

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Africa</b>														
*Algeria, Batna	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*Benin, Cotonou	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, La Réunion	0.0	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Eldoret	2.3	(88.4)	–	–	0.2	(7.0)	–	–	0.1	(4.7)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Nairobi	2.1	(92.3)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)	–	–	0.1	(6.7)	–	–	–	–
Mauritius	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Morocco, Casablanca	0.1	(81.2)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–
*Seychelles	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	9.0	(97.8)	–	–	0.1	(1.1)	–	–	0.2	(1.1)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	15.5	(96.8)	0.0	(0.1)	0.1	(0.9)	0.1	(0.7)	0.2	(1.5)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Gulu	8.3	(84.4)	–	–	0.2	(2.6)	0.1	(1.3)	1.4	(11.7)	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	14.6	(96.4)	–	–	0.5	(3.6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	14.6	(86.3)	–	–	0.2	(1.4)	0.6	(4.6)	0.9	(5.8)	–	–	0.5	(1.9)
<b>America, Central and South, and Caribbean</b>														
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Argentina, Mendoza	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Aracaju	0.6	(87.5)	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Barretos	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	0.4	(59.6)	0.1	(11.5)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.1	(15.4)	–	–	0.1	(9.6)
*Brazil, Campinas	0.5	(88.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(11.8)
*Brazil, Curitiba	0.3	(87.5)	–	–	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.2)
Brazil, Goiânia	0.6	(64.3)	–	–	0.0	(3.6)	0.1	(7.1)	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	0.2	(60.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
Brazil, Recife	0.4	(57.9)	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	0.2	(26.3)	–	–	0.0	(5.3)
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	0.2	(40.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
Chile, Valdivia	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Bucaramanga	1.3	(90.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(2.3)	–	–	0.1	(7.0)
Colombia, Cali	0.9	(81.4)	0.1	(7.1)	0.0	(2.9)	–	–	0.1	(8.6)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Manizales	0.0	(33.3)	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Pasto	–	–	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
Costa Rica	0.3	(72.7)	0.0	(3.6)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(21.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.8)
Ecuador, Guayaquil	1.0	(82.6)	0.1	(11.6)	–	–	0.0	(1.2)	0.1	(4.7)	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Manabí	–	–	0.2	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Quito	0.7	(50.7)	0.5	(35.8)	0.1	(4.5)	0.0	(3.0)	0.1	(6.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Guadeloupe	–	–	0.8	(93.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(6.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Martinique	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Peru, Lima	1.6	(89.6)	–	–	0.0	(1.4)	–	–	0.2	(9.0)	–	–	–	–
*Trinidad and Tobago	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)
USA, Puerto Rico	0.7	(82.6)	0.1	(11.6)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.7)	–	–	0.0	(1.2)
*Uruguay	0.4	(80.0)	–	–	0.0	(2.0)	–	–	0.1	(18.0)	–	–	–	–
<b>America, North</b>														
Canada, Alberta	0.2	(89.7)	0.0	(3.4)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.9)	–	–	–	–
Canada, British Columbia	0.2	(94.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Manitoba	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Newfoundland	0.1	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
Canada, Ontario	0.3	(94.3)	0.0	(1.5)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(3.1)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Canada, Quebec	0.3	(87.0)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(7.3)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.2	(85.7)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Yukon	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA	0.4	(80.0)	0.0	(9.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(7.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
USA : White	0.3	(81.5)	0.0	(8.9)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(6.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
USA : Black	1.0	(75.1)	0.1	(10.2)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(2.2)	0.1	(10.2)	–	–	0.0	(1.5)
USA, NPCR	0.4	(79.9)	0.0	(9.0)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(7.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.9)
USA, NPCR : White	0.3	(81.4)	0.0	(8.9)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(6.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
USA, NPCR : Black	1.0	(75.2)	0.1	(10.2)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(2.2)	0.1	(10.2)	–	–	0.0	(1.5)
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(82.7)	0.0	(9.4)	0.0	(1.4)	–	–	0.0	(6.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, NPCR : American Indian	0.2	(69.2)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(11.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.6	(82.2)	0.1	(7.9)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(1.1)	0.1	(7.3)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.4	(82.7)	0.0	(8.2)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.3	(84.0)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(5.8)	–	–	0.0	(0.1)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.7	(80.7)	0.1	(9.6)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(8.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	1.3	(77.2)	0.2	(9.0)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(1.3)	0.2	(10.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(88.5)	0.0	(4.6)	0.0	(2.3)	–	–	0.0	(4.6)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.7	(85.1)	0.0	(4.1)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(8.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.1)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	0.4	(87.4)	0.0	(3.9)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	2.1	(80.3)	0.1	(5.1)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(1.1)	0.3	(12.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.4)
USA, Alabama	0.2	(56.2)	0.1	(27.1)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(14.6)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)
USA, Alabama : White	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(28.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(8.0)	–	–	0.0	(4.0)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>														
USA, Alabama : Black	0.3	(50.0)	0.2	(27.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(22.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Alaska	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	0.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona	0.2	(67.2)	0.0	(10.3)	–	–	0.0	(10.3)	0.0	(10.3)	–	–	0.0	(1.7)
USA, Arizona : White	0.2	(70.0)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.0	(2.5)
USA, Arizona : Black	0.8	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(16.7)	0.1	(8.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : American Indian	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.2	(40.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas	0.2	(74.1)	0.0	(7.4)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(7.4)	–	–	0.0	(11.1)
USA, Arkansas : White	0.1	(83.3)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(5.6)
USA, Arkansas : Black	0.4	(62.5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	0.2	(25.0)
USA, California	0.7	(85.6)	0.0	(6.1)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(1.4)	0.1	(6.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, California : White	0.6	(84.6)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(1.2)	0.1	(7.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, California : Black	1.2	(81.0)	0.1	(9.5)	0.0	(0.9)	0.1	(4.3)	0.1	(4.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(90.3)	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.9	(81.4)	0.1	(9.9)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(1.4)	0.1	(7.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	0.7	(78.7)	0.1	(10.7)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(1.8)	0.1	(8.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	0.6	(87.8)	0.0	(4.9)	–	–	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(3.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	0.8	(73.4)	0.2	(14.0)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(11.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	1.7	(78.9)	0.2	(12.3)	–	–	0.0	(1.8)	0.2	(7.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	0.6	(75.0)	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(73.3)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	1.1	(92.1)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.5)	0.1	(6.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	1.2	(90.6)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(0.7)	–	–	0.1	(8.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	1.2	(90.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.2	(9.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	1.1	(90.3)	0.1	(3.2)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	1.8	(95.7)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(4.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.5	(95.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	–	–
USA, Colorado	0.2	(81.6)	0.0	(8.2)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(6.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Colorado : White	0.2	(78.4)	0.0	(10.8)	0.0	(2.7)	–	–	0.0	(8.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Black	0.8	(87.5)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut	0.3	(81.6)	0.0	(6.1)	0.0	(4.1)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(4.1)	–	–	0.0	(2.0)
USA, Connecticut : White	0.3	(83.8)	0.0	(5.4)	0.0	(5.4)	–	–	0.0	(5.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut : Black	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
USA, District of Columbia	1.3	(67.5)	0.3	(15.0)	–	–	–	–	0.4	(17.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : White	0.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : Black	1.5	(52.0)	0.7	(24.0)	–	–	–	–	0.8	(24.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Florida	0.6	(83.6)	0.0	(5.5)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(0.9)	0.1	(7.4)	–	–	0.0	(2.0)
USA, Florida : White	0.5	(86.9)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	0.0	(1.2)
USA, Florida : Black	0.8	(72.5)	0.1	(7.3)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(0.9)	0.2	(13.8)	–	–	0.1	(4.6)
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia	1.0	(79.7)	0.1	(4.6)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(0.3)	0.2	(13.8)	–	–	0.0	(0.6)
USA, Georgia : White	0.4	(79.8)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(1.0)	–	–	0.1	(12.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia : Black	2.3	(79.4)	0.1	(3.6)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(0.4)	0.4	(14.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	2.1	(80.2)	0.1	(3.8)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(0.4)	0.4	(14.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	0.9	(80.9)	0.1	(4.4)	0.0	(1.5)	–	–	0.1	(13.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	3.9	(79.8)	0.2	(3.6)	0.1	(1.0)	0.0	(0.5)	0.7	(15.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Idaho	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Indiana	0.1	(81.6)	0.0	(7.9)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(10.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Indiana : White	0.1	(84.2)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(10.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Indiana : Black	0.9	(82.4)	0.1	(11.8)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(5.9)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Iowa	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Kentucky	0.1	(67.9)	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(3.6)	–	–	0.0	(3.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Louisiana	0.5	(70.7)	0.1	(16.2)	0.0	(4.0)	–	–	0.1	(8.1)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
USA, Louisiana : White	0.4	(81.8)	0.1	(13.6)	0.0	(2.3)	–	–	0.0	(2.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Louisiana : Black	0.9	(61.8)	0.2	(18.2)	0.1	(5.5)	–	–	0.2	(12.7)	–	–	0.0	(1.8)
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	1.4	(74.0)	0.3	(12.0)	0.1	(6.0)	–	–	0.2	(8.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	1.2	(83.3)	0.2	(12.5)	0.1	(4.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	1.8	(64.0)	0.3	(12.0)	0.2	(8.0)	–	–	0.4	(16.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maine	0.2	(87.5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maryland	0.3	(68.3)	0.1	(12.2)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(8.5)	–	–	0.0	(6.1)
USA, Maryland : White	0.1	(60.9)	0.0	(8.7)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(13.0)	–	–	0.0	(8.7)
USA, Maryland : Black	0.7	(69.1)	0.2	(14.5)	–	–	0.0	(3.6)	0.1	(7.3)	–	–	0.1	(5.5)
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts	0.3	(74.0)	0.1	(14.4)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(1.0)	0.0	(5.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.9)
USA, Massachusetts : White	0.2	(76.6)	0.0	(10.9)	0.0	(4.7)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Black	1.0	(63.0)	0.4	(25.9)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(3.7)	–	–	0.1	(7.4)
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>														
USA, Michigan	0.2	(81.7)	0.0	(8.6)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(6.5)	–	–	0.0	(1.1)
USA, Michigan : White	0.1	(88.9)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	0.0	(2.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(2.2)
USA, Michigan : Black	0.9	(77.5)	0.1	(12.5)	0.0	(2.5)	–	–	0.1	(7.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(40.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.3	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.5	(87.1)	0.1	(8.6)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.2	(96.7)	0.0	(3.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	1.2	(80.6)	0.2	(13.9)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(5.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Minnesota	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(9.6)	–	–	0.0	(3.8)
USA, Minnesota : White	0.2	(74.2)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(9.7)	–	–	0.0	(6.5)
USA, Minnesota : Black	1.7	(76.5)	0.2	(11.8)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(11.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Mississippi	0.2	(65.6)	0.1	(28.1)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Missouri	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(7.5)	–	–	0.0	(2.5)
USA, Missouri : White	0.1	(73.1)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.0	(3.8)
USA, Missouri : Black	0.5	(76.9)	0.1	(7.7)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)	0.1	(7.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Montana	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	0.1	(37.5)	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.0	(12.5)
USA, Nebraska : White	0.1	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada	0.5	(72.4)	0.1	(17.2)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(10.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada : White	0.4	(73.2)	0.1	(19.5)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(7.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada : Black	1.0	(75.0)	0.1	(8.3)	–	–	–	–	0.2	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, New Jersey	0.4	(72.2)	0.1	(19.6)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(3.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.3)
USA, New Jersey : White	0.3	(68.5)	0.1	(21.3)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(4.6)	–	–	0.0	(1.9)
USA, New Jersey : Black	0.8	(78.9)	0.2	(18.4)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(2.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico	0.3	(80.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : White	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.2	(71.4)	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	0.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, New York State	0.7	(83.1)	0.1	(8.8)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(0.8)	0.1	(5.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
USA, New York State : White	0.6	(85.5)	0.1	(8.5)	0.0	(1.5)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(4.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, New York State : Black	1.3	(76.0)	0.2	(10.2)	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(2.4)	0.2	(10.2)	–	–	0.0	(0.6)
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(82.6)	0.1	(17.4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, North Carolina	0.3	(77.7)	0.0	(10.7)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(6.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.8)
USA, North Carolina : White	0.2	(75.4)	0.0	(12.3)	0.0	(3.5)	–	–	0.0	(7.0)	–	–	0.0	(1.8)
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.8	(79.3)	0.1	(8.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(3.4)	0.1	(6.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(50.0)	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, North Dakota	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Ohio	0.2	(77.6)	0.0	(8.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(10.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.0)
USA, Ohio : White	0.1	(75.9)	0.0	(8.6)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(12.1)	–	–	0.0	(3.4)
USA, Ohio : Black	0.6	(77.4)	0.1	(9.7)	–	–	0.1	(6.5)	0.0	(6.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(11.1)	0.0	(2.8)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	0.0	(2.8)
USA, Oklahoma : White	0.2	(71.4)	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(3.6)	–	–	0.0	(7.1)	–	–	0.0	(3.6)
USA, Oklahoma : Black	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Oregon	0.3	(77.3)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oregon : White	0.2	(69.7)	0.0	(12.1)	–	–	0.0	(6.1)	0.0	(12.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Black	1.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island	0.3	(64.7)	0.1	(23.5)	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island : White	0.2	(60.0)	0.1	(26.7)	–	–	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina	0.3	(83.3)	0.0	(4.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(10.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina : White	0.2	(84.6)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(11.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina : Black	0.4	(84.2)	0.0	(10.5)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Dakota	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Tennessee	0.3	(75.4)	0.0	(7.2)	–	–	0.0	(1.4)	0.1	(15.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, Tennessee : White	0.2	(83.3)	0.0	(3.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(13.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Tennessee : Black	0.8	(67.6)	0.1	(10.8)	–	–	0.0	(2.7)	0.2	(18.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, Texas	0.6	(80.1)	0.1	(9.6)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(1.9)	0.1	(6.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
USA, Texas : White	0.5	(80.2)	0.1	(8.9)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.0	(0.2)
USA, Texas : Black	1.3	(79.9)	0.2	(10.7)	–	–	0.1	(3.8)	0.1	(5.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.6)
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(83.3)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Utah	0.2	(81.0)	0.0	(9.5)	–	–	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	–	–
USA, Vermont	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Washington State	0.3	(80.7)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(8.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Washington, Seattle	0.4	(84.0)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>														
USA, West Virginia	0.2	(78.6)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	0.0	(7.1)
USA, Wyoming	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Asia</b>														
Bahrain : Bahrainis	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brunei Darussalam	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Anfu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Anguo City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Anshan City	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Arun District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Baoding City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Beijing City	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Binhai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Cangzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Changfeng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Changzhou City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Ci Xian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Cixi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Dalian City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dancheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Dangtu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Danyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dehui City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Donggang City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dongguan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Donghai County	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dongtai City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Faku County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Fangcheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Feicheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Feixi County	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Fuqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)
*China, Gaocheng City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Gaomi City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Gong'an County	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guanghan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guangzhou City	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(75.0)
*China, Guanyun County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guilin City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hai'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Haimen City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Haining City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hangzhou City	0.0	(42.9)	0.0	(28.6)	-	-	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.3)
China, Hebi City	0.1	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hefei City	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(66.7)	-	-	-	-
China, Hengdong	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hengfeng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hepu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Honghu City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiange County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiangmen City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiangyin City	-	-	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiashan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiaxing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiayu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jinan City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jingtai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jingxian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>														
*China, Jiyuan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Kaihua County	0.2	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Kunshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Langzhong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Liangshan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Lianshui County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Lianyungang City	-	-	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Linqu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Linzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Liuzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
China, Liyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
*China, Longquan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Luoding City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
*China, Luohe City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Luoyang City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Luquan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Ma'an County	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Macheng City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Meihekou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Mengjin County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Nantong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
*China, Nanxiong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Neixiang County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Qianxi County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qidong County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qingzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Rudong County	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Rugao City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(37.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	0.0	(12.5)
China, Ruyang County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shanghai City	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(60.0)	-	-	0.0	(20.0)
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, She Xian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Sheyang County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shijiazhuang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Song County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Suzhou City	-	-	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)
*China, Tengzhou City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wangdu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wanzai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wu'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuhan City	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(40.0)
*China, Wuhu City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuxi City	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
China, Wuzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xianju County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xin'an County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xining City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xinji City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xiping County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xuyi County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ya'an City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yakeshi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yancheng	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(93.3)	-	-	-	-
*China, Yangquan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yangzhong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yanji City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yanshi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yanting County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>														
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yiyuan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yong'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yongkang City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yucheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yunmeng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zanhuang County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhangjiagang City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhaoyuan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhengding County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhongshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Zhongxiang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhuanghe City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhuhai City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Aurangabad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Bangalore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Bhopal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Chandigarh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Chennai	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Dibrugarh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikkai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Kamrup Urban District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Kollam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Manipur	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Meghalaya	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Mizoram	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Mumbai	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, New Delhi	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
India, Poona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Sangrur district	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, SAS Nagar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Tamil Nadu	0.0	(42.9)	0.0	(57.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Tripura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Trivandrum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Wardha	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, West Arunachal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Israel	1.2	(92.7)	0.1	(4.5)	0.0	(0.8)	-	-	0.0	(1.6)	-	-	0.0	(0.5)
*Israel : Jewish	1.4	(92.6)	0.1	(4.7)	0.0	(0.5)	-	-	0.0	(1.6)	-	-	0.0	(0.5)
*Israel : Arabs	0.4	(91.7)	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan	0.0	(51.1)	0.0	(21.8)	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	0.0	(4.5)
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	0.0	(60.0)	0.0	(20.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Aomori	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	0.0	(33.3)
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(20.0)	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
Kuwait	0.2	(81.8)	0.0	(18.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	0.4	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Philippines, Manila	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(53.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(23.1)	-	-	0.0	(15.4)
*Qatar : Qatari	0.6	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Busan	0.1	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.1	(58.3)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.1	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.2	(72.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.0)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Jeju	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.1	(72.3)	0.0	(16.9)	0.0	(1.5)	-	-	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(1.5)
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea	0.1	(76.6)	0.0	(14.0)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(0.9)
Singapore	0.1	(64.0)	0.1	(24.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.0)	-	-	-	-
Singapore : Chinese	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(26.7)	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Singapore : Indian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>														
Singapore : Malay	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Bangkok	0.0	(38.9)	0.0	(44.4)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(26.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.1	(26.7)	–	–	–	–
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Lampang	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Lopburi Province	0.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	–	–
*Thailand, Songkhla	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Antalya	0.8	(90.7)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(1.9)	–	–	0.0	(3.7)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Bursa	0.7	(96.4)	0.0	(3.6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Edirne	0.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Erzurum	0.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Eskişehir	0.3	(42.9)	0.4	(57.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Gaziantep	0.0	(28.6)	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(42.9)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Izmir	0.7	(92.6)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.2)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Malatya	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Samsun	1.0	(82.7)	0.2	(17.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Trabzon	1.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Europe</b>														
Austria	0.0	(55.6)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(3.7)	–	–	0.0	(14.8)	–	–	0.0	(22.2)
Austria, Carinthia	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Austria, Tyrol	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Vorarlberg	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Belarus	0.2	(76.2)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	0.0	(4.8)
*Belgium	0.4	(88.7)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.0)	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(7.2)	–	–	0.0	(0.5)
Croatia	0.1	(51.3)	0.0	(10.3)	–	–	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(10.3)	–	–	0.1	(25.6)
Cyprus	0.5	(94.1)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Czech Republic	0.1	(73.3)	0.0	(8.9)	–	–	0.0	(2.2)	0.0	(15.6)	–	–	–	–
Denmark	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Estonia	0.0	(28.6)	0.1	(57.1)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Finland	0.1	(93.3)	–	–	0.0	(4.4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(2.2)
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Calvados	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Doubs	0.2	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Gironde	0.5	(87.1)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(6.5)	–	–	–	–
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.3	(78.6)	0.1	(21.4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Hérault	0.4	(90.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Isère	0.3	(90.9)	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Lille-Métropole	0.3	(63.6)	0.1	(18.2)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Limousin	0.1	(20.0)	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.2	(60.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Manche	0.0	(33.3)	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Poitou-Charentes	0.4	(87.9)	0.0	(6.1)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.1)	–	–	–	–
*France, Somme	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Tarn	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Territoire de Belfort	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Vendée	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	0.1	(77.0)	0.0	(9.8)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(3.3)	–	–	0.0	(6.6)
Germany, Bavaria	0.0	(41.4)	0.0	(37.9)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(13.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.7)
Germany, Bremen	0.1	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
Germany, Hamburg	0.1	(68.4)	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(10.5)	–	–	–	0.0	(21.1)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.1	(59.1)	0.0	(6.8)	–	–	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(20.5)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.2	(79.9)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(1.7)	–	–	0.0	(10.3)	–	–	0.0	(6.3)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.1	(76.5)	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(23.5)	–	–	–	–	–
Germany, Saarland	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(33.3)
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	0.0	(43.8)
Iceland	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ireland	0.2	(84.2)	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Aosta Valley	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Avellino	0.5	(90.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Italy, Basilicata	0.8	(87.1)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(3.2)	–	–	0.0	(6.5)
*Italy, Benevento	1.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Italy, Bergamo	1.2	(92.2)	0.0	(1.3)	0.1	(2.6)	–	–	0.1	(3.9)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Brescia	1.0	(96.5)	–	–	0.0	(1.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.8)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	0.4	(93.3)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Italy, Calabria	0.3	(22.9)	0.8	(71.4)	–	–	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(2.9)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Caserta	1.2	(81.2)	0.2	(10.4)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(6.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	0.6	(70.9)	0.3	(27.8)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(1.3)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	0.7	(91.5)	0.0	(2.4)	–	–	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(5.5)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.2	(94.1)	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Italy, Genova	0.7	(89.7)	–	–	0.0	(3.4)	–	–	0.0	(3.4)	–	–	0.0	(3.4)
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.4	(88.2)	–	–	0.1	(11.8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	2.2	(95.9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(4.1)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Europe (contd)</b>														
Italy, Marche	0.7	(84.1)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(2.3)	-	-	0.1	(9.1)	-	-	0.0	(2.3)
Italy, Milan	0.7	(73.4)	0.1	(5.7)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(0.6)	0.2	(15.8)	-	-	0.0	(2.5)
Italy, Molise	1.0	(75.0)	0.2	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.3)
*Italy, Naples Centre	0.8	(82.6)	0.1	(4.3)	-	-	0.0	(4.3)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(8.7)
*Italy, Naples North	1.2	(97.5)	0.0	(2.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Naples South	1.4	(93.2)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(1.4)	-	-	0.1	(2.7)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Nuoro	0.9	(88.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Palermo	0.5	(69.8)	0.2	(27.9)	0.0	(2.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Puglia	1.6	(88.9)	0.1	(7.7)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(1.6)	-	-	0.0	(1.4)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	1.0	(94.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.6)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Salerno	0.5	(71.4)	0.2	(25.7)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.9)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Sassari	1.1	(64.7)	0.5	(23.5)	-	-	0.2	(11.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Sondrio	0.9	(88.2)	0.2	(5.9)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	-	-
Italy, South Tyrol	0.4	(84.6)	-	-	0.1	(7.7)	-	-	0.1	(7.7)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Syracuse	0.3	(87.5)	-	-	0.1	(12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Trento	0.6	(93.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Turin	0.6	(88.6)	0.0	(3.8)	-	-	0.0	(1.0)	0.1	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Tuscany	0.4	(67.6)	0.2	(29.4)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.9)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Umbria	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Varese	0.3	(90.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(10.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Veneto	0.5	(84.4)	0.0	(2.5)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(13.1)	-	-	-	-
Latvia	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Liechtenstein	-	-	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Lithuania	0.1	(86.4)	0.0	(4.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
Malta	0.5	(78.6)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(7.1)	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
*The Netherlands	0.3	(77.3)	0.1	(14.8)	0.0	(1.5)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	-	-
Norway	0.1	(86.2)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(3.4)	-	-	0.0	(6.9)	-	-	-	-
Poland, Kielce	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Portugal, Azores	0.8	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	0.3	(64.3)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	0.1	(14.3)
Russian Federation, Karelia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)
Russian Federation, Murmansk	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.3	(48.8)	0.3	(41.5)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(7.3)	-	-	0.0	(2.4)
Russian Federation, Pskov	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.4	(82.4)	0.0	(7.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.8)	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovenia	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Asturias	0.1	(12.5)	0.5	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Basque Country	-	-	0.6	(93.6)	-	-	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(4.3)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Canary Islands	1.1	(89.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(10.2)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Castellón	0.1	(40.0)	0.0	(20.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Girona	0.4	(76.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.9)	0.1	(17.6)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Granada	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, La Rioja	0.2	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Murcia	0.5	(91.7)	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Navarra	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(22.2)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Salamanca	0.6	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Tarragona	0.6	(79.2)	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
*Sweden	0.1	(81.4)	0.0	(15.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.4)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Aargau	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Basel	0.2	(71.4)	0.1	(28.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, East	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Fribourg	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Geneva	0.8	(93.3)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Lucerne	0.1	(60.0)	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Ticino	0.3	(50.0)	0.3	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Valais	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Vaud	0.4	(75.0)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(18.8)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	0.6	(89.5)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(2.6)	-	-	0.0	(5.3)	-	-	-	-
Ukraine	0.0	(56.8)	0.0	(29.7)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(6.3)	-	-	0.0	(1.8)
UK, England	0.2	(69.9)	0.1	(15.6)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(5.0)	-	-	0.0	(0.7)
UK, Northern Ireland	0.1	(46.2)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.1	(23.1)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(15.4)
UK, Scotland	0.1	(68.0)	0.0	(8.0)	-	-	0.0	(8.0)	0.0	(8.0)	-	-	0.0	(8.0)
UK, Wales	0.1	(45.0)	0.0	(15.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	0.0	(15.0)
<b>Oceania</b>														
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.3	(81.6)	0.0	(9.2)	0.0	(1.0)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	(5.1)	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Northern Territory	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.



Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases

Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (Contd)

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Oceania (contd)</b>														
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Queensland	0.1	(76.5)	-	-	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(8.8)	0.0	(11.8)	-	-	-	-
Australia, South Australia	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Tasmania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)
*Australia, Victoria	-	-	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Western Australia	0.1	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Caledonia	0.1	(33.3)	0.2	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Zealand	0.1	(90.5)	-	-	0.0	(4.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.8)
*New Zealand : Maori	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand : Other	0.2	(93.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(6.2)
USA, Hawaii	0.4	(90.0)	0.1	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Hawaii : White	0.5	(80.0)	0.2	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics  
\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)**  
**Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Africa</b>														
*Algeria, Batna	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	0.1	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Benin, Cotonou	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, La Réunion	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Eldoret	2.3	(88.1)	0.1	(2.4)	0.1	(7.1)	–	–	0.1	(2.4)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Nairobi	0.8	(83.9)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(5.4)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–	–	–
Mauritius	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Morocco, Casablanca	0.1	(55.6)	0.0	(27.8)	0.0	(5.6)	–	–	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	–	–
*Seychelles	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	4.4	(91.7)	–	–	0.1	(2.8)	–	–	0.3	(5.6)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	6.9	(91.1)	–	–	0.1	(2.2)	0.3	(3.5)	0.3	(3.3)	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Gulu	3.3	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.5	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	5.6	(95.7)	–	–	0.1	(2.2)	–	–	0.1	(2.2)	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	6.7	(83.0)	–	–	0.2	(2.4)	0.4	(5.6)	0.7	(7.3)	–	–	0.1	(1.7)
<b>America, Central and South, and Caribbean</b>														
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*Argentina, Mendoza	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Aracaju	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Barretos	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Campinas	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
*Brazil, Curitiba	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Goiânia	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, Recife	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Chile, Valdivia	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Bucaramanga	0.2	(72.7)	0.0	(9.1)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Cali	0.1	(91.7)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Manizales	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Pasto	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Costa Rica	0.1	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Manabí	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ecuador, Quito	0.1	(38.5)	0.1	(53.8)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Guadeloupe	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Martinique	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Peru, Lima	0.2	(95.8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.2)	–	–	–	–
*Trinidad and Tobago	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Puerto Rico	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Uruguay	0.1	(84.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(15.8)	–	–	–	–
<b>America, North</b>														
Canada, Alberta	0.0	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Canada, British Columbia	0.0	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Manitoba	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Newfoundland	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Canada, Ontario	0.0	(97.4)	0.0	(2.6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Canada, Quebec	0.1	(87.0)	–	–	0.0	(4.3)	–	–	0.0	(8.7)	–	–	–	–
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Yukon	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA	0.0	(81.5)	0.0	(12.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(4.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.6)
USA : White	0.0	(83.8)	0.0	(13.2)	0.0	(0.3)	–	–	0.0	(2.5)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
USA : Black	0.1	(73.2)	0.0	(11.5)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(10.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.9)
USA, NPCR	0.0	(81.3)	0.0	(12.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(5.0)	–	–	0.0	(0.7)
USA, NPCR : White	0.0	(83.6)	0.0	(13.2)	0.0	(0.3)	–	–	0.0	(2.6)	–	–	0.0	(0.3)
USA, NPCR : Black	0.1	(73.1)	0.0	(11.5)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(10.9)	–	–	0.0	(1.9)
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(86.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(13.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, NPCR : American Indian	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.0	(79.7)	0.0	(13.4)	0.0	(0.5)	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	0.0	(0.5)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.0	(79.0)	0.0	(17.6)	0.0	(0.8)	–	–	0.0	(2.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.0	(81.0)	0.0	(15.5)	0.0	(1.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.0	(74.3)	0.0	(22.9)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(2.9)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	0.1	(70.8)	0.0	(10.4)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(88.9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.0	(84.6)	0.0	(10.3)	0.0	(1.3)	–	–	0.0	(3.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	0.0	(82.2)	0.0	(13.3)	0.0	(2.2)	–	–	0.0	(2.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	0.1	(83.3)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Alabama	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Alabama : White	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*  
\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)**

**Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>														
USA, Alabama : Black	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Alaska	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona	0.0	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : White	0.0	(80.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, California	0.0	(83.0)	0.0	(13.8)	0.0	(1.1)	–	–	0.0	(2.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, California : White	0.0	(78.3)	0.0	(18.3)	0.0	(1.7)	–	–	0.0	(1.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, California : Black	0.1	(90.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.0	(76.9)	0.0	(19.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(3.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	0.0	(68.8)	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	0.0	(71.4)	0.0	(28.6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(22.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	0.1	(82.4)	0.0	(11.8)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	0.0	(75.0)	0.0	(16.7)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(80.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Colorado	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Colorado : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia	0.1	(60.0)	–	–	0.0	(20.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : Black	0.3	(60.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Florida	0.1	(90.8)	0.0	(6.6)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(1.3)	–	–	0.0	(1.3)
USA, Florida : White	0.0	(92.5)	0.0	(5.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(1.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, Florida : Black	0.1	(93.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.7)
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia	0.0	(81.2)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia : Black	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	0.1	(76.9)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(15.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	0.1	(72.7)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(18.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Idaho	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Indiana	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Indiana : White	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Indiana : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Iowa	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Kentucky	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Louisiana	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(30.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Louisiana : White	0.0	(57.1)	0.0	(28.6)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Louisiana : Black	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maine	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Maryland	0.0	(64.7)	0.0	(23.5)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(11.8)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maryland : White	0.0	(60.0)	0.0	(40.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Maryland : Black	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts	0.0	(94.1)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Black	0.3	(83.3)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

*Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics*

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)**  
**Percentage distribution of the number of cases**  
**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>														
USA, Michigan	0.0	(88.2)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	–
USA, Michigan : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Michigan : Black	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.1	(93.3)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	0.1	(83.3)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Minnesota	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Minnesota : White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Minnesota : Black	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Mississippi	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Missouri	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Missouri : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Missouri : Black	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Montana	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Nebraska	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Nebraska : White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Nevada	0.0	(83.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
USA, Nevada : White	0.0	(75.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
USA, Nevada : Black	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, New Jersey	0.0	(62.1)	0.0	(17.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(17.2)	–	–	0.0	(3.4)
USA, New Jersey : White	0.0	(71.4)	0.0	(28.6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, New Jersey : Black	0.1	(46.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(38.5)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)
USA, New Mexico	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, New Mexico : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, New York State	0.1	(89.2)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)	0.0	(3.9)	–	–	–	
USA, New York State : White	0.1	(93.3)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(1.3)	–	–	–	
USA, New York State : Black	0.1	(76.2)	0.0	(9.5)	–	–	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(9.5)	–	–	–	
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	
USA, North Carolina	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, North Carolina : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, North Dakota	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	
USA, Ohio	0.0	(76.9)	0.0	(23.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Ohio : White	0.0	(77.8)	0.0	(22.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Ohio : Black	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Oklahoma	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Oklahoma : White	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Oklahoma : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Oregon	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Oregon : White	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Oregon : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Rhode Island	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Rhode Island : White	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, South Carolina	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	
USA, South Carolina : White	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	
USA, South Carolina : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, South Dakota	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Tennessee	0.0	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Tennessee : White	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Tennessee : Black	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Texas	0.0	(83.9)	0.0	(11.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.8)	–	–	–	
USA, Texas : White	0.0	(87.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(5.1)	–	–	–	
USA, Texas : Black	0.2	(77.3)	0.0	(18.2)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(4.5)	–	–	–	
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Utah	0.0	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
USA, Vermont	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	
USA, Washington State	0.0	(75.0)	0.0	(18.8)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	–	
*USA, Washington, Seattle	0.0	(78.6)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	–	–	0.0	(7.1)	–	–	–	

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>America, North (contd)</b>														
USA, West Virginia	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Asia</b>														
Bahrain : Bahrainis	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brunei Darussalam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Anfu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Anguo City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Anshan City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Arun District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Baoding City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Beijing City	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
*China, Binhai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Cangzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Changfeng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Changzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Ci Xian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Cixi City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Dalian City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dancheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Dangtu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Danyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dehui City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Donggang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dongguan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Donghai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Dongtai City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Faku County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Fangcheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Feicheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Feixi County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Fuqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Gaocheng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Gaomi City	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Gong'an County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guanghan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guangzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Guanyun County	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guilin City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hai'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Haimen City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Haining City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hangzhou City	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hebi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hefei City	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hengdong	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hengfeng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hepu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Honghu City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiange County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiangmen City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiangyin City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiashan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiaxing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiayu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jinan City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jingtai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jingxian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>														
*China, Jiyuan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Kaihua County	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Kunshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Langzhong City	-	-	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Liangshan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Lianshui County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Lianyungang City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Linhe District, Bayannur City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Linqu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Linzhou City	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Liuzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Liyang City	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
*China, Longquan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Lucheng District, Wenzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Luoding City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Luohe City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Luoyang City	0.0	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Luquan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Ma'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Macheng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Meihekou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Mengjin County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Nangang District, Harbin City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Nanhai District, Foshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Nantong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Nanxiong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Neixiang County	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Qianxi County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qidong County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qingyang District, Chengdu City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qingzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Rudong County	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Rugao City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Rural areas of Shanghai City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Ruyang County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shanghai City	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
China, Shangyu District, Shaoxing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shapingba District, Chongqing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, She Xian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Sheyang County	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shijiazhuang City	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shunde District, Foshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Song County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Suzhou City	-	-	0.0	(66.7)	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Tengzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wangdu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wanzai County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wu'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuhan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Wuhu City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuxi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
China, Wuzhou City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xiangfu District, Kaifeng City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xianju County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xin'an County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xining City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Xinji City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xinluo District, Longyan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xinzhou District, Shangrao City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xiping County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xishan District, Kunming City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Xuyi County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Ya'an City	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yakeshi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yancheng	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Yangquan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yangzhong City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yanji City	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yanshi City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yanting County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yi'an District, Tongling City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>														
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yiyuan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yong'an City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yongkang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yucheng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yunmeng County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zanhuan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhangjiagang City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhaoyuan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhengding County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhongshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Zhongxiang City	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhuanghe City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Zhuhai City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Aurangabad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Bangalore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Bhopal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Chandigarh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Chennai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Dibrugarh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikkai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Kamrup Urban District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Kollam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Manipur	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
India, Meghalaya	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Mizoram	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Mumbai	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, New Delhi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
India, Poona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Sangrur district	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, SAS Nagar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Tamil Nadu	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Tripura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Trivandrum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, Wardha	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
India, West Arunachal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
Israel	0.4	(92.3)	0.0	(3.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(1.9)	-	-	0.0	(2.6)
*Israel : Jewish	0.4	(91.5)	0.0	(3.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.1)	-	-	0.0	(2.8)
*Israel : Arabs	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan	0.0	(76.9)	0.0	(15.4)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Aomori	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Philippines, Manila	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*Qatar : Qatari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Busan	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Jeju	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.0	(82.4)	0.0	(11.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.9)
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea	0.0	(80.3)	0.0	(11.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.9)	-	-	0.0	(3.9)
Singapore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Singapore : Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Singapore : Indian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)**  
**Percentage distribution of the number of cases**  
**Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Asia (contd)</b>														
Singapore : Malay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Bangkok	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Lampang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Lopburi Province	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Thailand, Songkhla	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Antalya	0.4	(96.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.3)	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Bursa	0.2	(85.7)	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Türkiye, Edirne	0.5	(84.6)	0.1	(15.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Türkiye, Erzurum	0.3	(87.5)	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Eskişehir	0.2	(70.0)	0.1	(30.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Gaziantep	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
*Türkiye, Izmir	0.2	(94.9)	0.0	(5.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Malatya	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Samsun	0.4	(77.8)	0.1	(18.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	-	-
Türkiye, Trabzon	0.6	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Europe</b>														
Austria	0.0	(18.2)	0.0	(18.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(27.3)	-	-	0.0	(36.4)
Austria, Carinthia	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Austria, Tyrol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Austria, Vorarlberg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Belarus	0.1	(72.1)	0.0	(11.6)	0.0	(2.3)	-	-	0.0	(4.7)	-	-	0.0	(9.3)
*Belgium	0.1	(90.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(4.0)	-	-	0.0	(4.0)
Croatia	0.0	(61.5)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(15.4)
Cyprus	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Czech Republic	0.0	(73.7)	0.0	(21.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.3)
Denmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Estonia	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Finland	0.0	(95.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.8)	-	-	-	-
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Calvados	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Doubs	0.1	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Gironde	0.0	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Hérault	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Isère	0.1	(90.0)	0.0	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Lille-Métropole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Limousin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
*France, Manche	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Poitou-Charentes	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Somme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Tarn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Territoire de Belfort	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Vendée	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	0.0	(55.6)	0.0	(22.2)	-	-	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(5.6)	-	-	0.0	(11.1)
Germany, Bavaria	0.0	(44.4)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.6)	-	-	-	-
Germany, Bremen	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
Germany, Hamburg	0.0	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	0.0	(16.7)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.0	(57.1)	0.0	(21.4)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(21.4)	-	-	-	-
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.0	(80.5)	0.0	(12.2)	0.0	(2.4)	-	-	0.0	(2.4)	-	-	0.0	(2.4)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	0.0	(33.3)
Germany, Saarland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
Iceland	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ireland	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Aosta Valley	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Avellino	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Basilicata	0.1	(88.9)	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Benevento	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Bergamo	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Brescia	0.1	(91.7)	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Calabria	0.0	(6.7)	0.3	(86.7)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Caserta	0.5	(96.3)	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	0.2	(84.4)	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	0.0	(3.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	0.2	(94.0)	-	-	0.0	(1.5)	-	-	0.0	(4.5)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.0	(71.4)	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Genova	0.1	(92.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	0.4	(97.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.6)	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.



**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)  
Percentage distribution of the number of cases  
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
<b>Europe (contd)</b>														
Italy, Marche	0.1	(93.3)	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Milan	0.1	(79.6)	0.0	(5.6)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.8)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Molise	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Naples Centre	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(15.0)	-	-	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(5.0)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Naples North	0.2	(81.2)	0.0	(6.2)	0.1	(6.2)	-	-	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Naples South	0.4	(83.9)	0.0	(9.7)	0.0	(3.2)	-	-	0.0	(3.2)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Nuoro	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Palermo	0.1	(56.5)	0.1	(43.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Puglia	0.5	(86.6)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(1.7)	-	-	0.0	(3.0)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	0.2	(92.3)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Salerno	0.2	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Sassari	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Sondrio	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, South Tyrol	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Syracuse	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Trento	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Turin	0.2	(85.7)	0.0	(2.9)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(11.4)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Tuscany	0.0	(70.8)	0.0	(29.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Umbria	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Varese	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Veneto	0.1	(85.7)	0.0	(2.9)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(11.4)	-	-	-	-
Latvia	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Lithuania	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Malta	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
*The Netherlands	0.0	(90.0)	0.0	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Norway	0.0	(60.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-
Poland, Kielce	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*Portugal, Azores	0.6	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	0.0	(14.3)	0.1	(57.1)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	0.0	(14.3)
Russian Federation, Karelia	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Murmansk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.1	(42.9)	0.1	(57.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Pskov	0.1	(16.7)	0.2	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.1	(89.3)	0.0	(3.6)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovenia	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Asturias	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Basque Country	-	-	0.1	(85.7)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Canary Islands	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Castellón	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Girona	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Granada	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, La Rioja	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Murcia	0.1	(60.0)	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Navarra	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Salamanca	0.2	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Tarragona	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Sweden	0.0	(79.2)	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	0.0	(4.2)
Switzerland, Aargau	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Basel	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, East	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Fribourg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Geneva	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Lucerne	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Ticino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0
Switzerland, Valais	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(100.0)
Switzerland, Vaud	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ukraine	0.0	(68.6)	0.0	(21.6)	-	-	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(7.8)	-	-	-	-
UK, England	0.0	(53.7)	0.0	(22.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(9.8)	0.0	(11.0)	-	-	0.0	(2.4)
UK, Northern Ireland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
UK, Scotland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UK, Wales	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)
<b>Oceania</b>														
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.0	(90.0)	0.0	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Northern Territory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)

Percentage distribution of the number of cases

Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (Contd)

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other sites		Multiple organ		Unspec.	
Oceania (contd)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Queensland	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, South Australia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Tasmania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Victoria	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Australia, Western Australia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Caledonia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Zealand	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand : Maori	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand : Other	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Hawaii	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Hawaii : White	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

\*Important. See note on population page.