–	0.0	(4.3)
*China, Zhaoyuan City	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhengding County	0.1	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhongshan City	0.1	(53.3)	0.1	(46.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Zhongxiang City	0.2	(60.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhuanghe City	0.4	(69.6)	0.1	(13.0)	–	–	0.1	(17.4)
*China, Zhuhai City	0.2	(57.1)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–	0.1	(35.7)
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	0.0	(7.1)	0.1	(71.4)	–	–	0.0	(21.4)
India, Aurangabad	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
India, Bangalore	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.0	(22.2)
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*India, Bhopal	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
India, Chandigarh	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*India, Chennai	0.0	(30.0)	0.0	(30.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.0	(30.0)
India, Dibrugarh	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikikai	0.0	(20.0)	0.0	(60.0)	–	–	0.0	(20.0)
India, Kamrup Urban District	0.1	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Kollam	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*India, Manipur	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
India, Meghalaya	–	–	0.0	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(33.3)
India, Mizoram	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
India, Mumbai	0.0	(15.6)	0.1	(59.4)	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
*India, New Delhi	0.0	(35.0)	0.0	(30.0)	–	–	0.0	(35.0)
India, Poona	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*India, Sangrur district	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
India, SAS Nagar	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*India, Tamil Nadu	0.0	(38.5)	0.0	(49.6)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(8.9)
*India, Tripura	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
India, Trivandrum	0.0	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Wardha	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
India, West Arunachal	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.1	(40.0)
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)
Israel	0.2	(24.3)	0.1	(9.9)	0.0	(0.4)	0.5	(65.4)
*Israel : Jewish	0.2	(24.4)	0.1	(8.1)	–	–	0.5	(67.5)
*Israel : Arabs	0.2	(22.2)	0.2	(27.8)	0.0	(5.6)	0.2	(44.4)
Japan	0.3	(94.1)	0.0	(1.5)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(4.0)
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	0.2	(93.5)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.5)
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	0.5	(90.0)	0.1	(5.0)	–	–	0.0	(5.0)
Japan, Aomori	0.4	(96.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(3.7)
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	0.3	(95.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.8)
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	0.3	(89.2)	0.0	(2.7)	–	–	0.0	(8.1)
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.3	(94.7)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)
Kuwait	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(66.7)
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*Philippines, Manila	0.1	(38.9)	0.1	(38.9)	–	–	0.0	(22.2)
*Qatar : Qatari	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	0.2	(72.7)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(4.5)	0.1	(20.5)
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.3	(77.5)	0.0	(7.5)	–	–	0.0	(15.0)
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	0.2	(69.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.0	(23.1)
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.3	(83.3)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)
Republic of Korea, Jeju	0.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.3	(74.5)	0.0	(8.5)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(16.3)
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
Republic of Korea	0.2	(74.5)	0.0	(7.0)	0.0	(0.9)	0.1	(17.7)
Singapore	0.3	(91.7)	0.0	(2.1)	–	–	0.0	(6.2)
Singapore : Chinese	0.2	(88.9)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(11.1)
Singapore : Indian	0.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	Fallopian tube		Uterine adnexa		Other specified		Overlap. & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>								
Singapore : Malay	0.6	(91.7)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Bangkok	0.0	(55.6)	0.0	(22.2)	–	–	0.0	(22.2)
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.2	(85.7)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–	0.0	(7.1)
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	0.1	(85.7)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Lampang	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Lopburi Province	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(25.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–
*Thailand, Songkhla	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)
Türkiye, Antalya	0.2	(37.5)	0.2	(46.9)	–	–	0.1	(15.6)
Türkiye, Bursa	0.2	(37.8)	0.1	(16.2)	–	–	0.2	(45.9)
*Türkiye, Edirne	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*Türkiye, Erzurum	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Eskişehir	0.6	(76.2)	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	0.1	(19.0)
Türkiye, Gaziantep	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(60.0)
*Türkiye, Izmir	0.3	(46.3)	0.2	(25.3)	0.0	(1.1)	0.2	(27.4)
Türkiye, Malatya	0.2	(44.4)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(22.2)
Türkiye, Samsun	0.3	(91.7)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Trabzon	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(77.8)
<b>Europe</b>								
Austria	0.4	(46.0)	0.1	(16.1)	0.0	(0.3)	0.3	(37.6)
Austria, Carinthia	0.6	(60.6)	0.1	(6.1)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)
Austria, Tyrol	0.2	(72.7)	0.1	(18.2)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)
Austria, Vorarlberg	0.4	(66.7)	0.2	(26.7)	–	–	0.0	(6.7)
Belarus	0.4	(90.5)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(5.9)
*Belgium	0.7	(72.7)	0.0	(6.0)	0.0	(0.4)	0.1	(20.8)
Croatia	0.8	(71.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(0.4)	0.2	(23.7)
Cyprus	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
*Czech Republic	0.6	(58.9)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(0.9)	0.3	(35.7)
Denmark	0.8	(84.9)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(15.1)
Estonia	0.5	(68.8)	0.0	(2.1)	–	–	0.1	(29.2)
Finland	0.9	(41.2)	0.3	(12.9)	0.0	(0.1)	0.8	(45.8)
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.5	(26.6)	0.0	(1.3)	–	–	1.1	(72.2)
*France, Calvados	0.4	(58.3)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(41.7)
*France, Doubs	0.1	(12.5)	0.2	(20.8)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)
*France, Gironde	0.2	(35.4)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(64.6)
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.2	(41.2)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(58.8)
*France, Hérault	0.2	(54.2)	–	–	0.0	(4.2)	0.1	(41.7)
*France, Isère	0.4	(64.1)	0.0	(5.1)	–	–	0.2	(30.8)
*France, Lille-Métropole	0.3	(34.6)	0.1	(7.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.3	(53.8)
*France, Limousin	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(90.0)
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.2	(37.8)	0.0	(5.4)	–	–	0.2	(56.8)
*France, Manche	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Poitou-Charentes	0.3	(73.1)	0.0	(3.8)	–	–	0.1	(23.1)
*France, Somme	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(5.6)	–	–	0.3	(77.8)
*France, Tarn	0.7	(92.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(7.7)
*France, Territoire de Belfort	0.5	(60.0)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(40.0)
*France, Vendée	0.0	(28.6)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(71.4)
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	0.8	(67.5)	0.1	(7.4)	0.0	(1.0)	0.2	(24.1)
Germany, Bavaria	0.6	(72.5)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(0.9)	0.1	(23.3)
Germany, Bremen	0.7	(81.2)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(18.8)
Germany, Hamburg	1.0	(79.0)	0.1	(9.0)	–	–	0.2	(12.0)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.3	(55.1)	0.1	(10.3)	0.0	(0.3)	0.2	(34.3)
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.6	(68.3)	0.0	(6.1)	0.0	(0.6)	0.2	(25.1)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.5	(58.5)	0.1	(11.8)	0.0	(1.5)	0.2	(28.2)
Germany, Saarland	0.4	(48.5)	0.2	(13.6)	–	–	0.4	(37.9)
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	0.7	(67.3)	0.1	(8.0)	–	–	0.1	(24.7)
Iceland	0.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ireland	0.4	(37.2)	0.1	(7.1)	–	–	0.5	(55.7)
*Italy, Aosta Valley	–	–	0.4	(40.0)	–	–	0.3	(60.0)
*Italy, Avellino	0.0	(16.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)
Italy, Basilicata	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(87.5)
*Italy, Benevento	0.3	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(50.0)
Italy, Bergamo	0.2	(38.5)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)	0.2	(53.8)
*Italy, Brescia	0.6	(38.1)	0.4	(21.4)	–	–	0.4	(40.5)
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	0.2	(54.5)	0.0	(27.3)	–	–	0.0	(18.2)
Italy, Calabria	0.1	(22.2)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(44.4)
*Italy, Caserta	0.2	(47.1)	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(5.9)	0.2	(41.2)
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	0.1	(36.8)	0.0	(18.4)	0.0	(5.3)	0.1	(39.5)
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	0.4	(33.5)	0.1	(19.2)	0.0	(2.0)	0.2	(45.3)
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.3	(21.1)	0.3	(52.6)	–	–	0.3	(26.3)
Italy, Genova	0.3	(33.3)	0.1	(13.9)	–	–	0.3	(52.8)
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.7	(42.5)	0.3	(17.5)	–	–	0.4	(40.0)
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	0.4	(27.1)	0.3	(25.0)	–	–	0.5	(47.9)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0		C57.1-4		C57.7		C57.8-9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Europe (contd)</b>								
Italy, Marche	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(21.9)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(21.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	0.1	(53.1)
Italy, Milan	0.3	(42.1)	0.1	(15.9)	0.0	(5.6)	0.2	(36.5)
Italy, Molise	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>
*Italy, Naples Centre	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(36.4)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(13.6)</i>	–	–	0.2	(50.0)
*Italy, Naples North	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(42.9)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(19.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.8)</i>	0.2	(33.3)
*Italy, Naples South	0.5	(73.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.5)</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(15.4)</i>
*Italy, Nuoro	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>
*Italy, Palermo	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(24.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.1)</i>	–	–	0.2	(63.6)
Italy, Puglia	0.2	(28.3)	0.2	(35.0)	–	–	0.1	(36.7)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	–	–	0.2	(60.0)
*Italy, Salerno	0.3	(40.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	–	–	0.3	(50.0)
Italy, Sassari	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	–	–	0.2	(33.3)
*Italy, Sondrio	<i>0.8</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
Italy, South Tyrol	0.6	(62.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
*Italy, Syracuse	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(46.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(46.7)</i>
Italy, Trento	0.3	(43.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(21.7)</i>	–	–	0.1	(34.8)
Italy, Turin	0.2	(66.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.6)</i>	0.1	(25.6)
*Italy, Tuscany	0.3	(55.6)	0.2	(27.0)	–	–	0.0	(17.5)
Italy, Umbria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(31.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(21.1)</i>	–	–	0.1	(47.4)
Italy, Varese	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(21.9)</i>	–	–	0.3	(53.1)
Italy, Veneto	0.3	(24.0)	0.4	(31.2)	–	–	0.5	(44.7)
Latvia	0.5	(74.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	–	–	0.1	(23.6)
*Liechtenstein	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Lithuania	0.4	(55.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	–	–	0.2	(41.6)
Malta	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(80.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>
*The Netherlands	0.4	(92.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.6)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	0.0	(6.7)
Norway	2.4	(88.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.7)</i>	–	–	0.2	(10.6)
Poland, Kielce	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(58.3)</i>
*Portugal, Azores	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	0.2	(61.1)
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
Russian Federation, Karelia	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(70.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(30.0)</i>
Russian Federation, Murmansk	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(64.3)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(35.7)</i>
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.3	(66.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	–	–	0.1	(25.6)
Russian Federation, Pskov	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(57.1)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(42.9)</i>
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.3	(80.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.8)</i>	–	–	0.1	(18.2)
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(35.7)</i>
Slovenia	0.9	(77.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.5)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.8)</i>	0.2	(19.7)
Spain, Asturias	0.3	(28.9)	–	–	–	–	0.4	(71.1)
Spain, Basque Country	0.3	(38.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.6)</i>	–	–	0.3	(59.7)
Spain, Canary Islands	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.2)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.8)</i>	–	–	0.3	(73.0)
Spain, Castellón	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(85.7)</i>	–	–	–	–
Spain, Girona	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(31.6)</i>	–	–	–	–	0.3	(68.4)
Spain, Granada	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(40.0)</i>
Spain, La Rioja	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(9.1)</i>	–	–	–	–	0.4	(90.9)
Spain, Murcia	0.4	(32.8)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.5)</i>	–	–	0.4	(59.7)
Spain, Navarra	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(41.7)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(58.3)</i>
Spain, Salamanca	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(30.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	0.2	(40.0)
Spain, Tarragona	0.4	(37.5)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.5)</i>	–	–	0.5	(55.0)
*Sweden	1.2	(84.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.1)</i>	0.1	(15.0)
Switzerland, Aargau	0.5	(48.7)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(12.8)</i>	–	–	0.4	(38.5)
Switzerland, Basel	0.7	(48.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.7)</i>	–	–	0.4	(48.6)
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	0.7	(61.7)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	–	–	0.4	(36.7)
Switzerland, East	0.5	(71.4)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(11.4)</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(17.1)</i>
*Switzerland, Fribourg	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(60.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(40.0)</i>
Switzerland, Geneva	0.5	(40.0)	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	–	–	0.6	(56.7)
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(62.5)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>
*Switzerland, Lucerne	0.6	(56.5)	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(13.0)</i>	–	–	0.2	(30.4)
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(29.4)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(11.8)</i>	–	–	0.5	(58.8)
Switzerland, Ticino	1.1	(49.1)	0.5	(22.6)	–	–	0.5	(28.3)
Switzerland, Valais	0.6	(45.5)	–	–	–	–	0.4	(54.5)
Switzerland, Vaud	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(28.1)</i>	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	0.5	(68.8)
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	0.8	(66.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	0.2	(28.3)
Ukraine	0.2	(83.9)	0.0	(5.4)	–	–	0.0	(10.7)
UK, England	0.6	(73.1)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(24.4)
UK, Northern Ireland	3.0	(86.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.3)</i>	–	–	0.4	(13.7)
UK, Scotland	0.3	(30.7)	0.0	(4.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	0.4	(64.9)
UK, Wales	0.7	(69.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	–	–	0.2	(27.9)
<b>Oceania</b>								
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.7	(68.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.9)</i>	0.2	(28.5)
*Australia, Northern Territory	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(57.1)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(42.9)</i>

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.



**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Other female genital organs (C57) (Contd)**

	C57.0 Fallopian tube		C57.1-4 Uterine adnexa		C57.7 Other specified		C57.8-9 Overlap & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Oceania (contd)</b>								
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>1.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>
*Australia, Queensland	0.8	(71.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(0.4)</i>	0.2	(24.9)
Australia, South Australia	0.8	(76.5)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(23.5)
Australia, Tasmania	0.5	(18.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	–	–	1.5	(79.7)
*Australia, Victoria	1.4	(76.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(1.7)</i>	–	–	0.4	(21.5)
*Australia, Western Australia	0.6	(43.5)	–	–	–	–	0.8	(56.5)
*New Caledonia	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(83.3)</i>
New Zealand	1.0	(48.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	0.5	(28.9)	0.4	(20.4)
*New Zealand : Maori	1.1	(44.2)	–	–	0.8	(30.2)	0.5	(25.6)
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	1.4	(32.3)	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(6.5)</i>	<i>1.2</i>	<i>(29.0)</i>	1.4	(32.3)
*New Zealand : Other	0.9	(49.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	0.5	(29.5)	0.3	(19.1)
USA, Hawaii	1.5	(82.9)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(17.1)
USA, Hawaii : White	2.1	(86.0)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(14.0)</i>
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	0.9	(76.9)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(23.1)</i>
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	<i>0.9</i>	<i>(60.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(40.0)</i>
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	2.0	(85.0)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(15.0)</i>
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	1.3	(81.2)	–	–	–	–	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(18.8)</i>

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*  
\*Important. See note on population page.