

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)										
USA, Alabama : Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	–	–	0.5	(87.5)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–
USA, Alaska	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–
*USA, Alaska : Alaska, Natives	–	–	–	–	0.6	(66.7)	0.4	(33.3)	–	–
USA, Arizona	0.1	(15.3)	0.0	(2.4)	0.4	(74.1)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(1.2)
USA, Arizona : White	0.1	(16.0)	0.0	(2.7)	0.4	(72.0)	0.0	(8.0)	0.0	(1.3)
USA, Arizona : Black	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Arizona : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arizona : American Indian	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Arkansas	0.1	(13.5)	0.0	(5.4)	0.4	(67.6)	0.0	(10.8)	0.0	(2.7)
USA, Arkansas : White	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.3	(60.0)	0.0	(13.3)	0.0	(3.3)
USA, Arkansas : Black	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, California	0.1	(21.2)	0.0	(3.3)	0.3	(69.3)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(1.3)
USA, California : White	0.1	(19.6)	0.0	(3.5)	0.4	(70.7)	0.0	(4.6)	0.0	(1.6)
USA, California : Black	0.1	(30.0)	0.0	(6.7)	0.2	(56.7)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–
USA, California : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(28.3)	–	–	0.2	(65.2)	0.0	(6.5)	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.1	(24.6)	0.0	(1.8)	0.4	(67.5)	0.0	(6.1)	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : White	0.1	(21.1)	0.0	(2.1)	0.4	(69.5)	0.0	(7.4)	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(21.4)	–	–	0.4	(75.0)	0.0	(3.6)	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Hispanic White	0.1	(20.9)	0.0	(3.0)	0.4	(67.2)	0.1	(9.0)	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Black	0.2	(37.5)	–	–	0.2	(62.5)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Chinese	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Filipino	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Korean	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, Los Angeles County : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(44.4)	–	–	0.2	(55.6)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	0.1	(14.0)	0.0	(1.8)	0.5	(82.5)	0.0	(1.8)	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : White	0.1	(13.6)	0.0	(2.3)	0.6	(84.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(18.5)	0.0	(3.7)	0.5	(77.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Hispanic White	0.0	(5.9)	–	–	0.7	(94.1)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Black	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–
USA, Colorado	0.1	(22.1)	0.0	(1.2)	0.4	(67.4)	0.0	(7.0)	0.0	(2.3)
USA, Colorado : White	0.1	(23.5)	0.0	(1.2)	0.4	(65.4)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(2.5)
USA, Colorado : Black	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Colorado : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut	0.1	(23.4)	0.0	(2.1)	0.4	(74.5)	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut : White	0.1	(21.4)	0.0	(2.4)	0.5	(76.2)	–	–	–	–
USA, Connecticut : Black	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia	0.1	(23.1)	–	–	0.9	(76.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : White	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.5	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, District of Columbia : Black	–	–	–	–	1.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Florida	0.1	(19.0)	0.0	(2.7)	0.5	(69.5)	0.0	(5.8)	0.0	(3.1)
USA, Florida : White	0.1	(19.6)	0.0	(2.6)	0.5	(69.4)	0.0	(6.0)	0.0	(2.6)
USA, Florida : Black	0.1	(15.2)	0.0	(4.3)	0.4	(71.7)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(4.3)
USA, Florida : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(57.1)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
USA, Georgia	0.1	(18.9)	0.0	(2.5)	0.4	(72.1)	0.0	(5.7)	0.0	(0.8)
USA, Georgia : White	0.1	(17.2)	0.0	(3.2)	0.5	(74.2)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(1.1)
USA, Georgia : Black	0.1	(26.9)	–	–	0.2	(69.2)	0.0	(3.8)	–	–
USA, Georgia : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta	0.1	(26.8)	0.0	(2.4)	0.3	(61.0)	0.0	(9.8)	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : White	0.2	(28.6)	0.0	(3.6)	0.4	(60.7)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta : Black	0.1	(30.0)	–	–	0.2	(70.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Idaho	0.2	(36.4)	0.0	(4.5)	0.2	(54.5)	0.0	(4.5)	–	–
USA, Indiana	0.1	(26.0)	0.0	(1.4)	0.3	(64.4)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(1.4)
USA, Indiana : White	0.1	(29.7)	0.0	(1.6)	0.3	(59.4)	0.0	(7.8)	0.0	(1.6)
USA, Indiana : Black	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Iowa	0.1	(14.9)	0.0	(6.4)	0.4	(72.3)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(2.1)
USA, Kentucky	0.1	(18.3)	0.0	(1.7)	0.4	(78.3)	0.0	(1.7)	–	–
USA, Louisiana	0.1	(14.0)	0.0	(4.7)	0.3	(72.1)	0.0	(7.0)	0.0	(2.3)
USA, Louisiana : White	0.0	(12.0)	–	–	0.3	(72.0)	0.0	(12.0)	0.0	(4.0)
USA, Louisiana : Black	0.1	(18.8)	0.1	(12.5)	0.3	(68.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	–	–	–	–	0.4	(85.7)	–	–	0.1	(14.3)
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : White	–	–	–	–	0.8	(83.3)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Maine	0.1	(27.3)	0.0	(9.1)	0.3	(63.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Maryland	0.1	(18.8)	0.0	(2.5)	0.4	(62.5)	0.0	(8.8)	0.0	(7.5)
USA, Maryland : White	0.1	(19.2)	0.0	(1.9)	0.4	(61.5)	0.1	(7.7)	0.0	(9.6)
USA, Maryland : Black	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.3	(60.0)	0.1	(12.0)	0.0	(4.0)
USA, Maryland : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts	0.1	(18.1)	0.0	(5.6)	0.3	(73.6)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(1.4)
USA, Massachusetts : White	0.1	(21.3)	0.0	(6.6)	0.3	(68.9)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(1.6)
USA, Massachusetts : Black	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Massachusetts : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)										
USA, Michigan	0.1	(24.1)	0.0	(5.1)	0.3	(67.2)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(0.7)
USA, Michigan : White	0.2	(24.4)	0.0	(5.9)	0.3	(66.4)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(0.8)
USA, Michigan : Black	0.1	(26.7)	–	–	0.3	(73.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.2	(25.9)	0.0	(5.2)	0.4	(67.2)	0.0	(1.7)	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.2	(26.1)	0.0	(6.5)	0.4	(65.2)	0.0	(2.2)	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	0.1	(30.0)	–	–	0.3	(70.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Minnesota	0.1	(19.1)	0.0	(2.9)	0.4	(69.1)	0.0	(8.8)	–	–
USA, Minnesota : White	0.1	(17.2)	0.0	(3.4)	0.3	(69.0)	0.0	(10.3)	–	–
USA, Minnesota : Black	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Mississippi	0.1	(17.1)	0.0	(2.9)	0.3	(60.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–
USA, Missouri	0.1	(21.4)	0.0	(3.6)	0.4	(71.4)	0.0	(2.4)	0.0	(1.2)
USA, Missouri : White	0.1	(23.0)	0.0	(4.1)	0.4	(70.3)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(1.4)
USA, Missouri : Black	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.4	(77.8)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–
USA, Montana	0.1	(15.4)	0.0	(7.7)	0.4	(76.9)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.3	(61.1)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–
USA, Nebraska : White	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.3	(61.1)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada	0.1	(11.6)	0.0	(7.0)	0.4	(69.8)	0.0	(4.7)	0.0	(7.0)
USA, Nevada : White	0.1	(12.1)	0.1	(9.1)	0.4	(66.7)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(9.1)
USA, Nevada : Black	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.3	(16.7)	–	–	0.8	(83.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Jersey	0.2	(26.9)	0.0	(6.9)	0.4	(59.3)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(0.7)
USA, New Jersey : White	0.2	(29.1)	0.0	(7.7)	0.4	(55.6)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(0.9)
USA, New Jersey : Black	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.5	(70.0)	0.0	(5.0)	–	–
USA, New Mexico	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(4.2)	0.3	(75.0)	0.0	(4.2)	–	–
USA, New Mexico : White	0.1	(19.0)	0.0	(4.8)	0.4	(71.4)	0.0	(4.8)	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)	0.4	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.1	(23.1)	–	–	0.4	(69.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, New York State	0.1	(20.3)	0.0	(4.7)	0.4	(69.8)	0.0	(4.4)	0.0	(0.7)
USA, New York State : White	0.1	(21.0)	0.0	(3.9)	0.5	(70.7)	0.0	(3.5)	0.0	(0.9)
USA, New York State : Black	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(6.1)	0.4	(71.4)	0.0	(8.2)	–	–
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(28.6)	0.0	(14.3)	0.2	(50.0)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–
USA, North Carolina	0.1	(17.5)	0.0	(3.3)	0.3	(69.2)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(6.7)
USA, North Carolina : White	0.1	(19.5)	0.0	(2.3)	0.3	(69.0)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(8.0)
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.4	(80.0)	0.0	(8.0)	0.0	(4.0)
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(25.0)	0.2	(25.0)	0.2	(25.0)	0.2	(25.0)	–	–
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, North Dakota	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.1	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Ohio	0.1	(22.9)	0.0	(2.1)	0.3	(66.4)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(1.4)
USA, Ohio : White	0.1	(21.5)	0.0	(2.5)	0.3	(66.9)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(1.7)
USA, Ohio : Black	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(60.0)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.5	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma	0.1	(24.4)	0.0	(7.3)	0.3	(68.3)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : White	0.1	(23.5)	0.0	(8.8)	0.3	(67.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	0.2	(28.6)	–	–	0.4	(71.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oregon	0.1	(20.7)	0.0	(5.2)	0.5	(70.7)	0.0	(3.4)	–	–
USA, Oregon : White	0.2	(22.2)	0.0	(5.6)	0.5	(68.5)	0.0	(3.7)	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Black	–	–	–	–	0.9	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island	0.1	(17.4)	–	–	0.8	(78.3)	0.0	(4.3)	–	–
USA, Rhode Island : White	0.1	(18.2)	–	–	0.9	(77.3)	0.1	(4.5)	–	–
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina	0.1	(25.4)	0.0	(1.7)	0.3	(64.4)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(6.8)
USA, South Carolina : White	0.1	(26.8)	–	–	0.3	(61.0)	0.0	(2.4)	0.0	(9.8)
USA, South Carolina : Black	0.1	(23.5)	0.0	(5.9)	0.4	(70.6)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Dakota	–	–	–	–	0.3	(85.7)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–
USA, Tennessee	0.1	(17.4)	0.0	(2.3)	0.4	(72.1)	0.0	(7.0)	0.0	(1.2)
USA, Tennessee : White	0.1	(19.4)	0.0	(2.8)	0.5	(72.2)	0.0	(4.2)	0.0	(1.4)
USA, Tennessee : Black	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.2	(60.0)	0.1	(30.0)	–	–
USA, Texas	0.1	(17.5)	0.0	(2.7)	0.4	(71.5)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(3.3)
USA, Texas : White	0.1	(17.6)	0.0	(2.9)	0.4	(71.5)	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(3.2)
USA, Texas : Black	0.1	(13.5)	0.0	(2.7)	0.3	(75.7)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(5.4)
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(26.7)	–	–	0.3	(60.0)	0.1	(13.3)	–	–
USA, Utah	0.2	(27.8)	0.0	(1.9)	0.5	(66.7)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)
USA, Vermont	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
USA, Washington State	0.1	(21.4)	0.0	(3.9)	0.4	(67.0)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(1.0)
*USA, Washington, Seattle	0.1	(23.3)	0.0	(4.1)	0.4	(64.4)	0.0	(8.2)	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)										
USA, West Virginia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(29.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.9)</i>	0.2	(64.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Wyoming	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–
Asia										
Bahrain : Bahrainis	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(28.6)</i>	–	–	0.2	(57.1)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–
Brunei Darussalam	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Anfu County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*China, Anguo City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	0.7	(87.5)
*China, Anshan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.1)</i>	0.4	(37.8)	–	–	0.3	(43.2)
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(13.6)	–	–	1.2	(86.4)
*China, Arun District	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(10.0)	1.0	(90.0)
*China, Baoding City	–	–	0.0	(3.7)	0.2	(14.8)	0.1	(3.7)	0.6	(77.8)
*China, Beijing City	0.1	(15.5)	0.0	(3.1)	0.5	(73.2)	0.0	(5.2)	0.0	(3.1)
*China, Binhai County	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.4)</i>	–	–	0.4	(74.1)	0.0	(3.7)	0.1	(14.8)
*China, Cangzhou City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(12.5)	0.3	(37.5)
China, Changfeng County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
China, Changzhou City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	0.2	(28.0)	0.0	(2.0)	0.3	(62.0)
China, Ci Xian County	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(42.9)</i>	–	–	0.3	(35.7)	–	–	0.2	(21.4)
China, Cixi City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	–	–	0.1	(26.7)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.1)</i>	0.3	(28.6)	0.1	(14.3)	0.2	(42.9)
China, Dalian City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	–	–	0.3	(61.1)	0.0	(11.1)	0.1	(16.7)
*China, Dancheng County	–	–	0.0	(10.0)	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.2	(60.0)
China, Dangtu County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>	–	–	0.4	(60.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
*China, Danyang City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(7.5)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	0.3	(27.5)	0.1	(5.0)	0.7	(50.0)
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	–	–	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*China, Dehui City	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	0.1	(75.0)
*China, Donggang City	–	–	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.4	(83.3)
*China, Dongguan City	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(45.5)</i>	–	–	0.1	(27.3)	0.0	(9.1)	0.0	(18.2)
China, Donghai County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	0.1	(37.5)	–	–	0.0	(12.5)
*China, Dongtai City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(77.8)	–	–	0.0	(22.2)
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>	–	–	0.3	(60.0)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	–	–	0.3	(25.0)	0.5	(25.0)	–	–	1.3	(50.0)
*China, Faku County	–	–	–	–	0.1	(11.1)	–	–	0.9	(88.9)
*China, Fangcheng County	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(19.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	0.7	(41.9)	–	–	0.5	(35.5)
*China, Feicheng County	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	–	–	0.2	(16.7)	–	–	0.5	(75.0)
China, Feixi County	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(58.3)
China, Fuqing City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	–	–	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(4.8)</i>	–	–	0.4	(14.3)	0.2	(4.8)	1.9	(76.2)
*China, Gaocheng City	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(33.3)
China, Gaomi City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	0.2	(83.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Gong'an County	–	–	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	0.0	(14.3)	0.2	(35.7)
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(10.0)</i>	–	–	0.2	(15.0)	–	–	0.7	(75.0)
China, Guanghan City	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(27.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(9.1)</i>	0.1	(18.2)	–	–	0.4	(45.5)
China, Guangzhou City	0.1	(19.1)	0.0	(2.7)	0.4	(64.5)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(9.1)
*China, Guanyun County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Guilin City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(13.3)	–	–	0.6	(86.7)
*China, Hai'an City	–	–	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(11.8)	0.0	(5.9)	0.3	(76.5)
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.4	(80.0)
China, Haimen City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	0.6	(79.2)	0.1	(12.5)	–	–
China, Haining City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	0.3	(83.3)	–	–	–	–
China, Hangzhou City	0.1	(20.5)	0.0	(2.4)	0.2	(53.0)	0.0	(8.4)	0.0	(15.7)
China, Hebi City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	–	–	0.5	(50.0)	–	–	0.5	(41.7)
China, Hefei City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	0.2	(31.0)	0.1	(9.5)	0.2	(42.9)
China, Hengdong	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	–	–	0.4	(57.1)	–	–	0.2	(28.6)
*China, Hengfeng County	–	–	–	–	1.6	(77.8)	–	–	0.3	(22.2)
*China, Hepu County	–	–	–	–	0.2	(22.2)	0.0	(5.6)	0.4	(72.2)
China, Honghu City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(15.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	0.3	(53.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.1	(15.4)
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.2	(33.3)	0.2	(33.3)
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	–	–	0.2	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(57.1)
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	–	–	0.2	(46.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.1	(40.0)
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiange County	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	–	–	0.3	(14.8)	0.0	(3.7)	1.1	(70.4)
China, Jiangmen City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	–	–	0.2	(71.4)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–
*China, Jiangyin City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(15.0)	–	–	0.3	(85.0)
*China, Jiashan County	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	0.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Jiaxing City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Jiayu County	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	0.2	(11.1)	–	–	0.8	(44.4)
*China, Jinan City	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	0.1	(17.1)	–	–	0.3	(65.7)
*China, Jingtai County	–	–	–	–	0.6	(18.8)	0.1	(6.2)	1.6	(75.0)
*China, Jingxian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.0)</i>	0.3	(20.0)	0.1	(12.0)	0.6	(60.0)
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)										
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(58.3)
*China, Yiyuan County	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
China, Yong'an City	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.6	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Yongkang City	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(57.1)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
*China, Yucheng County	0.4	(19.5)	0.2	(7.3)	0.4	(17.1)	0.2	(7.3)	1.2	(48.8)
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Yunmeng County	0.1	(4.5)	0.1	(4.5)	0.1	(9.1)	0.1	(9.1)	1.2	(72.7)
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	0.2	(18.2)	–	–	0.2	(18.2)	–	–	0.6	(63.6)
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	0.2	(57.1)	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)
*China, Zhanhuang County	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhangjiagang City	–	–	–	–	0.2	(45.5)	–	–	0.2	(54.5)
*China, Zhaoyuan City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(75.0)
*China, Zhengding County	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhongshan City	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)
China, Zhongxiang City	0.2	(15.7)	–	–	0.9	(58.8)	0.3	(17.6)	0.1	(7.8)
*China, Zhuanghe City	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.2	(42.9)	–	–	0.1	(42.9)
*China, Zhuhai City	0.1	(30.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.2	(38.5)	–	–	0.1	(23.1)
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	0.1	(14.1)	0.0	(2.6)	0.4	(76.9)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(2.6)
India, Aurangabad	–	–	–	–	0.1	(57.1)	–	–	0.1	(42.9)
India, Bangalore	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(3.3)	0.4	(62.2)	0.0	(1.1)	0.1	(16.7)
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–
*India, Bhopal	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(5.3)	0.4	(78.9)	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(5.3)
India, Chandigarh	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.3	(92.3)	–	–	–	–
*India, Chennai	0.1	(19.6)	–	–	0.3	(66.1)	0.0	(1.8)	0.1	(12.5)
India, Dibrugarh	–	–	–	–	0.3	(92.3)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikkai	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.1	(26.7)
India, Kamrup Urban District	0.1	(17.6)	0.1	(11.8)	0.4	(64.7)	–	–	0.0	(5.9)
India, Kollam	0.1	(20.8)	0.0	(4.2)	0.4	(70.8)	0.0	(4.2)	–	–
*India, Manipur	0.1	(20.7)	0.0	(6.9)	0.3	(55.2)	0.0	(6.9)	0.0	(10.3)
India, Meghalaya	0.0	(15.4)	0.0	(7.7)	0.2	(69.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–
India, Mizoram	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
India, Mumbai	0.1	(18.1)	0.0	(5.3)	0.3	(64.9)	0.0	(7.0)	0.0	(4.7)
*India, New Delhi	0.1	(9.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.4	(44.2)	0.0	(2.0)	0.5	(42.6)
India, Poona	0.0	(15.2)	0.0	(3.0)	0.1	(48.5)	0.0	(9.1)	0.1	(24.2)
*India, Sangrur district	0.1	(27.3)	–	–	0.3	(72.7)	–	–	–	–
India, SAS Nagar	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(7.7)	0.3	(84.6)	–	–	–	–
*India, Tamil Nadu	0.1	(18.7)	0.0	(2.7)	0.2	(64.7)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(10.9)
*India, Tripura	0.1	(34.6)	0.0	(3.8)	0.2	(57.7)	0.0	(3.8)	–	–
India, Trivandrum	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(3.7)	0.4	(85.2)	0.0	(3.7)	–	–
India, Wardha	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.4	(86.7)	–	–	–	–
India, West Arunachal	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.2	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	0.1	(13.3)	0.0	(6.7)	0.3	(40.0)	0.0	(6.7)	0.2	(33.3)
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.1	(7.4)	0.0	(3.7)	0.7	(63.0)	–	–	0.3	(25.9)
Israel	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(5.8)	0.5	(71.7)	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(2.2)
*Israel : Jewish	0.1	(17.5)	0.0	(5.8)	0.5	(71.8)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(1.9)
*Israel : Arabs	0.1	(12.0)	0.0	(8.0)	0.4	(72.0)	0.0	(8.0)	–	–
Japan	0.1	(17.4)	0.0	(2.4)	0.3	(73.5)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(1.9)
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	0.1	(21.2)	–	–	0.3	(75.8)	–	–	0.0	(3.0)
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Japan, Aomori	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(58.3)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.2	(70.0)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.3	(77.8)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	0.1	(18.8)	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.1	(17.1)	0.0	(4.9)	0.2	(75.6)	0.0	(2.4)	–	–
Kuwait	0.1	(27.3)	0.0	(3.0)	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(3.0)	–	–
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	0.2	(35.3)	0.0	(5.9)	0.3	(52.9)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	0.0	(18.8)	–	–	0.2	(81.2)	–	–	–	–
*Philippines, Manila	0.1	(17.1)	0.0	(1.2)	0.3	(64.6)	0.0	(2.4)	0.1	(14.6)
*Qatar : Qatari	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.4	(69.4)	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(2.8)
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.2	(24.4)	0.0	(2.2)	0.6	(73.3)	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.4	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(6.7)	0.2	(60.0)	0.1	(13.3)	–	–
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.1	(15.9)	0.0	(2.3)	0.5	(77.3)	0.0	(2.3)	0.0	(2.3)
Republic of Korea, Jeju	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.6	(66.7)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.1	(27.4)	0.0	(3.4)	0.3	(65.0)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(0.9)
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.5	(68.8)	0.0	(6.2)	–	–
Republic of Korea	0.1	(22.7)	0.0	(2.3)	0.4	(69.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(1.4)
Singapore	0.1	(21.7)	–	–	0.2	(78.3)	–	–	–	–
Singapore : Chinese	0.1	(29.4)	–	–	0.2	(70.6)	–	–	–	–
Singapore : Indian	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)										
Singapore : Malay	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Thailand, Bangkok	0.1	(21.0)	0.0	(6.5)	0.3	(59.7)	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(8.1)
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.2	(70.0)	0.0	(10.0)	–	–
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.3	(68.8)	–	–	0.0	(18.8)
Thailand, Lampang	–	–	–	–	0.2	(40.0)	–	–	0.1	(60.0)
Thailand, Lopburi Province	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	0.2	(40.0)	–	–	0.2	(40.0)
*Thailand, Songkhla	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	0.3	(73.3)	–	–	0.1	(20.0)
Türkiye, Antalya	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(5.7)	0.4	(65.7)	0.1	(8.6)	–	–
Türkiye, Bursa	0.1	(22.9)	–	–	0.4	(71.4)	–	–	0.0	(5.7)
*Türkiye, Edirne	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Erzurum	–	–	0.0	(12.5)	0.3	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
Türkiye, Eskişehir	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Gaziantep	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.2	(85.7)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Izmir	0.1	(21.2)	0.0	(3.0)	0.5	(66.7)	0.0	(6.1)	0.0	(3.0)
Türkiye, Malatya	0.1	(15.4)	0.1	(15.4)	0.4	(69.2)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Samsun	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.3	(75.0)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–
Türkiye, Trabzon	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.3	(60.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–
Europe										
Austria	0.0	(10.7)	0.0	(5.4)	0.3	(50.0)	0.0	(6.2)	0.1	(27.7)
Austria, Carinthia	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Tyrol	0.2	(30.8)	–	–	0.5	(69.2)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Vorarlberg	–	–	–	–	0.8	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Belarus	0.2	(21.1)	0.0	(4.7)	0.5	(65.6)	0.0	(8.6)	–	–
*Belgium	0.2	(27.0)	0.0	(1.1)	0.5	(65.7)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(1.7)
Croatia	0.1	(18.3)	0.0	(6.5)	0.5	(52.7)	0.0	(5.4)	0.1	(17.2)
Cyprus	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	0.1	(22.2)
*Czech Republic	0.1	(14.8)	0.0	(9.0)	0.3	(68.0)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(3.3)
Denmark	0.0	(13.3)	–	–	0.4	(80.0)	0.0	(6.7)	–	–
Estonia	0.2	(31.2)	–	–	0.3	(56.2)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(6.2)
Finland	0.1	(15.1)	0.0	(5.5)	0.3	(67.1)	0.0	(8.2)	0.0	(4.1)
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.1	(11.1)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(22.2)	–	–
*France, Calvados	0.0	(10.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.5	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Doubs	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Gironde	0.1	(23.5)	–	–	0.3	(70.6)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.1	(27.3)	0.0	(9.1)	0.3	(63.6)	–	–	–	–
*France, Hérault	–	–	0.0	(11.1)	0.4	(72.2)	0.0	(5.6)	0.1	(11.1)
*France, Isère	0.1	(11.1)	0.0	(5.6)	0.5	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–
*France, Lille-Métropole	–	–	0.1	(42.9)	0.2	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–
*France, Limousin	–	–	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(5.6)	0.5	(88.9)	–	–	–	–
*France, Manche	0.3	(22.2)	–	–	0.4	(44.4)	0.3	(33.3)	–	–
*France, Poitou-Charentes	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.6	(84.6)	0.0	(3.8)	–	–
*France, Somme	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.4	(85.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Tarn	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	(100.0)	–	–
*France, Territoire de Belfort	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Vendée	–	–	0.2	(33.3)	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	0.1	(28.6)	0.0	(5.2)	0.2	(61.0)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(2.6)
Germany, Bavaria	0.1	(22.7)	0.0	(4.2)	0.3	(68.9)	0.0	(4.2)	–	–
Germany, Bremen	–	–	–	–	0.5	(87.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
Germany, Hamburg	0.2	(32.3)	0.0	(3.2)	0.4	(48.4)	0.0	(3.2)	0.1	(12.9)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.1	(19.8)	0.0	(2.1)	0.5	(78.1)	–	–	–	–
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.1	(21.1)	0.0	(4.6)	0.4	(69.2)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(1.3)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.1	(31.7)	0.0	(2.4)	0.3	(56.1)	0.0	(9.8)	–	–
Germany, Saarland	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(5.9)	0.6	(88.2)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	0.1	(23.1)	–	–	0.2	(61.5)	0.0	(11.5)	0.0	(3.8)
Iceland	0.1	(20.0)	0.1	(20.0)	0.4	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
Ireland	0.1	(19.0)	0.0	(4.8)	0.4	(66.7)	0.0	(7.9)	0.0	(1.6)
*Italy, Aosta Valley	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Avellino	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	0.5	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Basilicata	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Benevento	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Bergamo	0.2	(13.0)	0.1	(8.7)	0.8	(73.9)	0.0	(4.3)	–	–
*Italy, Brescia	0.1	(12.0)	–	–	0.5	(64.0)	–	–	0.3	(24.0)
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	0.2	(26.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.5	(60.0)	0.1	(6.7)	–	–
Italy, Calabria	–	–	–	–	0.7	(85.7)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–
*Italy, Caserta	–	–	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	0.1	(22.2)
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	0.2	(35.7)	–	–	0.3	(53.6)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(3.6)
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	0.2	(23.7)	0.1	(10.2)	0.5	(57.6)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(1.7)
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.1	(18.8)	–	–	0.5	(75.0)	0.1	(6.2)	–	–
Italy, Genova	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.2	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.2	(12.5)	0.1	(12.5)	0.6	(62.5)	–	–	0.2	(12.5)
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	–	–	–	–	0.9	(83.3)	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(8.3)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)										
Italy, Marche	0.1	(17.6)	0.0	(11.8)	0.4	(58.8)	–	–	0.0	(11.8)
Italy, Milan	0.2	(34.6)	0.0	(4.9)	0.6	(55.6)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(1.2)
Italy, Molise	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	1.2	(66.7)	–	–	0.2	(16.7)
*Italy, Naples Centre	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–
*Italy, Naples North	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.0	(11.1)	0.2	(44.4)
*Italy, Naples South	0.1	(15.4)	–	–	0.4	(76.9)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)
*Italy, Nuoro	–	–	–	–	0.6	(50.0)	0.6	(50.0)	–	–
*Italy, Palermo	0.1	(30.8)	–	–	0.3	(53.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(7.7)
Italy, Puglia	0.1	(13.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.5	(72.9)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(5.1)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	0.1	(10.0)	0.1	(10.0)	0.8	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Salerno	–	–	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)
Italy, Sassari	–	–	–	–	0.5	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*Italy, Sondrio	0.8	(37.5)	0.0	(12.5)	0.7	(25.0)	0.1	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)
Italy, South Tyrol	0.2	(33.3)	0.1	(11.1)	0.3	(55.6)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Syracuse	0.5	(62.5)	–	–	0.3	(37.5)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Trento	0.2	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(71.4)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Turin	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(10.7)	0.0	(10.7)	0.0	(3.6)	0.3	(71.4)
*Italy, Tuscany	0.2	(23.7)	–	–	0.6	(68.4)	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(2.6)
Italy, Umbria	0.2	(22.2)	–	–	1.0	(72.2)	0.0	(5.6)	–	–
Italy, Varese	0.2	(27.3)	–	–	0.5	(72.7)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Veneto	0.1	(26.4)	0.0	(5.7)	0.4	(64.2)	0.0	(3.8)	–	–
Latvia	0.2	(28.1)	0.0	(3.1)	0.5	(59.4)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	(6.2)
*Liechtenstein	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Lithuania	0.2	(30.8)	0.0	(5.1)	0.2	(48.7)	0.0	(5.1)	0.0	(10.3)
Malta	–	–	–	–	0.6	(87.5)	0.1	(12.5)	–	–
*The Netherlands	0.2	(21.6)	0.0	(4.2)	0.6	(68.3)	0.0	(5.8)	–	–
Norway	0.1	(21.8)	–	–	0.3	(74.5)	0.0	(3.6)	–	–
Poland, Kielce	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(6.2)	0.3	(43.8)	0.1	(18.8)	0.1	(18.8)
*Portugal, Azores	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.4	(76.9)	–	–	0.0	(15.4)
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	0.1	(13.0)	0.1	(8.7)	0.5	(52.2)	–	–	0.2	(26.1)
Russian Federation, Karelia	0.1	(16.7)	0.1	(16.7)	0.4	(50.0)	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(8.3)
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	0.1	(11.1)	0.1	(11.1)	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(11.1)	–	–
Russian Federation, Murmansk	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.2	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)	0.1	(14.3)
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)	0.3	(61.9)	0.0	(9.5)	–	–
Russian Federation, Pskov	0.0	(11.1)	0.1	(22.2)	0.1	(22.2)	0.0	(11.1)	0.1	(33.3)
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.0	(10.0)	0.1	(13.3)	0.4	(73.3)	–	–	0.0	(3.3)
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	0.1	(7.1)	0.1	(21.4)	0.1	(28.6)	0.1	(14.3)	0.1	(28.6)
Slovenia	0.1	(13.0)	0.0	(8.7)	0.4	(73.9)	0.0	(4.3)	–	–
Spain, Asturias	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	1.0	(56.2)	0.1	(12.5)	0.1	(6.2)
Spain, Basque Country	0.2	(20.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.6	(68.0)	0.0	(8.0)	–	–
Spain, Canary Islands	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.3	(81.8)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–
Spain, Castellón	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Girona	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(8.3)
Spain, Granada	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	0.1	(8.3)
Spain, La Rioja	–	–	0.1	(20.0)	0.5	(60.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–
Spain, Murcia	0.1	(23.5)	0.0	(11.8)	0.2	(52.9)	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(5.9)
Spain, Navarra	0.3	(25.0)	–	–	0.5	(66.7)	0.1	(8.3)	–	–
Spain, Salamanca	0.2	(12.5)	–	–	0.8	(75.0)	0.1	(12.5)	–	–
Spain, Tarragona	0.1	(18.2)	–	–	0.6	(81.8)	–	–	–	–
*Sweden	0.1	(20.4)	0.0	(5.3)	0.3	(64.6)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(2.7)
Switzerland, Aargau	0.2	(57.1)	–	–	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.1	(14.3)
Switzerland, Basel	0.3	(30.0)	–	–	0.5	(60.0)	0.1	(10.0)	–	–
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–
Switzerland, East	0.1	(37.5)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(12.5)
*Switzerland, Fribourg	0.5	(30.0)	0.1	(10.0)	0.8	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Geneva	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.6	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.3	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Lucerne	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Ticino	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Valais	–	–	0.1	(40.0)	0.3	(40.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–
Switzerland, Vaud	0.3	(27.3)	0.1	(9.1)	0.7	(59.1)	0.0	(4.5)	–	–
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.4	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
Ukraine	0.1	(26.2)	0.0	(2.9)	0.4	(58.2)	0.0	(9.0)	0.0	(3.7)
UK, England	0.1	(19.6)	0.0	(9.3)	0.3	(62.2)	0.0	(6.3)	0.0	(2.6)
UK, Northern Ireland	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)	0.2	(56.2)	0.1	(18.8)	–	–
UK, Scotland	0.1	(17.3)	0.0	(9.3)	0.4	(68.0)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(2.7)
UK, Wales	0.1	(16.3)	0.0	(2.0)	0.4	(67.3)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–
Oceania										
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.1	(27.2)	0.0	(3.9)	0.3	(61.2)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(1.0)
*Australia, Northern Territory	–	–	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Male (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Oceania (contd)										
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	–	–	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Queensland	0.1	(22.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.9)</i>	0.4	(70.6)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.4)</i>	–	–
Australia, South Australia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	0.2	(62.5)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	–	–
Australia, Tasmania	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Victoria	0.1	(20.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	0.4	(67.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(9.4)</i>	–	–
*Australia, Western Australia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	0.4	(74.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.5)</i>	–	–
*New Caledonia	–	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	–	–
New Zealand	0.1	(20.4)	–	–	0.4	(75.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	–	–
*New Zealand : Maori	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	–	–	0.5	(91.7)	–	–	–	–
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(75.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*New Zealand : Other	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(22.9)</i>	–	–	0.3	(71.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.7)</i>	–	–
USA, Hawaii	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	0.4	(68.8)	–	–	–	–
USA, Hawaii : White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	–	–	–	–	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Female

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Africa										
*Algeria, Batna	0.0	(6.7)	–	–	0.5	(60.0)	–	–	0.4	(33.3)
*Algeria, Tizi Ouzou	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–
*Benin, Cotonou	0.2	(20.0)	–	–	0.2	(40.0)	0.2	(40.0)	–	–
*France, La Réunion	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.3	(85.7)	–	–	–	–
*Kenya, Eldoret	–	–	–	–	0.2	(83.3)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
*Kenya, Nairobi	0.2	(18.2)	–	–	0.3	(68.2)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(9.1)
Mauritius	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*Morocco, Casablanca	0.0	(11.1)	0.0	(11.1)	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(3.7)
*Seychelles	–	–	–	–	0.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(13.3)	–	–	0.2	(73.3)
*Uganda, Gulu	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Zimbabwe, Bulawayo : African	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
*Zimbabwe, Harare : African	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(6.2)	0.2	(68.8)	0.1	(18.8)	–	–
America, Central and South, and Caribbean										
*Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)	0.2	(57.1)
*Argentina, Mendoza	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(46.4)	0.0	(3.6)	0.1	(25.0)
Brazil, Aracaju	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Brazil, Barretos	0.1	(11.1)	0.1	(11.1)	0.4	(55.6)	0.1	(11.1)	0.1	(11.1)
Brazil, Belo Horizonte	0.0	(18.2)	–	–	0.3	(72.7)	0.0	(9.1)	–	–
*Brazil, Campinas	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(56.2)	–	–	0.1	(18.8)
*Brazil, Curitiba	0.2	(33.3)	0.0	(4.8)	0.3	(52.4)	0.0	(9.5)	–	–
Brazil, Goiânia	0.3	(42.1)	–	–	0.3	(52.6)	–	–	0.0	(5.3)
*Brazil, Jaú	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Brazil, João Pessoa	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)	0.1	(11.1)	0.1	(22.2)
Brazil, Recife	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(11.1)	–	–
Chile, Region of Antofagasta	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Chile, Valdivia	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Colombia, Bucaramanga	0.2	(28.6)	–	–	0.5	(61.9)	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(4.8)
Colombia, Cali	0.2	(21.1)	0.0	(2.6)	0.4	(36.8)	0.0	(3.9)	0.4	(35.5)
Colombia, Manizales	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	–	–
Colombia, Pasto	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
Costa Rica	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(2.1)	0.3	(60.4)	–	–	0.1	(12.5)
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.1	(19.4)	0.0	(8.3)	0.3	(52.8)	0.0	(8.3)	0.1	(11.1)
Ecuador, Manabí	0.0	(8.3)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	0.1	(33.3)	0.0	(8.3)
Ecuador, Quito	0.2	(22.0)	0.1	(14.6)	0.4	(48.8)	0.1	(7.3)	0.1	(7.3)
*France, Guadeloupe	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Martinique	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*Peru, Lima	0.1	(19.7)	0.0	(5.6)	0.3	(69.0)	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(2.8)
*Trinidad and Tobago	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Puerto Rico	0.1	(42.9)	0.0	(3.6)	0.1	(46.4)	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(3.6)
*Uruguay	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.2	(68.0)	0.0	(8.0)	0.0	(4.0)
America, North										
Canada, Alberta	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.4	(77.5)	0.0	(2.5)	–	–
Canada, British Columbia	0.1	(15.2)	–	–	0.3	(80.4)	0.0	(4.3)	–	–
*Canada, Manitoba	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.3	(78.6)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.2	(22.2)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(11.1)	–	–
*Canada, Newfoundland	–	–	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	–	–
Canada, Ontario	0.1	(21.5)	0.0	(4.0)	0.3	(72.5)	0.0	(2.0)	–	–
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	–	–	–	–	0.4	(50.0)	0.6	(50.0)	–	–
Canada, Quebec	0.1	(23.5)	0.0	(2.0)	0.3	(70.4)	0.0	(4.1)	–	–
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.1	(26.7)	–	–	0.4	(73.3)	–	–	–	–
*Canada, Yukon	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA	0.1	(21.2)	0.0	(4.0)	0.3	(68.4)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(2.0)
USA : White	0.1	(22.1)	0.0	(4.3)	0.3	(67.7)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(1.9)
USA : Black	0.1	(16.3)	0.0	(2.8)	0.3	(74.6)	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(1.0)
USA, NPCR	0.1	(21.2)	0.0	(4.0)	0.3	(68.5)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(2.0)
USA, NPCR : White	0.1	(21.9)	0.0	(4.3)	0.3	(67.9)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(1.9)
USA, NPCR : Black	0.1	(16.6)	0.0	(2.9)	0.3	(73.9)	0.0	(5.5)	0.0	(1.1)
USA, NPCR : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(22.5)	0.0	(3.3)	0.2	(65.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(4.2)
USA, NPCR : American Indian	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.0	(5.0)
*USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.1	(22.5)	0.0	(3.8)	0.3	(68.1)	0.0	(4.2)	0.0	(1.4)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : White	0.1	(23.3)	0.0	(4.4)	0.3	(67.6)	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(1.1)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(24.7)	0.0	(4.8)	0.3	(65.7)	0.0	(4.2)	0.0	(0.6)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Hispanic White	0.1	(19.7)	0.0	(3.3)	0.3	(72.7)	0.0	(2.2)	0.0	(2.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Black	0.1	(18.3)	0.0	(3.2)	0.2	(75.3)	0.0	(3.2)	–	–
*USA, SEER (18 registries) : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(20.9)	–	–	0.2	(62.7)	0.0	(11.9)	0.0	(4.5)
*USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.1	(20.3)	0.0	(6.6)	0.3	(65.9)	0.0	(5.2)	0.0	(2.0)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : White	0.1	(22.2)	0.0	(8.1)	0.3	(64.3)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(0.9)
*USA, SEER (9 registries) : Black	0.1	(15.9)	0.0	(4.5)	0.3	(77.3)	0.0	(2.3)	–	–
USA, Alabama	0.0	(20.5)	0.0	(5.1)	0.2	(71.8)	0.0	(2.6)	–	–
USA, Alabama : White	0.1	(21.4)	0.0	(7.1)	0.2	(71.4)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Bone of limbs (C40) - Female (Contd)**

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)										
USA, Michigan	0.1	(19.2)	0.0	(5.1)	0.3	(74.7)	–	–	0.0	(1.0)
USA, Michigan : White	0.1	(19.8)	0.0	(6.2)	0.3	(72.8)	–	–	0.0	(1.2)
USA, Michigan : Black	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Michigan : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.0	(10.8)	0.0	(10.8)	0.3	(78.4)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : White	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(16.7)	0.2	(70.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Michigan, Detroit : Black	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.4	(90.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, Minnesota	0.1	(18.9)	–	–	0.2	(81.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Minnesota : White	0.1	(21.9)	–	–	0.2	(78.1)	–	–	–	–
USA, Minnesota : Black	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Minnesota : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Mississippi	0.1	(27.5)	–	–	0.3	(57.5)	0.1	(15.0)	–	–
USA, Missouri	0.1	(17.9)	0.0	(10.7)	0.2	(60.7)	0.0	(8.9)	0.0	(1.8)
USA, Missouri : White	0.1	(20.4)	0.0	(10.2)	0.2	(59.2)	0.0	(8.2)	0.0	(2.0)
USA, Missouri : Black	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–
USA, Montana	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(4.8)	0.6	(76.2)	0.1	(4.8)	–	–
*USA, Montana : American Indian	–	–	–	–	1.6	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska	0.0	(5.3)	–	–	0.4	(94.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nebraska : White	0.0	(6.2)	–	–	0.4	(93.8)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Nebraska : Black	–	–	–	–	0.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Nevada	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.2	(61.9)	–	–	0.0	(9.5)
USA, Nevada : White	0.1	(30.0)	–	–	0.2	(60.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
USA, Nevada : Black	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, Nevada : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, New Jersey	0.1	(22.6)	0.0	(2.8)	0.3	(69.8)	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(1.9)
USA, New Jersey : White	0.1	(21.7)	0.0	(3.6)	0.3	(69.9)	0.0	(2.4)	0.0	(2.4)
USA, New Jersey : Black	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.2	(90.9)	–	–	–	–
USA, New Mexico	0.1	(18.5)	0.0	(11.1)	0.2	(55.6)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(7.4)
USA, New Mexico : White	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(12.0)	0.3	(56.0)	0.1	(8.0)	0.0	(4.0)
USA, New Mexico : Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(11.1)	–	–	0.1	(55.6)	0.2	(22.2)	0.1	(11.1)
USA, New Mexico : Hispanic White	0.2	(25.0)	0.1	(18.8)	0.4	(56.2)	–	–	–	–
*USA, New Mexico : American Indian	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
USA, New York State	0.1	(21.8)	0.0	(3.7)	0.3	(67.5)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(2.5)
USA, New York State : White	0.1	(23.2)	0.0	(3.2)	0.3	(67.6)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(2.2)
USA, New York State : Black	0.1	(14.0)	0.0	(2.3)	0.4	(72.1)	0.0	(9.3)	0.0	(2.3)
USA, New York State : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(27.3)	0.0	(18.2)	0.1	(45.5)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)
USA, North Carolina	0.1	(33.9)	0.0	(3.5)	0.3	(58.3)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(2.6)
USA, North Carolina : White	0.2	(34.4)	0.0	(4.2)	0.3	(56.2)	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(3.1)
USA, North Carolina : Black	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, North Carolina : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*USA, North Carolina : American Indian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, North Dakota	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Ohio	0.1	(17.4)	0.0	(3.5)	0.3	(69.6)	0.0	(8.7)	0.0	(0.9)
USA, Ohio : White	0.1	(15.8)	0.0	(4.0)	0.3	(71.3)	0.0	(7.9)	0.0	(1.0)
USA, Ohio : Black	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.2	(57.1)	0.0	(14.3)	–	–
*USA, Ohio : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(4.8)	0.3	(66.7)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(4.8)
USA, Oklahoma : White	0.1	(20.0)	0.0	(5.7)	0.2	(60.0)	0.0	(8.6)	0.0	(5.7)
USA, Oklahoma : Black	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oklahoma : American Indian	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Oregon	0.1	(21.6)	0.0	(2.7)	0.2	(67.6)	0.0	(5.4)	0.0	(2.7)
USA, Oregon : White	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.3	(69.4)	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(2.8)
*USA, Oregon : Black	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Oregon : Asian and Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, Rhode Island	0.0	(22.2)	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–
USA, Rhode Island : White	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(62.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
*USA, Rhode Island : Black	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
USA, South Carolina	0.1	(24.3)	0.0	(5.4)	0.2	(64.9)	0.0	(5.4)	–	–
USA, South Carolina : White	0.1	(20.7)	0.0	(6.9)	0.2	(65.5)	0.0	(6.9)	–	–
USA, South Carolina : Black	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.1	(71.4)	–	–	–	–
USA, South Dakota	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(16.7)	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Tennessee	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(5.4)	0.2	(60.7)	0.0	(8.9)	–	–
USA, Tennessee : White	0.1	(24.5)	0.0	(2.0)	0.2	(63.3)	0.0	(10.2)	–	–
USA, Tennessee : Black	0.1	(40.0)	0.0	(20.0)	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Texas	0.1	(23.3)	0.0	(2.4)	0.3	(69.3)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(3.0)
USA, Texas : White	0.1	(23.6)	0.0	(2.8)	0.3	(68.9)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(3.1)
USA, Texas : Black	0.1	(17.6)	–	–	0.3	(76.5)	0.0	(5.9)	–	–
USA, Texas : Asian and Pacific Islander	0.1	(42.9)	–	–	0.1	(42.9)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
USA, Utah	0.1	(19.4)	0.1	(13.9)	0.3	(58.3)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–
USA, Vermont	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
USA, Washington State	0.1	(25.4)	0.0	(3.4)	0.2	(67.8)	0.0	(3.4)	–	–
*USA, Washington, Seattle	0.1	(23.7)	0.0	(2.6)	0.2	(68.4)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Bone of limbs (C40) - Female (Contd)**

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
America, North (contd)										
USA, West Virginia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(35.3)</i>	–	–	0.3	(64.7)	–	–	–	–
USA, Wyoming	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(28.6)</i>	–	–	0.3	(57.1)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–
Asia										
Bahrain : Bahrainis	–	–	0.1	(12.5)	0.3	(62.5)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–
Brunei Darussalam	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Anfu County	–	–	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(75.0)
*China, Anguo City	0.1	(16.7)	0.1	(16.7)	0.3	(33.3)	–	–	0.5	(33.3)
*China, Anshan City	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.4	(53.8)	0.1	(7.7)	0.1	(26.9)
*China, Aohan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.5	(90.9)
*China, Arun District	0.2	(9.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	1.2	(90.9)
*China, Baoding City	0.0	(5.6)	–	–	0.2	(22.2)	0.1	(11.1)	0.3	(61.1)
*China, Beijing City	0.1	(19.5)	0.0	(4.6)	0.3	(65.5)	0.0	(5.7)	0.0	(4.6)
*China, Binhai County	0.1	(8.3)	0.1	(8.3)	0.4	(54.2)	0.0	(8.3)	0.1	(20.8)
*China, Cangzhou City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.3	(83.3)
China, Changfeng County	–	–	–	–	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(33.3)
China, Changzhou City	0.1	(10.7)	0.0	(3.6)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(60.7)
China, Ci Xian County	0.2	(26.7)	0.2	(26.7)	0.2	(20.0)	0.1	(6.7)	0.2	(20.0)
China, Cixi City	0.1	(42.9)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.1	(42.9)
*China, Dafeng District, Yancheng City	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(8.3)	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
China, Dalian City	0.0	(22.2)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(11.1)	0.0	(16.7)
*China, Dancheng County	–	–	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(66.7)
China, Dangtu County	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Danyang City	0.1	(6.2)	0.1	(6.2)	0.2	(18.8)	–	–	0.6	(68.8)
*China, Dawukou District, Shizuishan City	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Dehui City	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	0.1	(28.6)	0.1	(42.9)
*China, Donggang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)
*China, Dongguan City	0.0	(20.0)	0.0	(20.0)	0.1	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Donghai County	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	0.1	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)
*China, Dongtai City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Duanzhou District, Zhaoqing City	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)
*China, Ewenkizu Zizhiqi	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.4	(50.0)	0.3	(50.0)
*China, Faku County	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(5.6)	0.0	(5.6)	0.8	(72.2)
*China, Fangcheng County	0.2	(14.3)	0.0	(4.8)	0.4	(28.6)	0.1	(9.5)	0.6	(42.9)
*China, Feicheng County	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	0.0	(5.0)	–	–	0.4	(85.0)
China, Feixi County	0.1	(23.1)	–	–	0.2	(46.2)	–	–	0.1	(30.8)
China, Fuqing City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)
*China, Ganyu District, Lianyungang City	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*China, Ganzhou District, Zhangye City	0.2	(7.7)	0.1	(7.7)	0.1	(7.7)	–	–	1.2	(76.9)
*China, Gaocheng City	–	–	–	–	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)
China, Gaomi City	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Gong'an County	0.1	(15.8)	–	–	0.5	(47.4)	0.1	(5.3)	0.2	(31.6)
*China, Guang'an District, Guang'an City	0.0	(4.5)	–	–	0.2	(13.6)	–	–	0.9	(81.8)
China, Guanghan City	–	–	–	–	0.0	(14.3)	0.1	(14.3)	0.3	(71.4)
China, Guangzhou City	0.0	(18.8)	–	–	0.2	(62.5)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	(15.6)
*China, Guanyun County	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.2	(62.5)	–	–	0.1	(25.0)
China, Guilin City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(12.5)	0.1	(25.0)	0.2	(62.5)
*China, Hai'an City	0.0	(5.6)	–	–	0.0	(5.6)	0.1	(5.6)	0.3	(83.3)
China, Hailar District, Hulun Buir City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)
China, Haimen City	0.0	(13.3)	–	–	0.5	(86.7)	–	–	–	–
China, Haining City	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
China, Hangzhou City	0.1	(20.3)	0.0	(4.3)	0.2	(55.1)	0.0	(5.8)	0.0	(14.5)
China, Hebi City	0.1	(14.3)	0.1	(7.1)	0.1	(7.1)	–	–	0.8	(71.4)
China, Hefei City	0.1	(21.4)	–	–	0.2	(32.1)	0.0	(3.6)	0.1	(42.9)
China, Hengdong	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*China, Hengfeng County	–	–	–	–	1.2	(75.0)	–	–	0.4	(25.0)
*China, Hepu County	–	–	–	–	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.3	(92.3)
China, Honghu City	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.2	(38.5)	0.0	(7.7)	0.2	(46.2)
China, Hongshan District, Chifeng City	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Hongta District, Yuxi City	–	–	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(25.0)	0.3	(50.0)
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an City	–	–	–	–	0.4	(64.7)	0.0	(5.9)	0.1	(29.4)
*China, Yinzhou District, Ningbo City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)
*China, Jiange County	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	0.5	(80.0)
China, Jiangmen City	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Jiangyin City	0.0	(4.3)	–	–	0.2	(26.1)	–	–	0.2	(69.6)
*China, Jiashan County	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Jiaxing City	–	–	0.0	(25.0)	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
China, Jiayu County	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–	0.3	(66.7)
*China, Jinan City	0.0	(12.5)	–	–	0.1	(29.2)	–	–	0.1	(58.3)
*China, Jingtai County	–	–	0.1	(9.1)	0.1	(9.1)	–	–	1.3	(81.8)
*China, Jingxian	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.6	(100.0)
*China, Jintan District, Changzhou City	0.1	(8.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.2	(20.0)	–	–	0.7	(68.0)
*China, Jiulongpo District, Chongqing City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Bone of limbs (C40) - Female (Contd)**

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)										
*China, Yingdong District, Fuyang City	–	–	–	–	0.2	(27.3)	–	–	0.5	(72.7)
*China, Yiyuan County	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
China, Yong'an City	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(25.0)	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yongding District, Longyan City	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.4	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)
China, Yongkang City	0.2	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)	0.3	(42.9)	–	–	–	–
*China, Yucheng County	0.3	(29.4)	0.1	(11.8)	0.2	(17.6)	0.0	(5.9)	0.3	(35.3)
China, Yueyanglou District, Yueyang City	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
China, Yunmeng County	0.1	(6.9)	0.3	(17.2)	0.3	(13.8)	0.1	(3.4)	1.0	(58.6)
*China, Yunyang District, Shiyan City	–	–	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)
China, Chongqing Yuzhong District	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(25.0)
*China, Zhanhuang County	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)	0.2	(33.3)	0.1	(16.7)
*China, Zhangjiagang City	–	–	0.0	(12.5)	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(37.5)
*China, Zhaoyuan City	0.2	(20.0)	–	–	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.1	(60.0)
*China, Zhengding County	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*China, Zhongshan City	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.2	(88.9)	–	–	–	–
China, Zhongxiang City	0.0	(4.5)	0.1	(9.1)	1.0	(59.1)	0.3	(20.5)	0.1	(6.8)
*China, Zhuanghe City	–	–	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(50.0)
*China, Zhuhai City	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.5	(61.1)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
India, Ahmedabad, Urban	0.1	(17.1)	0.0	(4.9)	0.2	(65.9)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(7.3)
India, Aurangabad	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
India, Bangalore	0.1	(23.0)	0.0	(1.4)	0.3	(52.7)	0.0	(2.7)	0.1	(20.3)
India, Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*India, Bhopal	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(7.1)	0.3	(71.4)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–
India, Chandigarh	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(58.3)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
*India, Chennai	0.1	(30.0)	–	–	0.3	(64.0)	–	–	0.0	(6.0)
India, Dibrugarh	–	–	–	–	0.1	(71.4)	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikikai	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	0.0	(11.1)
India, Kamrup Urban District	–	–	–	–	0.3	(70.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.1	(20.0)
India, Kollam	0.0	(15.4)	–	–	0.2	(76.9)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–
*India, Manipur	0.1	(18.2)	0.0	(9.1)	0.2	(54.5)	0.0	(13.6)	0.0	(4.5)
India, Meghalaya	0.0	(28.6)	–	–	0.1	(71.4)	–	–	–	–
India, Mizoram	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(62.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
India, Mumbai	0.1	(19.2)	0.0	(4.6)	0.3	(60.8)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(9.2)
*India, New Delhi	0.1	(12.8)	0.0	(7.0)	0.2	(36.6)	0.0	(2.9)	0.3	(40.7)
India, Poona	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(9.5)	0.1	(61.9)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
*India, Sangrur district	0.1	(30.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.2	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
India, SAS Nagar	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*India, Tamil Nadu	0.0	(20.7)	0.0	(2.7)	0.1	(61.5)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(11.1)
*India, Tripura	0.1	(36.4)	–	–	0.1	(63.6)	–	–	–	–
India, Trivandrum	0.1	(24.0)	–	–	0.3	(76.0)	–	–	–	–
India, Wardha	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–
India, West Arunachal	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(83.3)	–	–	–	–
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ardabil Province	0.1	(12.5)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(37.5)
*Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.1	(36.4)	–	–	0.2	(54.5)
Israel	0.1	(17.1)	0.0	(6.5)	0.4	(69.9)	0.0	(4.1)	0.0	(2.4)
*Israel : Jewish	0.1	(15.7)	0.0	(7.9)	0.4	(67.4)	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(3.4)
*Israel : Arabs	0.1	(18.8)	0.0	(3.1)	0.6	(78.1)	–	–	–	–
Japan	0.0	(19.7)	0.0	(4.4)	0.2	(69.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(2.5)
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
*Japan, Akita Prefecture	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Aomori	0.1	(23.1)	0.1	(7.7)	0.1	(69.2)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Gunma Prefecture	–	–	–	–	0.3	(85.7)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	0.0	(19.0)	–	–	0.3	(76.2)	0.0	(4.8)	–	–
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	0.1	(21.7)	0.0	(4.3)	0.3	(73.9)	–	–	–	–
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.0	(25.0)	–	–	0.2	(68.8)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	(3.1)
Kuwait	0.1	(38.5)	–	–	0.1	(53.8)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)
*Kuwait : Kuwaitis	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Kuwait : Non-Kuwaitis	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
*Philippines, Manila	0.1	(16.1)	–	–	0.2	(62.5)	0.0	(1.8)	0.1	(19.6)
*Qatar : Qatari	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Busan	0.1	(18.5)	0.0	(3.7)	0.2	(70.4)	–	–	0.0	(7.4)
*Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.2	(46.9)	0.0	(2.0)	0.3	(49.0)	0.0	(2.0)	–	–
*Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.0	(9.1)	–	–	0.2	(81.8)	–	–	0.0	(9.1)
*Republic of Korea, Gwangju	0.1	(26.7)	0.0	(13.3)	0.2	(53.3)	–	–	0.0	(6.7)
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.1	(27.6)	0.0	(6.9)	0.2	(51.7)	0.0	(6.9)	0.0	(6.9)
Republic of Korea, Jeju	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(57.1)	0.2	(14.3)	–	–
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.1	(32.5)	0.0	(4.0)	0.3	(58.7)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(1.6)
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.1	(11.8)	–	–	0.5	(88.2)	–	–	–	–
Republic of Korea	0.1	(32.0)	0.0	(3.2)	0.3	(59.5)	0.0	(3.5)	0.0	(1.8)
Singapore	0.1	(19.4)	0.0	(2.8)	0.3	(75.0)	–	–	0.0	(2.8)
Singapore : Chinese	0.1	(23.1)	0.0	(3.8)	0.3	(73.1)	–	–	–	–
Singapore : Indian	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Bone of limbs (C40) - Female (Contd)**

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)										
Singapore : Malay	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	0.1	(16.7)
Thailand, Bangkok	0.0	(6.9)	0.0	(6.9)	0.2	(69.0)	0.0	(6.9)	0.0	(10.3)
*Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.1	(21.4)	0.1	(14.3)	0.3	(64.3)	–	–	–	–
*Thailand, Khon Kaen	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.2	(77.8)	–	–	0.0	(11.1)
Thailand, Lampang	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
Thailand, Lopburi Province	–	–	0.1	(18.2)	0.3	(54.5)	–	–	0.2	(27.3)
*Thailand, Songkhla	0.1	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(64.3)	0.0	(7.1)	–	–
Türkiye, Antalya	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(8.3)	0.3	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Bursa	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(11.1)	0.2	(77.8)	–	–	0.0	(5.6)
*Türkiye, Edirne	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–
*Türkiye, Erzurum	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.2	(57.1)	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)
Türkiye, Eskişehir	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Gaziantep	0.1	(21.4)	–	–	0.2	(78.6)	–	–	–	–
*Türkiye, Izmir	0.1	(21.3)	0.0	(2.1)	0.3	(72.3)	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(2.1)
Türkiye, Malatya	0.1	(30.0)	–	–	0.3	(70.0)	–	–	–	–
Türkiye, Samsun	–	–	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	0.1	(22.2)
Türkiye, Trabzon	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Europe										
Austria	0.0	(10.5)	0.0	(4.8)	0.2	(43.8)	0.0	(3.8)	0.2	(37.1)
Austria, Carinthia	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Tyrol	0.0	(20.0)	–	–	0.3	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
Austria, Vorarlberg	0.3	(40.0)	–	–	0.3	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
Belarus	0.1	(32.3)	0.0	(4.2)	0.2	(55.2)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	(5.2)
*Belgium	0.1	(28.4)	0.0	(4.7)	0.3	(64.2)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(0.7)
Croatia	0.0	(8.9)	0.0	(8.9)	0.3	(55.7)	0.0	(1.3)	0.1	(25.3)
Cyprus	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Czech Republic	0.1	(16.5)	0.0	(5.5)	0.2	(61.5)	0.0	(7.3)	0.0	(9.2)
Denmark	0.1	(9.1)	–	–	0.4	(90.9)	–	–	–	–
Estonia	0.1	(30.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.2	(53.8)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–
Finland	0.1	(25.9)	0.0	(5.6)	0.2	(64.8)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)
*France, Bas-Rhin	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Calvados	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Doubs	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Gironde	0.2	(27.3)	–	–	0.5	(72.7)	–	–	–	–
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.2	(60.0)	–	–	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Hérault	–	–	–	–	0.1	(80.0)	–	–	0.0	(20.0)
*France, Isère	0.0	(5.3)	0.0	(5.3)	0.5	(84.2)	0.0	(5.3)	–	–
*France, Lille-Métropole	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Limousin	0.2	(40.0)	0.1	(20.0)	0.3	(40.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.1	(30.8)	–	–	0.3	(61.5)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–
*France, Manche	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Poitou-Charentes	0.2	(23.8)	–	–	0.4	(76.2)	–	–	–	–
*France, Somme	0.1	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*France, Tarn	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.2	(80.0)	–	–	–	–
*France, Territoire de Belfort	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*France, Vendée	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.3	(71.4)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–
Germany, Baden-Württemberg	0.0	(13.6)	0.0	(5.1)	0.2	(69.5)	0.0	(8.5)	0.0	(3.4)
Germany, Bavaria	0.1	(22.3)	0.0	(2.5)	0.3	(71.9)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(0.8)
Germany, Bremen	0.0	(33.3)	–	–	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Hamburg	0.2	(36.0)	0.0	(8.0)	0.2	(52.0)	–	–	0.0	(4.0)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.1	(26.9)	0.0	(2.6)	0.2	(65.4)	0.0	(5.1)	–	–
Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.1	(23.2)	0.0	(7.4)	0.3	(65.3)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(1.1)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.1	(28.6)	0.0	(8.6)	0.2	(57.1)	0.0	(5.7)	–	–
Germany, Saarland	0.0	(7.7)	–	–	0.6	(92.3)	–	–	–	–
Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	0.1	(20.8)	0.0	(4.2)	0.2	(70.8)	0.0	(4.2)	–	–
Iceland	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Ireland	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(4.2)	0.3	(72.9)	0.0	(4.2)	0.0	(2.1)
*Italy, Aosta Valley	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Avellino	0.3	(57.1)	–	–	0.6	(28.6)	–	–	0.0	(14.3)
Italy, Basilicata	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)	0.6	(62.5)	0.2	(12.5)	–	–
*Italy, Benevento	–	–	–	–	0.4	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Bergamo	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.4	(68.8)	–	–	0.1	(6.2)
*Italy, Brescia	0.1	(18.8)	0.0	(6.2)	0.3	(43.8)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(25.0)
Italy, Brianza (Lecco + Monza e Brianza)	0.1	(14.3)	–	–	0.3	(85.7)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Calabria	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Caserta	0.0	(11.1)	–	–	0.4	(88.9)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Catania, Messina and Enna	0.2	(33.3)	0.0	(4.8)	0.3	(57.1)	–	–	0.0	(4.8)
Italy, Emilia-Romagna	0.1	(18.5)	0.0	(1.9)	0.4	(77.8)	0.0	(1.9)	–	–
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.1	(14.3)	0.0	(7.1)	0.4	(78.6)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Genova	0.0	(28.6)	–	–	0.3	(71.4)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.5	(66.7)	0.1	(22.2)	0.1	(11.1)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Mantova and Cremona	0.0	(14.3)	–	–	0.4	(85.7)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Female (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)										
Italy, Marche	0.0	(16.7)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–
Italy, Milan	0.2	(27.8)	0.0	(1.4)	0.5	(62.5)	0.0	(5.6)	0.0	(2.8)
Italy, Molise	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	0.2	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–
*Italy, Naples Centre	–	–	–	–	0.7	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Naples North	0.1	(8.3)	–	–	0.4	(58.3)	0.1	(8.3)	0.1	(25.0)
*Italy, Naples South	0.2	(38.5)	–	–	0.3	(53.8)	–	–	0.0	(7.7)
*Italy, Nuoro	0.6	(50.0)	–	–	0.0	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Palermo	0.1	(5.9)	–	–	0.4	(70.6)	0.0	(5.9)	0.1	(17.6)
Italy, Puglia	0.1	(15.8)	0.0	(5.3)	0.4	(70.2)	0.0	(3.5)	0.0	(5.3)
*Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	–	–	–	–	0.5	(83.3)	0.0	(16.7)	–	–
*Italy, Salerno	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.5	(66.7)	0.0	(8.3)	–	–
Italy, Sassari	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Sondrio	0.5	(40.0)	–	–	0.6	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
Italy, South Tyrol	0.2	(30.0)	–	–	0.4	(70.0)	–	–	–	–
*Italy, Syracuse	0.3	(25.0)	–	–	0.4	(62.5)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
Italy, Trento	0.1	(28.6)	0.0	(14.3)	0.3	(57.1)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Turin	–	–	0.0	(2.6)	0.1	(23.7)	–	–	0.4	(73.7)
*Italy, Tuscany	0.1	(20.0)	–	–	0.4	(72.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.0)
Italy, Umbria	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	0.0	(16.7)
Italy, Varese	0.3	(44.4)	–	–	0.5	(55.6)	–	–	–	–
Italy, Veneto	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(6.8)	0.3	(59.1)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(2.3)
Latvia	0.1	(18.6)	0.0	(7.0)	0.4	(58.1)	0.0	(7.0)	0.1	(9.3)
*Liechtenstein	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.7	(100.0)
*Lithuania	0.0	(18.2)	0.0	(3.0)	0.2	(66.7)	0.0	(6.1)	0.0	(6.1)
Malta	0.1	(40.0)	–	–	0.3	(60.0)	–	–	–	–
*The Netherlands	0.2	(23.1)	0.0	(4.7)	0.5	(70.8)	0.0	(1.4)	–	–
Norway	0.2	(35.4)	0.0	(4.6)	0.3	(53.8)	0.0	(4.6)	0.0	(1.5)
Poland, Kielce	0.1	(16.7)	0.0	(33.3)	0.1	(16.7)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
*Portugal, Azores	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.1	(22.2)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	–	–
Russian Federation, Kaliningrad	0.1	(8.3)	–	–	0.4	(58.3)	–	–	0.1	(33.3)
Russian Federation, Karelia	–	–	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	0.1	(50.0)
*Russian Federation, Komi Republic	0.1	(33.3)	0.0	(11.1)	0.3	(55.6)	–	–	–	–
Russian Federation, Murmansk	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(14.3)	0.2	(57.1)	–	–	0.1	(14.3)
*Russian Federation, Orenburg	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.3	(60.0)	0.0	(5.0)	–	–
Russian Federation, Pskov	0.0	(18.2)	0.0	(9.1)	0.3	(45.5)	0.1	(18.2)	0.0	(9.1)
*Russian Federation, Samara	0.0	(10.8)	0.0	(10.8)	0.3	(64.9)	0.0	(8.1)	0.0	(5.4)
Russian Federation, Vologda Region	0.2	(35.0)	0.0	(10.0)	0.2	(30.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.1	(20.0)
Slovenia	0.1	(15.0)	–	–	0.4	(80.0)	0.0	(5.0)	–	–
Spain, Asturias	0.0	(10.0)	–	–	0.4	(90.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Basque Country	0.2	(38.1)	–	–	0.3	(61.9)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Canary Islands	0.1	(27.3)	–	–	0.4	(72.7)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Castellón	–	–	–	–	0.3	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Girona	0.1	(10.0)	–	–	0.6	(80.0)	–	–	0.0	(10.0)
Spain, Granada	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(15.4)	0.3	(69.2)	0.0	(7.7)	–	–
Spain, La Rioja	0.1	(25.0)	–	–	0.5	(75.0)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Murcia	0.2	(32.1)	–	–	0.6	(67.9)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Navarra	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(33.3)	–	–
Spain, Salamanca	0.3	(33.3)	–	–	0.7	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
Spain, Tarragona	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	–	–
*Sweden	0.1	(22.9)	0.0	(7.2)	0.2	(65.1)	0.0	(2.4)	0.0	(2.4)
Switzerland, Aargau	0.2	(28.6)	–	–	0.4	(71.4)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Basel	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Berne Solothurn	–	–	0.0	(16.7)	0.3	(83.3)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, East	0.1	(33.3)	–	–	0.4	(66.7)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Fribourg	–	–	–	–	0.1	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Geneva	–	–	–	–	0.5	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Graubünden Glarus	0.2	(66.7)	–	–	0.2	(33.3)	–	–	–	–
*Switzerland, Lucerne	0.2	(40.0)	–	–	0.2	(40.0)	0.1	(20.0)	–	–
*Switzerland, Neuchâtel and Jura	–	–	–	–	0.2	(100.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Ticino	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	0.2	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Valais	0.1	(50.0)	–	–	–	–	0.1	(50.0)	–	–
Switzerland, Vaud	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(25.0)	0.3	(50.0)	–	–	–	–
Switzerland, Zurich and Zug	0.1	(18.8)	–	–	0.3	(68.8)	0.0	(12.5)	–	–
Ukraine	0.1	(25.0)	0.0	(4.7)	0.3	(59.9)	0.0	(6.8)	0.0	(3.6)
UK, England	0.1	(17.4)	0.0	(11.2)	0.3	(64.6)	0.0	(4.6)	0.0	(2.1)
UK, Northern Ireland	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.2	(86.7)	–	–	–	–
UK, Scotland	0.1	(20.4)	0.0	(14.8)	0.3	(61.1)	0.0	(3.7)	–	–
UK, Wales	0.2	(40.6)	0.0	(9.4)	0.2	(37.5)	0.0	(9.4)	0.0	(3.1)
Oceania										
*Australia, NSW/ACT	0.1	(13.7)	0.0	(4.9)	0.4	(73.5)	0.0	(6.9)	0.0	(1.0)
*Australia, Northern Territory	0.4	(50.0)	–	–	0.5	(50.0)	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases**

Bone of limbs (C40) - Female (Contd)

	C40.0		C40.1		C40.2		C40.3		C40.8-9	
	Upper, long		Upper, short		Lower, long		Lower, short		Other & unspec.	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Oceania (contd)										
*Australia, Northern Territory : Non-Indigenous	<i>0.6</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.9</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Northern Territory : Indigenous	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*Australia, Queensland	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(21.4)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(73.8)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.4)</i>	–	–
Australia, South Australia	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(38.9)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(61.1)</i>	–	–	–	–
Australia, Tasmania	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>
*Australia, Victoria	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(18.4)</i>	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(76.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.3)</i>	–	–
*Australia, Western Australia	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.2)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(81.2)</i>	–	–	–	–
*New Caledonia	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
New Zealand	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(22.4)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(75.5)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(2.0)</i>	–	–
*New Zealand : Maori	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(12.5)</i>	–	–	<i>0.4</i>	<i>(87.5)</i>	–	–	–	–
*New Zealand : Pacific peoples	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.7</i>	<i>(83.3)</i>	–	–	–	–
*New Zealand : Other	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(27.3)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(69.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(3.0)</i>	–	–
USA, Hawaii	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	–	–
USA, Hawaii : White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Japanese	–	–	–	–	<i>0.5</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Chinese	–	–	–	–	<i>1.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Hawaiian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>	–	–
*USA, Hawaii : Filipino	–	–	–	–	<i>0.3</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	–	–	–	–

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics

*Important. See note on population page.